


Consumer Directed Supports



**Minnesota Governor's Council on
Developmental Disabilities**

**Public Policy Committee
April 3, 2002**


Qualitative Interview Summary



MarketResponse conducted 18 in-depth interviews with stakeholders in the Consumer Directed Community Supports (CDCS) program


- **8 individuals / families on CDCS**
- **4 county supervisors**
- **4 fiscal intermediaries**
- **2 county case managers**

Research Objectives




- To develop an understanding of benefits and issues with the existing program
- To provide the basis for development of a quantitative survey to be mailed to program participants

Qualitative Findings



- **Emotional topic**
- **Extremely beneficial to participants**
- **Has created a lot of tension and work**
 - **changing roles**
 - **closely monitored program**
 - **work shifted from providers to counties and participants**
- **Formal and informal peer networks**


Qualitative Findings



Individual / Family Perspective:

- Program is backing off of self-determination promise
- Restrictions limit flexibility and ability to make appropriate decisions


Qualitative Findings



County Perspective:

- Worked very hard to enroll people in 2001
- Some families are taking advantage of the system
 - making lifestyle-based decisions
 - focusing on wants vs. needs
- New guidelines are the solution


Qualitative Findings



Case Manager Perspective:

- Excited about program but recognize resistance within ranks
- Roles have changed dramatically
- Feel they can advocate for individual and promote fiscal responsibility
- Recognize difficulty of process


Qualitative Findings



Fiscal Intermediary Perspective:

- **See benefits of program**
- **More time and higher overhead than expected**
- **See inconsistencies in county decisions**
- **Individuals view fiscal intermediary as advocate within system and want them more involved**


Summary



Major issues:

- **“Defensible to the taxpayer”**
- **“Normal parental responsibility”**
 - comes down to a value judgement
- **Guidelines need to be broad enough to allow for flexibility and retention of self-determination**

Next Step



- **Mass survey to 2300 people on CDCS**

Table of contents

Table 1	A. Who is responding to this survey?
Table 2	B. How old are you (the person with a developmental disability)?
Table 3	C. When were you diagnosed with a developmental disability?
Table 4	D. What is the nature and severity of your developmental disability?
Table 5	E. In general, how does your developmental disability impact your ability to...
Table 6	Q1. How long have you been participating in a consumer directed support program?
Table 7	Q2. What is the current annual consumer directed supports budget your county has set for you?
Table 8	Q3/3a. Do you pay a fee (parental fee) to participate in consumer directed supports? If so, what is your annual fee (parental fee) for participating in consumer directed supports?
Table 9	Q4. Prior to participating in a consumer directed support program, have you had any experience with licensed service providers?
Table 10	Q1. My life routine has become more typical because of supports available under this program
Table 11	Q2. I feel more empowered
Table 12	Q3. This program has reduced my (or my family's) overall stress level--life is easier
Table 13	Q4. I have been able to live where I choose
Table 14	Q5. I have been able to make necessary adaptations to my home
Table 15	Q6. This program has given me more flexibility to meet my specific needs
Table 16	Q7. I am better able to select who works with me
Table 17	Q8. I have been able to staff more personal care hours
Table 18	Q9. I have been able to retain staff longer
Table 19	Q10. I have been able to obtain higher quality staff (more knowledgeable, reliable, competent)
Table 20	Q11. I have been able to obtain more respite care
Table 21	Q12. I have developed skills more quickly because of resources available under the program
Table 22	Q13. I have been able to get out into the community more
Table 23	Q14. I have more interaction and improved relationships with my siblings and other family members
Table 24	Q15. I have been able to spend more time with people my age who do not have a developmental disability
Table 25	Q16. I have been able to obtain equipment to help me integrate into the community
Table 26	Q17. Money is being allocated better within the system

Table of contents

Table 27	Q18. I believe the overall cost to administer my services and supports has decreased under this program
Table 28	Q1. County of residence
Table 29	Q2. Which county provides your consumer directed support?
Table 30	Q3. Information about consumer directed supports is available in a format I can understand
Table 31	Q4. I know whom to contact at county social services if I have questions
Table 32	Q5. Dealing with county social services is not intimidating or overwhelming
Table 33	Q6. County social services people are responsive to my needs and take appropriate action when necessary
Table 34	Q7. The county social services people I deal with treat me with respect
Table 35	Q8. County social services understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities
Table 36	Q9. County social services tries to make things simpler for me
Table 37	Q10. People in my county social services department show flexibility when dealing with me
Table 38	Q11. People in county social services genuinely try to do the right thing
Table 39	Q12. County social services sets clear expectations for my successful participation in this program
Table 40	Q13. Overall, how satisfied are you with your county social services department?
Table 41	Q1. My case manager understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs
Table 42	Q2. My case manager is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary
Table 43	Q3. My case manager treats me with respect
Table 44	Q4. My case manager understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities
Table 45	Q5. My case manager tries to make things simpler for me
Table 46	Q6. My case manager shows flexibility when dealing with me
Table 47	Q7. My case manager is an advocate for me within the system
Table 48	Q8. My case manager is genuinely trying to do the right thing
Table 49	Q9. Overall, how satisfied are you with your case manager?
Table 50	Q1/1b. Do you use a fiscal intermediary as a part of your consumer directed support program? If so, which organization?
Table 51	Q2. Which functions does your fiscal intermediary provide for you?
Table 52	Q3. My fiscal intermediary understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs

Table of contents

Table 53	Q4. My fiscal intermediary is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary
Table 54	Q5. My fiscal intermediary treats me with respect
Table 55	Q6. My fiscal intermediary understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities
Table 56	Q7. My fiscal intermediary tries to make things simpler for me
Table 57	Q8. My fiscal intermediary shows flexibility when dealing with me
Table 58	Q9. My fiscal intermediary is an advocate for me within the system
Table 59	Q10. My fiscal intermediary is genuinely trying to do the right thing
Table 60	Q11. My fiscal intermediary keeps accurate records of my transactions and accounts
Table 61	Q12. Overall, how satisfied are you with your fiscal intermediary?
Table 62	Q1/lb. Have you ever filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions made about your consumer directed support program? If so,
Table 63	Q2. The appeal process was not intimidating or overwhelming
Table 64	Q3. The individuals involved in the appeal process understood and were well-trained on consumer directed support program
Table 65	Q4. I was treated with respect throughout the appeal process
Table 66	Q5. The individuals involved with the appeal process understand the needs of people with developmental disabilities
Table 67	Q6. Overall, how satisfied are you with the appeals process?
Table 68	Q1. Parent to parent training sessions
Table 69	Q2. Training on finding and hiring staff
Table 70	Q3. Training on staff management (e.g. timesheets, performance, etc.)
Table 71	Q4. Parent mentor program (benefit from experienced parents)
Table 72	Q5. Math/Financial/Accounting training
Table 73	Q6. Assistance finding diagnosis-specific equipment catalogs
Table 74	Q7. Support groups with parents of children that are of a similar age and disability
Table 75	Q8. Support for individuals/parents of different cultures and languages
Table 76	Q9. Training around diagnosis, treatment tools and methods
Table 77	Q1. Given all the considerations, how satisfied are you with your consumer directed support program?
Table 78	Q2. How likely would you be to recommend a consumer directed support program in your county to a friend in a similar situation?

Table of contents

Table 79	Q3. Has the consumer directed support program met the expectations that were set for you by your case manager and county social services department?
Table 80	Q1. I can (even if someone helps me because of my disability) get to where I want to go
Table 81	Q2. I have control over my daily schedule
Table 82	Q3. I have privacy to be alone or with people I choose
Table 83	Q4. Only people who are supposed to know my personal information have access to it
Table 84	Q5. I can set desired outcomes (goals) for myself
Table 85	Q6. I can decide about how I spend my money
Table 86	Q7. I can make decisions that will affect my future
Table 87	Q8. I am satisfied with my current level of independence
Table 88	Q1. I have an appropriate amount of responsibility at home or at work
Table 89	Q2. I have been improving my skills at home or at work
Table 90	Q3. I receive recognition for the things that I do
Table 91	Q4. I have access to assistive products and technology that supports my productivity
Table 92	Q5. The system I am currently working under is easy to function within and supports my productivity
Table 93	Q6. I am satisfied with my current level of productivity
Table 94	Q1. Resources that I need are available in my community
Table 95	Q2. The personal support I require is available in my community
Table 96	Q3. I have opportunities to do things with people my age
Table 97	Q4. My community acknowledges that I have different needs because of my developmental disability
Table 98	Q5. My rights to equality are acknowledged by my community
Table 99	Q6. I have opportunities to develop meaningful relationships with people who do not have developmental disabilities
Table 100	Q7. I feel comfortable going outside of my immediate community
Table 101	Q8. I am satisfied with my current level of integration
Table 102	Q1. Community organizations make an effort to include me
Table 103	Q2. People without a disability treat me as an equal
Table 104	Q3. People see beyond my disability and try to get to know me

Table of contents

Table 105	Q4. People treat me with respect
Table 106	Q5. I am included in conversations
Table 107	Q6. I have friends who do not have developmental disabilities
Table 108	Q7. I am satisfied with my level of inclusion in society
Table 109	Q1. I have the freedom necessary to make important decisions and choices based upon my needs
Table 110	Q2. I have the authority to control resources and make decisions
Table 111	Q3. I have the support I need to live the kind of life I choose
Table 112	Q4. I have the opportunity to be responsible and accountable for the decisions I make
Table 113	Q5. I am satisfied with my current level of self-determination
Table 114	Q1. Where do you (the person with a developmental disability) primarily live?
Table 115	Q2. How long have you lived in Minnesota?
Table 116	Q3. Is English your native language?
Table 117	Q4. Mark the box that includes your total household income. This number should include any government support you receive.
Table 118	Q5. Mark the box that reflects the race of the person with a developmental disability.
Table 119	Q6. Is the person with a developmental disability a graduate of the Partners in Policymaking Program?
	Q7. If someone else is completing this survey questionnaire, are you a graduate of the Partners in Policymaking Program?
Table 120	Summary table of means

Tree

F-test of significance of entire solution

56.00 = Between Node MSQ

.64 = Residual MSQ

87.70 = F with a probability of .000

49.51 = Percent of Variance Explained

□

□(OU□(sOp8.1h16v0s3b11T ViMaRC

□(U□(sOp10h14v0s3b11T


□(U□(sOp10h12v0s0b3T Inputfile:GCDD_ONE.MOD


ViMaRC Tree Program
Run on:14-May-02

ViMaRC Problem Definition Tree Representation

Percent of variance explained: 49.5

Dependent Var:Overall Satisfaction





Tree

Terminal Regions							
Node=	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
N=	38	10	3	1	120	156	88
Mean=	4.03	4.10	3.67	3.00	5.71	6.19	6.43

218
6.89

Table 1-1
A. Who is responding to this survey?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	7	4	2	1		3	2	1	1		4	3	1	1	1			2	5		1
Total answering	643	293	103	123	91	331	220	83	383	256	507	121	51	47	29	108	267	227	520	62	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Person with a developmental disability	87 13.5	44 15.0	10 9.7	15 12.2	14 15.4	37 11.2 Hh	30 13.6 h	19 22.9	18 4.7 Jj	69 27.0	68 13.4	17 14.0	5 9.8	7 14.9	5 17.2	25 23.1 QRqr	37 13.9 Rr	17 7.5	65 12.5	11 17.7	7 15.2
Parent or other family member of the person with a developmental disability	581 90.4	271 92.5 Ee	97 94.2 Ee	110 89.4	76 83.5	308 93.1 Hh	202 91.8 Hh	65 78.3	367 95.8 Jj	210 82.0	464 91.5 lo	103 85.1	45 88.2	41 87.2	22 75.9	83 76.9 QRqr	249 93.3	214 94.3	469 90.2	56 90.3	43 93.5
Other primary caregiver of the person with a developmental disability	42 6.5	15 5.1	5 4.9	12 9.8	6 6.6	14 4.2 Hh	15 6.8	11 13.3	14 3.7 Jj	28 10.9	32 6.3	10 8.3	2 3.9 o	4 8.5	5 17.2	11 10.2 q	11 4.1	14 6.2	34 6.5	3 4.8	3 6.5
Other	20 3.1	11 3.8 CDcd			5 5.5	8 2.4	8 3.6	4 4.8	7 1.8 Jj	13 5.1	10 2.0 Ll	9 7.4	3 5.9	3 6.4	3 10.3	9 8.3 QRqr	5 1.9	5 2.2	18 3.5 Uu	1 1.6	

Table 2-1
B. How old are you (the person with a developmental disability)?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	10	6	3	1		4	4	1			6	3		2	1	3		2	6	2	1
Total answering	640	291	102	123	91	330	218	83	384	256	505	121	52	46	29	105	267	227	519	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 10	188	71	48	30	30	110	64	12	188		147	39	15	15	12	21	78	77	145	22	16
	29.4	24.4	47.1	24.4	33.0	33.3	29.4	14.5	49.0		29.1	32.2	28.8	32.6	41.4	20.0	29.2	33.9	27.9	36.7	34.8
		Cc	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj							Rqr					
10-14	124	59	20	31	10	68	42	13	124		93	30	14	11	7	20	47	52	105	9	9
	19.4	20.3	19.6	25.2	11.0	20.6	19.3	15.7	32.3		18.4	24.8	26.9	23.9	24.1	19.0	17.6	22.9	20.2	15.0	19.6
		Ee	e	Ee					Jj												
15-18	72	32	11	11	15	39	26	5	72		56	14	6	6	2	20	26	23	58	7	7
	11.3	11.0	10.8	8.9	16.5	11.8	11.9	6.0	18.8		11.1	11.6	11.5	13.0	6.9	19.0	9.7	10.1	11.2	11.7	15.2
						h	h		Jj							QRqr					
19-24	107	58	13	22	9	52	38	15		107	84	17	8	7	2	14	48	36	88	13	4
	16.7	19.9	12.7	17.9	9.9	15.8	17.4	18.1		41.8	16.6	14.0	15.4	15.2	6.9	13.3	18.0	15.9	17.0	21.7	8.7
		Ece		e					Jj		o								u	u	
25-34	81	36	7	16	15	31	24	24		81	68	11	7	2	3	15	36	22	70	4	5
	12.7	12.4	6.9	13.0	16.5	9.4	11.0	28.9		31.6	13.5	9.1	13.5	4.3	10.3	14.3	13.5	9.7	13.5	6.7	10.9
		c	Ee			Hh	Hh		Jj		Nn								t		
35-44	37	20	2	7	4	16	14	7		37	29	7	2	5		7	16	12	29	3	3
	5.8	6.9	2.0	5.7	4.4	4.8	6.4	8.4		14.5	5.7	5.8	3.8	10.9		6.7	6.0	5.3	5.6	5.0	6.5
		Cc							Jj		Oo	Oo		Oo							
45+	31	15	1	6	8	14	10	7		31	28	3			3	8	16	5	24	2	2
	4.8	5.2	1.0	4.9	8.8	4.2	4.6	8.4		12.1	5.5	2.5			10.3	7.6	6.0	2.2	4.6	3.3	4.3
		Cc	Ede						Jj		MNlmn	mn	o	o		r	Rr				
Mean age	17.8	18.7	12.9	18.2	18.8	16.3	17.8	23.5	9.7	29.9	18.2	15.8	15.4	15.4	16.2	20.3	18.4	15.7	17.8	16.6	16.3
		Cc	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		Mlm					Rr	Rr				
Median age	15.0	16.0	10.0	15.0	16.0	13.0	15.0	22.0	10.0	26.0	15.0	13.0	13.0	12.5	12.0	17.0	15.0	13.0	15.0	14.0	11.5

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 2
Continued

Table 2-1
B. How old are you (the person with a developmental disability)?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	12.52	12.50	9.11	11.74	15.16	11.98	12.75	12.71	4.50	10.86	12.78	11.59	8.82	10.57	16.03	13.90	12.85	11.04	12.18	13.18	12.25
Std err	0.49	0.73	0.90	1.06	1.59	0.66	0.86	1.40	0.23	0.68	0.57	1.05	1.22	1.56	2.98	1.36	0.79	0.73	0.53	1.70	1.81

Table 3-1
C. When were you diagnosed with a developmental disability?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	27	12	5	4	3	15	6	5	10	9	21	6		3	3	8	4	7	20	3	3
Total answering	623 100.0	285 100.0	100 100.0	120 100.0	88 100.0	319 100.0	216 100.0	79 100.0	374 100.0	247 100.0	490 100.0	118 100.0	52 100.0	45 100.0	27 100.0	100 100.0	263 100.0	222 100.0	505 100.0	59 100.0	44 100.0
At birth	285 45.7	138 48.4	40 40.0	54 45.0	38 43.2	140 43.9 h	98 45.4	44 55.7	140 37.4 Jj	145 58.7	223 45.5	51 43.2	21 40.4	18 40.0	13 48.1	33 33.0 QRqr	125 47.5	104 46.8	228 45.1	22 37.3 u	24 54.5
In the first year	86 13.8	33 11.6 c	20 20.0	16 13.3	10 11.4	40 12.5	31 14.4	12 15.2	64 17.1 Jj	22 8.9	70 14.3	15 12.7	8 15.4	5 11.1	3 11.1	6 6.0 QRqr	33 12.5 Rr	46 20.7	75 14.9 u	7 11.9	3 6.8
2 years	85 13.6	39 13.7	16 16.0	14 11.7	15 17.0	49 15.4	27 12.5	8 10.1	61 16.3 Jj	23 9.3	70 14.3 n	13 11.0	7 13.5	3 6.7	5 18.5	12 12.0	43 16.3	27 12.2	64 12.7 t	14 23.7	7 15.9
3 years	66 10.6	32 11.2	9 9.0	16 13.3	8 9.1	39 12.2 h	21 9.7	5 6.3	48 12.8 Jj	18 7.3	51 10.4 o	14 11.9 o	5 9.6	9 20.0 Oo	1 3.7	14 14.0 r	32 12.2 Rr	15 6.8	58 11.5 Uu	5 8.5	1 2.3
4-5 years	63 10.1	25 8.8	11 11.0	13 10.8	10 11.4	33 10.3	24 11.1	6 7.6	41 11.0	22 8.9	47 9.6	16 13.6	9 17.3	5 11.1	2 7.4	13 13.0	25 9.5	21 9.5	51 10.1	5 8.5	6 13.6
6-10 years	31 5.0	15 5.3	4 4.0	5 4.2	5 5.7	15 4.7	12 5.6	3 3.8	17 4.5	13 5.3	23 4.7	8 6.8	1 1.9 n	5 11.1	3 11.1	19 19.0 QRqr	5 1.9	5 2.3	24 4.8	5 8.5	2 4.5
11-18 years	7 1.1	3 1.1 c		2 1.7	2 2.3	3 0.9	3 1.4	1 1.3	3 0.8	4 1.6	6 1.2 NONo	1 0.8	1 1.9			3 3.0 q		4 1.8 Rr	5 1.0	1 1.7	1 2.3
Mean age	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.2	1.7	3.2 QRqr	1.4	1.5	1.7	2.1	1.8

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 4

Table 4-1
D. What is the nature and severity of your developmental disability?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	46	18	11	8	6	23	16	6	20	21	37	7	1	3	3				35	2	7
Total answering	604	279	94	116	85	311	206	78	364	235	474	117	51	45	27	108	267	229	490	60	40
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Autism	176	81	32	35	25	109	51	15	143	31	135	38	16	16	9	32	86	58	141	21	12
	29.1	29.0	34.0	30.2	29.4	35.0	24.8	19.2	39.3	13.2	28.5	32.5	31.4	35.6	33.3	29.6	32.2	25.3	28.8	35.0	30.0
						GHgh			Jj								r				
Mild	45	19	8	10	8	27	14	4	34	10	33	11	5	4	4	32	9	4	40	4	1
	7.5	6.8	8.5	8.6	9.4	8.7	6.8	5.1	9.3	4.3	7.0	9.4	9.8	8.9	14.8	29.6	3.4	1.7	8.2	6.7	2.5
									Jj							QRqr			Uu		
Moderate	95	47	18	14	13	62	26	6	78	17	76	17	7	8	3		77	18	72	13	9
	15.7	16.8	19.1	12.1	15.3	19.9	12.6	7.7	21.4	7.2	16.0	14.5	13.7	17.8	11.1		28.8	7.9	14.7	21.7	22.5
						GHgh			Jj							QRqr	Rr				
Severe	36	15	6	11	4	20	11	5	31	4	26	10	4	4	2			36	29	4	2
	6.0	5.4	6.4	9.5	4.7	6.4	5.3	6.4	8.5	1.7	5.5	8.5	7.8	8.9	7.4			15.7	5.9	6.7	5.0
									Jj							Rr	Rr				
Cerebral Palsy	134	64	23	28	15	71	45	14	87	47	105	25	15	9	2	5	41	88	108	16	8
	22.2	22.9	24.5	24.1	17.6	22.8	21.8	17.9	23.9	20.0	22.2	21.4	29.4	20.0	7.4	4.6	15.4	38.4	22.0	26.7	20.0
									Oo		Oo	Oo				QRqr	Rr				
Mild	27	13	3	6	5	14	8	3	14	13	22	5	2	3		5	14	8	21	4	2
	4.5	4.7	3.2	5.2	5.9	4.5	3.9	3.8	3.8	5.5	4.6	4.3	3.9	6.7		4.6	5.2	3.5	4.3	6.7	5.0
											Oo	Oo		o							
Moderate	43	24	9	6	2	23	18	2	27	16	33	7	5	2			27	16	35	7	1
	7.1	8.6	9.6	5.2	2.4	7.4	8.7	2.6	7.4	6.8	7.0	6.0	9.8	4.4			10.1	7.0	7.1	11.7	2.5
		Ee	Ee			Hh	Hh				Oo	Oo	Oo			QRqr			u	u	
Severe	64	27	11	16	8	34	19	9	46	18	50	13	8	4	2			64	52	5	5
	10.6	9.7	11.7	13.8	9.4	10.9	9.2	11.5	12.6	7.7	10.5	11.1	15.7	8.9	7.4			27.9	10.6	8.3	12.5
									Jj							Rr	Rr				

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 5
Continued

Table 4-1
D. What is the nature and severity of your developmental disability?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL		
											*****ANY*****								SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Epilepsy	93 15.4	45 16.1	15 16.0	21 18.1	11 12.9	37 11.9 Gg	42 20.4	11 14.1	53 14.6	40 17.0	71 15.0	18 15.4	9 17.6	8 17.8	4 14.8	12 11.1 Rr	23 8.6 Rr	58 25.3	78 15.9	10 16.7	4 10.0
Mild	33 5.5	15 5.4	2 2.1 Ede	8 6.9	8 9.4	13 4.2 g	17 8.3 Hh	2 2.6	20 5.5	13 5.5	24 5.1	7 6.0	2 3.9	4 8.9	1 3.7	12 11.1 Qq	8 3.0	13 5.7	28 5.7	3 5.0	1 2.5
Moderate	31 5.1	17 6.1	6 6.4	5 4.3	3 3.5	14 4.5	11 5.3	4 5.1	15 4.1	16 6.8	25 5.3	6 5.1	2 3.9	3 6.7	2 7.4	QRqr	15 5.6	16 7.0	24 4.9	4 6.7	3 7.5
Severe	29 4.8	13 4.7 Ee	7 7.4 Ee	8 6.9 Ee		10 3.2 g	14 6.8	5 6.4	18 4.9	11 4.7	22 4.6	5 4.3	5 9.8	1 2.2	1 3.7				29 12.7	26 5.3 Uu	3 5.0 u
Head injury	28 4.6	14 5.0	6 6.4	5 4.3	3 3.5	14 4.5	10 4.9	4 5.1	17 4.7	11 4.7	17 3.6 LOlno	11 9.4 Oo	5 9.8 Oo	6 13.3 Oo		1 0.9 Rr	8 3.0 Rr	19 8.3	27 5.5 TUtu	1 1.7	
Mild	6 1.0	3 1.1 d	2 2.1		1 1.2	3 1.0	2 1.0	1 1.3	3 0.8	3 1.3	2 0.4 ln	4 3.4 Oo	1 2.0	3 6.7 o		1 0.9	3 1.1	2 0.9	6 1.2 TUtu		
Moderate	7 1.2	4 1.4 Ee	1 1.1	2 1.7		5 1.6 Hh	2 1.0		1 0.3 Jj	6 2.6	5 1.1 Oo	2 1.7	1 2.0	1 2.2		Qq	5 1.9	2 0.9	7 1.4 TUtu		
Severe	15 2.5	7 2.5	3 3.2	3 2.6	2 2.4	6 1.9	6 2.9	3 3.8	13 3.6 Jj	2 0.9	10 2.1 Oo	5 4.3 Oo	3 5.9 o	2 4.4					15 6.6	14 2.9 Uu	1 1.7
Mental retardation	359 59.4	174 62.4 Cc	45 47.9 Dd	73 62.9	49 57.6	169 54.3 Hgh	127 61.7 h	57 73.1	169 46.4 Jj	186 79.1	292 61.6 LOlmo	57 48.7	25 49.0	25 55.6	10 37.0	72 66.7	154 57.7	133 58.1	289 59.0	36 60.0	24 60.0
Mild	104 17.2	48 17.2	13 13.8 e	17 14.7 e	21 24.7	41 13.2 Ggh	43 20.9	17 21.8	50 13.7 Jj	51 21.7	84 17.7 o	16 13.7	7 13.7	8 17.8	2 7.4	72 66.7 QRqr	21 7.9	11 4.8	86 17.6	9 15.0	6 15.0

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 6
Continued

Table 4-1
D. What is the nature and severity of your developmental disability?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION		HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL			
										*****ANY*****								SATISFACTION			
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Moderate	161 26.7	85 30.5 CEce	18 19.1	33 28.4	16 18.8	82 26.4	56 27.2	22 28.2	71 19.5 Jj	90 38.3	135 28.5 ln	24 20.5	13 25.5	8 17.8	5 18.5	QRqr	133 49.8 Rr	28 12.2	129 26.3	16 26.7	11 27.5
Severe	94 15.6	41 14.7	14 14.9	23 19.8	12 14.1	46 14.8	28 13.6 h	18 23.1	48 13.2 j	45 19.1	73 15.4	17 14.5	5 9.8	9 20.0	3 11.1	Rr	Rr	94 41.0	74 15.1	11 18.3	7 17.5
Other	194 32.1	88 31.5	30 31.9	35 30.2	32 37.6	98 31.5	67 32.5	26 33.3	124 34.1	70 29.8	149 31.4 o	43 36.8	16 31.4	16 35.6	13 48.1	15 13.9 QRqr	79 29.6 Rr	100 43.7	163 33.3 Tt	13 21.7	12 30.0
Mild	30 5.0	13 4.7	5 5.3	6 5.2	4 4.7	14 4.5	12 5.8	3 3.8	18 4.9	12 5.1	24 5.1	6 5.1	2 3.9	2 4.4	2 7.4	15 13.9 QRqr	12 4.5 Rr	3 1.3	26 5.3	3 5.0	1 2.5
Moderate	94 15.6	43 15.4	12 12.8	20 17.2	16 18.8	50 16.1	30 14.6	12 15.4	57 15.7	37 15.7	77 16.2 Nno	16 13.7 Oo	5 9.8 Oo	3 6.7 Oo	9 33.3	QRqr	71 26.6 Rr	23 10.0	84 17.1 Tt	2 3.3	5 12.5
Severe	84 13.9	38 13.6	14 14.9	13 11.2	14 16.5	36 11.6	33 16.0	14 17.9	58 15.9 j	26 11.1	57 12.0 LNln	26 22.2	10 19.6	12 26.7	5 18.5	Rr	Rr	84 36.7	67 13.7	8 13.3	6 15.0
Net Severe	229 37.9	102 36.6	38 40.4	46 39.7	32 37.6	108 34.7 Hh	79 38.3	37 47.4	152 41.8 Jj	75 31.9	170 35.9 Lln	54 46.2	24 47.1	22 48.9	11 40.7	Rr	Rr	229 100.0	189 38.6	21 35.0	13 32.5
Net Moderate	267 44.2	134 48.0 Ee	41 43.6	51 44.0	29 34.1	149 47.9 Hh	89 43.2	27 34.6	151 41.5 j	116 49.4	219 46.2 Nln	43 36.8	20 39.2	14 31.1	11 40.7	Qq	267 100.0 Rr		213 43.5	28 46.7	20 50.0
Net Mild	108 17.9	43 15.4 Ee	15 16.0 Ee	19 16.4 Ee	24 28.2	54 17.4	38 18.4	14 17.9	61 16.8	44 18.7	85 17.9	20 17.1	7 13.7	9 20.0	5 18.5	108 100.0 QRqr			88 18.0	11 18.3	7 17.5

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 7

Table 5-1
E. In general, how does your developmental disability impact your ability to...
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	7	4	2	1		2	3		1	1	4	3		1	2		1	1	5		1
Total answering	643	293	103	123	91	332	219	84	383	255	507	121	52	47	28	108	266	228	520	62	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Learn	630	289	100	120	89	330	210	82	377	248	496	119	50	47	28	102	264	225	511	61	44
Not at all	12	4	3		2	6	2	3	5	7	8	3	1	1	1	4	1	4	9	2	1
	1.9	1.4	3.0		2.2	1.8	1.0	3.7	1.3	2.8	1.6	2.5	2.0	2.1	3.6	3.9	0.4	1.8	1.8	3.3	2.3
		Dd	d													q					
A little	124	58	15	21	21	62	40	20	64	59	94	27	14	9	4	43	51	26	96	14	12
	19.7	20.1	15.0	17.5	23.6	18.8	19.0	24.4	17.0	23.8	19.0	22.7	28.0	19.1	14.3	42.2	19.3	11.6	18.8	23.0	27.3
									Jj							QRqr	Rr				
A lot	494	227	82	99	66	262	168	59	308	182	394	89	35	37	23	55	212	195	406	45	31
	78.4	78.5	82.0	82.5	74.2	79.4	80.0	72.0	81.7	73.4	79.4	74.8	70.0	78.7	82.1	53.9	80.3	86.7	79.5	73.8	70.5
									Jj							QRqr	r				
Take care of yourself	633	289	102	119	90	331	212	82	378	250	497	121	52	47	28	104	263	228	513	62	44
Not at all	32	15	4	3	4	18	8	5	13	18	18	14	6	7	1	12	8	10	25	5	2
	5.1	5.2	3.9	2.5	4.4	5.4	3.8	6.1	3.4	7.2	3.6	11.6	11.5	14.9	3.6	11.5	3.0	4.4	4.9	8.1	4.5
									Jj		LNlmn	o		o		QRqr					
A little	173	84	19	32	27	85	63	23	70	102	144	25	13	6	6	56	91	16	146	13	11
	27.3	29.1	18.6	26.9	30.0	25.7	29.7	28.0	18.5	40.8	29.0	20.7	25.0	12.8	21.4	53.8	34.6	7.0	28.5	21.0	25.0
		Cc	e						Jj		LNln					QRqr	Rr				
A lot	428	190	79	84	59	228	141	54	295	130	335	82	33	34	21	36	164	202	342	44	31
	67.6	65.7	77.5	70.6	65.6	68.9	66.5	65.9	78.0	52.0	67.4	67.8	63.5	72.3	75.0	34.6	62.4	88.6	66.7	71.0	70.5
		Cc	e						Jj							QRqr	Rr				
Live independently	619	286	97	117	88	321	208	82	363	251	486	120	52	47	27	102	251	227	500	62	43

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 8
Continued

Table 5-1

E. In general, how does your developmental disability impact your ability to...

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Not at all	30 4.8	11 3.8	3 3.1	4 3.4	3 3.4	17 5.3	9 4.3	4 4.9	20 5.5	10 4.0	12 2.5 LMNlmn	16 13.3	8 15.4	6 12.8	2 7.4	10 9.8 Qq	5 2.0 r	12 5.3	23 4.6	3 4.8	2 4.7
A little	57 9.2	24 8.4	6 6.2 e	12 10.3	12 13.6	29 9.0	15 7.2 h	12 14.6	19 5.2 Jj	36 14.3	48 9.9 n	9 7.5	6 11.5	2 4.3	1 3.7	30 29.4 QRqr	19 7.6 Rr	4 1.8	45 9.0	7 11.3	5 11.6
A lot	532 85.9	251 87.8	88 90.7	101 86.3	73 83.0	275 85.7	184 88.5	66 80.5	324 89.3 Jj	205 81.7	426 87.7 LMlm	95 79.2	38 73.1 o	39 83.0	24 88.9	62 60.8 QRqr	227 90.4	211 93.0	432 86.4	52 83.9	36 83.7
Be mobile	621	288	99	114	87	322	212	80	368	249	490	116	50	44	27	101	256	223	499	62	46
Not at all	199 32.0	77 26.7 Ee	31 31.3	40 35.1	36 41.4	105 32.6	68 32.1	25 31.3	110 29.9	88 35.3	164 33.5	34 29.3	12 24.0	13 29.5	9 33.3	65 64.4 QRqr	93 36.3 Rr	26 11.7	170 34.1 Tt	14 22.6	13 28.3
A little	206 33.2	104 36.1 Cc	25 25.3	40 35.1	27 31.0	104 32.3	72 34.0	27 33.8	118 32.1	86 34.5	168 34.3 Oo	32 27.6	16 32.0 o	13 29.5	4 14.8	21 20.8 Qq	111 43.4 Rr	59 26.5	159 31.9 t	27 43.5	14 30.4
A lot	216 34.8	107 37.2 e	43 43.4 DEde	34 29.8	24 27.6	113 35.1	72 34.0	28 35.0	140 38.0 Jj	75 30.1	158 32.2 LOlo	50 43.1	22 44.0	18 40.9	14 51.9	15 14.9 Rr	52 20.3 Rr	138 61.9	170 34.1	21 33.9	19 41.3
Be economically self-sufficient	601	281	93	113	85	313	200	81	347	249	471	117	51	45	26	97	241	223	485	61	41
Not at all	37 6.2	13 4.6	7 7.5	5 4.4	5 5.9	23 7.3	9 4.5	5 6.2	25 7.2	12 4.8	19 4.0 LMNlmn	17 14.5 Oo	9 17.6 Oo	7 15.6 o	1 3.8	12 12.4 Qqr	9 3.7	12 5.4	31 6.4	4 6.6	1 2.4
A little	52 8.7	21 7.5	8 8.6	11 9.7	10 11.8	29 9.3	14 7.0	9 11.1	30 8.6	21 8.4	42 8.9	10 8.5	4 7.8	2 4.4	4 15.4	19 19.6 QRqr	25 10.4 Rr	5 2.2	39 8.0	8 13.1	4 9.8

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 9
Continued

Table 5-1

E. In general, how does your developmental disability impact your ability to...

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
A lot	512 85.2	247 87.9	78 83.9	97 85.8	70 82.4	261 83.4 g	177 88.5	67 82.7	292 84.1	216 86.7	410 87.0 LMlm	90 76.9	38 74.5	36 80.0	21 80.8	66 68.0 QRqr	207 85.9 Rr	206 92.4	415 85.6	49 80.3	36 87.8
Communicate through language	631	289	101	120	90	327	213	83	375	251	497	119	52	46	27	103	262	227	510	62	45
Not at all	73 11.6	29 10.0	10 9.9	13 10.8	12 13.3	35 10.7	27 12.7	10 12.0	32 8.5 Jj	40 15.9	58 11.7	13 10.9	5 9.6	5 10.9	3 11.1	29 28.2 QRqr	27 10.3 r	14 6.2	54 10.6	10 16.1	7 15.6
A little	195 30.9	100 34.6 Cc	22 21.8 e	34 28.3	30 33.3	105 32.1	60 28.2	27 32.5	97 25.9 Jj	97 38.6	165 33.2 LNln	28 23.5	15 28.8	7 15.2	8 29.6	41 39.8 Rr	109 41.6 Rr	33 14.5	163 32.0	16 25.8	13 28.9
A lot	363 57.5	160 55.4 Cc	69 68.3 Ee	73 60.8	48 53.3	187 57.2	126 59.2	46 55.4	246 65.6 Jj	114 45.4	274 55.1 LNln	78 65.5	32 61.5	34 73.9	16 59.3	33 32.0 QRqr	126 48.1 Rr	180 79.3	293 57.5	36 58.1	25 55.6

Table 9-1

Q4. Prior to participating in a consumer directed support program, have you had any experience with licensed service providers?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	19	6	2	6	4	7	5	2	12	6	15	4	1		3	2	8	7	16		1
Total answering	631	291	103	118	87	327	217	82	372	250	496	120	51	48	27	106	259	222	509	62	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	369	166	58	83	54	186	130	51	219	146	303	57	28	23	11	46	149	147	305	31	26
	58.5	57.0	56.3	70.3	62.1	56.9	59.9	62.2	58.9	58.4	61.1	47.5	54.9	47.9	40.7	43.4	57.5	66.2	59.9	50.0	56.5
		Dd	Dd								Lolno					QRqr	r				
No	262	125	45	35	33	141	87	31	153	104	193	63	23	25	16	60	110	75	204	31	20
	41.5	43.0	43.7	29.7	37.9	43.1	40.1	37.8	41.1	41.6	38.9	52.5	45.1	52.1	59.3	56.6	42.5	33.8	40.1	50.0	43.5
		Dd	Dd								Lolno					QRqr	r				

Table 7-1
Q2. What is the current annual consumer directed supports budget your county has set for you?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	=====					=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD SEVERE (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	131	39	16	27	36	43	48	33	51	75	97	25	7	8	11	29	43	46	100	15	8
Total answering	519	258	89	97	55	291	174	51	333	181	414	99	45	40	19	79	224	183	425	47	39
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
None	5			1	1		4	1	3	2	2	3		3		4	1		4		1
	1.0			1.0	1.8		2.3	2.0	0.9	1.1	0.5	3.0		7.5		5.1	0.4		0.9		2.6
						Gg					n	mo	n	o		Rqr			Tt		
Less than \$25,000	102	29	13	33	25	50	36	15	55	47	85	17	6	8	3	20	51	25	82	8	9
	19.7	11.2	14.6	34.0	45.5	17.2	20.7	29.4	16.5	26.0	20.5	17.2	13.3	20.0	15.8	25.3	22.8	13.7	19.3	17.0	23.1
		DEde	DEde			h			Jj							Rr	Rr				
\$25,000 - \$31,999	102	53	14	17	12	59	31	11	55	44	83	17	10	5	2	23	51	19	84	10	7
	19.7	20.5	15.7	17.5	21.8	20.3	17.8	21.6	16.5	24.3	20.0	17.2	22.2	12.5	10.5	29.1	22.8	10.4	19.8	21.3	17.9
									Jj							Rr	Rr				
\$32,000 - \$37,999	99	77	9	8	3	62	30	6	63	34	81	17	13	2	5	14	45	34	87	5	6
	19.1	29.8	10.1	8.2	5.5	21.3	17.2	11.8	18.9	18.8	19.6	17.2	28.9	5.0	26.3	17.7	20.1	18.6	20.5	10.6	15.4
		CDEcde				h					Nn	Nn	Nn	o					Tt		
\$38,000 - \$45,999	97	41	35	15	2	67	26	4	70	27	71	25	7	13	6	13	39	39	80	9	5
	18.7	15.9	39.3	15.5	3.6	23.0	14.9	7.8	21.0	14.9	17.1	25.3	15.6	32.5	31.6	16.5	17.4	21.3	18.8	19.1	12.8
		CEce	DEde	Ee		GHgh			j		Nln		n								
\$46,000 or more	114	58	18	23	12	53	47	14	87	27	92	20	9	9	3	5	37	66	88	15	11
	22.0	22.5	20.2	23.7	21.8	18.2	27.0	27.5	26.1	14.9	22.2	20.2	20.0	22.5	15.8	6.3	16.5	36.1	20.7	31.9	28.2
						Gg			Jj							QRqr	Rr				
Base for stats	519	258	89	97	55	291	174	51	333	181	414	99	45	40	19	79	224	183	425	47	39
Mean	36121	37161	40686	34567	29229	36403	36563	33821	37562	33604	36214	35035	36537	33719	35825	27935	33825	42725	36142	39105	33263
		Ee	DEde						Jj							QRqr	Rr				
Median	36326	36500	43200	29407	25500	36500	36256	29000	36500	30000	36000	36500	36500	40000	37000	28000	35203	43000	36000	38917	35500
Std dev	17634	14095	18344	21085	20984	15443	19673	21302	16122	20056	17031	19222	16473	22627	14576	13978	15564	19336	17631	16980	18677

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 12
Continued

Table 7-1
Q2. What is the current annual consumer directed supports budget your county has set for you?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std err	774	878	1944	2141	2829	905	1491	2983	883	1491	837	1932	2456	3578	3344	1573	1040	1429	855	2477	2991

Table 8-1
Q3/3a. Do you pay a fee (parental fee) to participate in consumer directed supports? If so, what is your annual fee (parental fee) for participating in consumer directed supports?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE (K)	ETHNIC ONLY MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	32	20	4	3	3	11	11	4	14	15	23	8	3	1	4	10	11	6	24	2	2
Total answering	618 100.0	277 100.0	101 100.0	121 100.0	88 100.0	323 100.0	211 100.0	80 100.0	370 100.0	241 100.0	488 100.0	116 100.0	49 100.0	47 100.0	26 100.0	98 100.0	256 100.0	223 100.0	501 100.0	60 100.0	45 100.0
Yes	232 37.5	91 32.9 Cc	52 51.5 de	48 39.7	34 38.6	140 43.3 GHgh	69 32.7	21 26.3	208 56.2 Jj	21 8.7	197 40.4 LMOlmo	31 26.7	9 18.4 Nn	18 38.3 Oo	4 15.4	30 30.6	98 38.3	87 39.0	188 37.5	25 41.7	16 35.6
Less than \$300	22 11.3	6 8.1 e	5 11.6	4 9.8	7 22.6	9 7.4 g	10 17.9	2 14.3	22 12.0 Jj		21 12.3 MNmn	1 4.8			1 33.3	4 16.0	7 8.3	9 12.9	17 10.9 Tt		4 26.7 Uu
\$300	51 26.3	21 28.4 d	12 27.9	6 14.6 e	10 32.3	32 26.2	13 23.2	6 42.9	50 27.2	1 12.5	41 24.0 Ll	10 47.6	3 60.0	5 38.5	2 66.7	9 36.0	22 26.2	17 24.3	39 25.0	7 35.0	5 33.3
\$301 - \$2099	41 21.1	13 17.6	11 25.6	10 24.4	6 19.4	28 23.0 Hh	12 21.4	1 7.1	36 19.6 j	4 50.0	35 20.5 Oo	6 28.6 Oo	1 20.0	5 38.5 Oo		5 20.0	19 22.6	15 21.4	35 22.4 t	2 10.0	3 20.0
\$2100 - \$4199	39 20.1	17 23.0 Ee	8 18.6 Ee	12 29.3 Ee	1 3.2	26 21.3	9 16.1	3 21.4	36 19.6	2 25.0	36 21.1 MOmo	3 14.3 mo		3 23.1 o		5 20.0	17 20.2	14 20.0	32 20.5 u	5 25.0	1 6.7
\$4200 or more	41 21.1	17 23.0	7 16.3	9 22.0	7 22.6	27 22.1	12 21.4	2 14.3	40 21.7	1 12.5	38 22.2 LNolno	1 4.8	1 20.0			2 8.0 Qqr	19 22.6	15 21.4	33 21.2	6 30.0	2 13.3
Base for stats	194	74	43	41	31	122	56	14	184	8	171	21	5	13	3	25	84	70	156	20	15
Mean	2697.0	2794.6	3153.9	2617.1	2086.8	2895.3	2515.3	1775.2	2739.3	1830.8	2863.2 LNolno	1081.1 Oo	1154.4	1253.5 Oo	211.7	1477.2 QRqr	2886.5	2450.3	2574.2	3891.5	2734.9
Median	1500.0	1550.0	1500.0	2114.0	300.0	1615.5	1220.0	300.0	1470.0	1500.0	1534.0	300.0	300.0	1000.0	300.0	300.0	1500.0	1517.0	1500.0	2280.0	300.0

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 14
Continued

Table 8-1
Q3/3a. Do you pay a fee (parental fee) to participate in consumer directed supports? If so, what is your annual fee (parental fee) for participating in consumer directed supports?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	3727.4	3558.5	5154.9	2523.7	3194.5	4040.3	3308.2	2135.6	3808.0	1458.4	3893.2	1158.1	1679.0	934.2	124.9	1727.4	4008.8	2734.4	3364.6	4512.0	5648.7
Std err	267.6	413.7	786.1	394.1	573.8	365.8	442.1	570.8	280.7	515.6	297.7	252.7	750.9	259.1	72.1	345.5	437.4	326.8	269.4	1008.9	1458.5
No	386	186	49	73	54	183	142	59	162	220	291	85	40	29	22	68	158	136	313	35	29
	62.5	67.1	48.5	60.3	61.4	56.7	67.3	73.8	43.8	91.3	59.6	73.3	81.6	61.7	84.6	69.4	61.7	61.0	62.5	58.3	64.4
		Cc	de			GHgh			Jj		LMolmo		Nn	Oo							

Table 6-1
Q1. How long have you been participating in a consumer directed support program?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE		HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	10	4	1	4	1				5	4	7	3	1		3	2	2	5	8		
Total answering	640	293	104	120	90	334	222	84	379	252	504	121	51	48	27	106	265	224	517	62	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than 1 year	334	163	79	31	51	334			217	113	269	59	24	23	14	54	149	108	267	35	25
	52.2	55.6	76.0	25.8	56.7	100.0			57.3	44.8	53.4	48.8	47.1	47.9	51.9	50.9	56.2	48.2	51.6	56.5	53.2
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		GHgh			Jj								r				
Between 1 year and two years	222	104	24	60	19		222		132	86	172	45	18	17	13	38	89	79	180	21	17
	34.7	35.5	23.1	50.0	21.1		100.0		34.8	34.1	34.1	37.2	35.3	35.4	48.1	35.8	33.6	35.3	34.8	33.9	36.2
		CDEcde	Dd	Ee		Gg	Hh														
More than two years	84	26	1	29	20			84	30	53	63	17	9	8		14	27	37	70	6	5
	13.1	8.9	1.0	24.2	22.2			100.0	7.9	21.0	12.5	14.0	17.6	16.7		13.2	10.2	16.5	13.5	9.7	10.6
		CDEcde	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		Oo	Oo	Oo	Oo			Rr				

Table 10-1
Q1. My life routine has become more typical because of supports available under this program
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	39	11	6	6	11	15	14	5	19	18	31	6	3	1	3	7	17	10	25	4	6
Total answering	611	286	99	118	80	319	208	79	365	238	480	118	49	47	27	101	250	219	500	58	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	276	116	51	58	40	129	102	42	157	116	208	60	26	25	14	47	114	95	246	20	6
	45.2	40.6	51.5	49.2	50.0	40.4	49.0	53.2	43.0	48.7	43.3	50.8	53.1	53.2	51.9	46.5	45.6	43.4	49.2	34.5	14.6
		c				Hgh													TUtu	Uu	
4 Somewhat agree	249	120	42	49	29	140	79	28	160	86	205	40	17	14	9	41	101	92	202	27	15
	40.8	42.0	42.4	41.5	36.3	43.9	38.0	35.4	43.8	36.1	42.7	33.9	34.7	29.8	33.3	40.6	40.4	42.0	40.4	46.6	36.6
						j					ln										
3 Neither	67	42	5	9	6	40	21	6	40	25	55	11	4	5	2	12	31	22	42	9	13
	11.0	14.7	5.1	7.6	7.5	12.5	10.1	7.6	11.0	10.5	11.5	9.3	8.2	10.6	7.4	11.9	12.4	10.0	8.4	15.5	31.7
		CDEcde																	Uu	u	
2 Somewhat disagree	10	6		1	3	6	3	1	5	5	7	3	1	1	1		3	5	5	1	4
	1.6	2.1		0.8	3.8	1.9	1.4	1.3	1.4	2.1	1.5	2.5	2.0	2.1	3.7		1.2	2.3	1.0	1.7	9.8
		Cc	e													Rqr			u		
1 Strongly disagree	9	2	1	1	2	4	3	2	3	6	5	4	1	2	1	1	1	5	5	1	3
	1.5	0.7	1.0	0.8	2.5	1.3	1.4	2.5	0.8	2.5	1.0	3.4	2.0	4.3	3.7	1.0	0.4	2.3	1.0	1.7	7.3
																r					
Agree (net)	525	236	93	107	69	269	181	70	317	202	413	100	43	39	23	88	215	187	448	47	21
	85.9	82.5	93.9	90.7	86.3	84.3	87.0	88.6	86.8	84.9	86.0	84.7	87.8	83.0	85.2	87.1	86.0	85.4	89.6	81.0	51.2
		CDcd	e																Uu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	19	8	1	2	5	10	6	3	8	11	12	7	2	3	2	1	4	10	10	2	7
	3.1	2.8	1.0	1.7	6.3	3.1	2.9	3.8	2.2	4.6	2.5	5.9	4.1	6.4	7.4	1.0	1.6	4.6	2.0	3.4	17.1
			e													Rr	r		Uu	Uu	
Base for stats	611	286	99	118	80	319	208	79	365	238	480	118	49	47	27	101	250	219	500	58	41
Mean	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.1	3.4
		CDcd																	TUtu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 17
Continued

Table 10-1
Q1. My life routine has become more typical because of supports available under this program
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.83	0.81	0.68	0.73	0.94	0.82	0.82	0.87	0.77	0.91	0.80	0.97	0.87	1.02	1.00	0.76	0.76	0.88	0.76	0.84	1.08
Std err	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.19	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.11	0.17

Table 11-1
Q2. I feel more empowered
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	33	12	5	5	9	13	13	2	16	15	26	7	4	1	3	7	12	10	19	3	7
Total answering	617	285	100	119	82	321	209	82	368	241	485	117	48	47	27	101	255	219	506	59	40
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	236	87	44	59	35	118	85	31	145	89	183	48	20	22	8	39	87	89	212	16	5
	38.2	30.5	44.0	49.6	42.7	36.8	40.7	37.8	39.4	36.9	37.7	41.0	41.7	46.8	29.6	38.6	34.1	40.6	41.9	27.1	12.5
		CDEcde																	TUtu	u	
4 Somewhat agree	236	123	37	34	30	123	77	33	131	103	186	42	20	13	12	37	111	79	194	25	13
	38.2	43.2	37.0	28.6	36.6	38.3	36.8	40.2	35.6	42.7	38.4	35.9	41.7	27.7	44.4	36.6	43.5	36.1	38.3	42.4	32.5
		Dd							j								r				
3 Neither	120	61	16	24	13	65	40	15	76	41	105	13	5	6	2	23	47	41	88	16	11
	19.4	21.4	16.0	20.2	15.9	20.2	19.1	18.3	20.7	17.0	21.6	11.1	10.4	12.8	7.4	22.8	18.4	18.7	17.4	27.1	27.5
											LMOLmn										
											o										
2 Somewhat disagree	17	10	1	2	3	10	5	2	10	7	8	9	2	5	2	1	6	8	8	1	8
	2.8	3.5	1.0	1.7	3.7	3.1	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.9	1.6	7.7	4.2	10.6	7.4	1.0	2.4	3.7	1.6	1.7	20.0
		c									LNln					r			Uu	Uu	
1 Strongly disagree	8	4	2		1	5	2	1	6	1	3	5	1	1	3	1	4	2	4	1	3
	1.3	1.4	2.0		1.2	1.6	1.0	1.2	1.6	0.4	0.6	4.3	2.1	2.1	11.1	1.0	1.6	0.9	0.8	1.7	7.5
		Dd									lo										
Agree (net)	472	210	81	93	65	241	162	64	276	192	369	90	40	35	20	76	198	168	406	41	18
	76.5	73.7	81.0	78.2	79.3	75.1	77.5	78.0	75.0	79.7	76.1	76.9	83.3	74.5	74.1	75.2	77.6	76.7	80.2	69.5	45.0
																			Utu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	25	14	3	2	4	15	7	3	16	8	11	14	3	6	5	2	10	10	12	2	11
	4.1	4.9	3.0	1.7	4.9	4.7	3.3	3.7	4.3	3.3	2.3	12.0	6.3	12.8	18.5	2.0	3.9	4.6	2.4	3.4	27.5
		d									LNOLno								Uu	Uu	
Base for stats	617	285	100	119	82	321	209	82	368	241	485	117	48	47	27	101	255	219	506	59	40

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 19
Continued

Table 11-1
Q2. I feel more empowered
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.7	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	3.9	3.2
		CDcd																	TUtu	Uu	
Std dev	0.89	0.89	0.88	0.83	0.90	0.91	0.87	0.87	0.92	0.82	0.84	1.10	0.92	1.10	1.26	0.85	0.87	0.90	0.83	0.87	1.13
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.24	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.18

Table 12-1

Q3. This program has reduced my (or my family's) overall stress level--life is easier

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	31	11	5	4	9	13	11	2	16	13	25	5	2	1	3	6	13	7	20	3	5
Total answering	619	286	100	120	82	321	211	82	368	243	486	119	50	47	27	102	254	222	505	59	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	334	151	60	70	42	176	118	39	203	128	264	64	26	28	12	52	136	125	301	22	3
	54.0	52.8	60.0	58.3	51.2	54.8	55.9	47.6	55.2	52.7	54.3	53.8	52.0	59.6	44.4	51.0	53.5	56.3	59.6 TUtU	37.3 Uu	7.1
4 Somewhat agree	209	95	35	34	29	107	70	29	130	77	165	39	19	13	10	40	86	70	163	26	18
	33.8	33.2	35.0	28.3	35.4	33.3	33.2	35.4	35.3	31.7	34.0	32.8	38.0	27.7	37.0	39.2	33.9	31.5	32.3 t	44.1	42.9
3 Neither	45	24	2	12	6	23	10	11	18	26	37	5	3	1	1	8	23	12	27	8	8
	7.3	8.4 Cc	2.0 Dde	10.0	7.3	7.2	4.7 Hh	13.4	4.9 Jj	10.7	7.6 Nn	4.2	6.0	2.1	3.7	7.8	9.1	5.4	5.3 Utu	13.6	19.0
2 Somewhat disagree	23	12	3	3	3	11	10	2	15	6	14	9	1	5	3	2	7	12	12	2	9
	3.7	4.2	3.0	2.5	3.7	3.4	4.7	2.4	4.1	2.5	2.9 ln	7.6 m	2.0 n	10.6	11.1	2.0 r	2.8	5.4	2.4 Uu	3.4 Uu	21.4
1 Strongly disagree	8	4		1	2	4	3	1	2	6	6	2	1		1		2	3	2	1	4
	1.3	1.4 Cc		0.8	2.4	1.2	1.4	1.2	0.5 j	2.5	1.2 Nn	1.7	2.0		3.7		0.8 r	1.4	0.4 Uu	1.7	9.5
Agree (net)	543	246	95	104	71	283	188	68	333	205	429	103	45	41	22	92	222	195	464	48	21
	87.7	86.0 Cc	95.0 Dde	86.7	86.6	88.2	89.1	82.9	90.5 Jj	84.4	88.3	86.6	90.0	87.2	81.5	90.2	87.4	87.8	91.9 TUtU	81.4 Uu	50.0
Disagree (net)	31	16	3	4	5	15	13	3	17	12	20	11	2	5	4	2	9	15	14	3	13
	5.0	5.6	3.0	3.3	6.1	4.7	6.2	3.7	4.6	4.9	4.1 l	9.2	4.0	10.6	14.8	2.0 Rr	3.5	6.8	2.8 Uu	5.1 Uu	31.0
Base for stats	619	286	100	120	82	321	211	82	368	243	486	119	50	47	27	102	254	222	505	59	42
Mean	4.4	4.3 Cc	4.5 e	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5 TUtU	4.1 Uu	3.2

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 21

Continued

Table 12-1

Q3. This program has reduced my (or my family's) overall stress level--life is easier

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	-----					-----			-----		*****ANY*****					-----			-----		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.87	0.90	0.69	0.83	0.93	0.86	0.89	0.87	0.81	0.93	0.84	0.97	0.84	0.95	1.12	0.72	0.82	0.91	0.74	0.88	1.13
Std err	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.22	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.12	0.17

Table 13-1

Q4. I have been able to live where I choose

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	51	20	9	5	14	26	15	4	30	20	42	7	3	2	2	8	19	18	36	5	5
Total answering	599	277	96	119	77	308	207	80	354	236	469	117	49	46	28	100	248	211	489	57	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	251	103	39	57	43	125	85	40	143	105	193	53	21	25	10	43	100	96	212	20	12
	41.9	37.2	40.6	47.9	55.8	40.6	41.1	50.0	40.4	44.5	41.2	45.3	42.9	54.3	35.7	43.0	40.3	45.5	43.4	35.1	28.6
		DEde	Ee								n								Uu		
4 Somewhat agree	103	44	15	19	14	50	35	18	53	48	78	22	7	11	5	14	36	48	84	10	8
	17.2	15.9	15.6	16.0	18.2	16.2	16.9	22.5	15.0	20.3	16.6	18.8	14.3	23.9	17.9	14.0	14.5	22.7	17.2	17.5	19.0
									j							r	Rr				
3 Neither	215	116	39	37	16	116	80	16	145	66	176	36	19	7	12	39	102	56	172	23	17
	35.9	41.9	40.6	31.1	20.8	37.7	38.6	20.0	41.0	28.0	37.5	30.8	38.8	15.2	42.9	39.0	41.1	26.5	35.2	40.4	40.5
		DEde	Ee			Hh	Hh		Jj		Nn	Nn	Nn	Oo		Rr	Rr				
2 Somewhat disagree	15	8	1	2	2	10	3	2	8	7	12	1		1		3	7	3	9	4	2
	2.5	2.9	1.0	1.7	2.6	3.2	1.4	2.5	2.3	3.0	2.6	0.9		2.2		3.0	2.8	1.4	1.8	7.0	4.8
											MOmo										
1 Strongly disagree	15	6	2	4	2	7	4	4	5	10	10	5	2	2	1	1	3	8	12		3
	2.5	2.2	2.1	3.4	2.6	2.3	1.9	5.0	1.4	4.2	2.1	4.3	4.1	4.3	3.6	1.0	1.2	3.8	2.5		7.1
									j							r	r		Tt	u	
Agree (net)	354	147	54	76	57	175	120	58	196	153	271	75	28	36	15	57	136	144	296	30	20
	59.1	53.1	56.3	63.9	74.0	56.8	58.0	72.5	55.4	64.8	57.8	64.1	57.1	78.3	53.6	57.0	54.8	68.2	60.5	52.6	47.6
		DEde	Ee			Hh	Hh		Jj		Nn	n	Nn	Oo		r	Rr				
Disagree (net)	30	14	3	6	4	17	7	6	13	17	22	6	2	3	1	4	10	11	21	4	5
	5.0	5.1	3.1	5.0	5.2	5.5	3.4	7.5	3.7	7.2	4.7	5.1	4.1	6.5	3.6	4.0	4.0	5.2	4.3	7.0	11.9
									j												
Base for stats	599	277	96	119	77	308	207	80	354	236	469	117	49	46	28	100	248	211	489	57	42
Mean	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.6
		Ede	e								n								Uu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): {BCDE}{FGH}{IJ}{KLMNO}{PQR}{STU}

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 23

Continued

Table 13-1
Q4. I have been able to live where I choose
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.05	1.04	1.02	1.08	1.03	1.05	1.01	1.11	1.01	1.11	1.04	1.09	1.08	1.06	1.04	1.01	1.01	1.06	1.04	1.00	1.16
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.16	0.20	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.13	0.18

Table 14-1
Q5. I have been able to make necessary adaptations to my home
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	38	17	6	3	11	22	10	1	23	14	32	6	3		3	9	17	8	27	4	3
Total answering	612	280	99	121	80	312	212	83	361	242	479	118	49	48	27	99	250	221	498	58	44
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	209	79	46	51	24	97	74	34	139	68	154	52	24	24	8	28	74	95	186	16	2
	34.2	28.2	46.5	42.1	30.0	31.1	34.9	41.0	38.5	28.1	32.2	44.1	49.0	50.0	29.6	28.3	29.6	43.0	37.3	27.6	4.5
		CDcd	Ee	e					Jj		LMNlmn		o	o		Rr	Rr		Uu	Uu	
4 Somewhat agree	155	72	23	31	16	81	50	24	95	58	128	20	8	10	3	21	60	62	122	20	9
	25.3	25.7	23.2	25.6	20.0	26.0	23.6	28.9	26.3	24.0	26.7	16.9	16.3	20.8	11.1	21.2	24.0	28.1	24.5	34.5	20.5
											LOlmo										
3 Neither	211	111	25	35	32	118	70	22	101	108	174	32	11	10	12	45	101	51	170	20	18
	34.5	39.6	25.3	28.9	40.0	37.8	33.0	26.5	28.0	44.6	36.3	27.1	22.4	20.8	44.4	45.5	40.4	23.1	34.1	34.5	40.9
		CDcd	Ee			Hh			Jj		LMNlmn	o	o	Oo		Rr	Rr				
2 Somewhat disagree	15	5	1	3	4	7	7	1	12	2	12	3	1	2		1	7	5	5	1	9
	2.5	1.8	1.0	2.5	5.0	2.2	3.3	1.2	3.3	0.8	2.5	2.5	2.0	4.2		1.0	2.8	2.3	1.0	1.7	20.5
									Jj		Oo	o							Uu	Uu	
1 Strongly disagree	22	13	4	1	4	9	11	2	14	6	11	11	5	2	4	4	8	8	15	1	6
	3.6	4.6	4.0	0.8	5.0	2.9	5.2	2.4	3.9	2.5	2.3	9.3	10.2	4.2	14.8	4.0	3.2	3.6	3.0	1.7	13.6
		Dd									Llmo								Uu	Uu	
Agree (net)	364	151	69	82	40	178	124	58	234	126	282	72	32	34	11	49	134	157	308	36	11
	59.5	53.9	69.7	67.8	50.0	57.1	58.5	69.9	64.8	52.1	58.9	61.0	65.3	70.8	40.7	49.5	53.6	71.0	61.8	62.1	25.0
		CDcd	Ee	Ee		Hh	h		Jj		no	o	Oo	Oo		Rr	Rr		Uu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	37	18	5	4	8	16	18	3	26	8	23	14	6	4	4	5	15	13	20	2	15
	6.0	6.4	5.1	3.3	10.0	5.1	8.5	3.6	7.2	3.3	4.8	11.9	12.2	8.3	14.8	5.1	6.0	5.9	4.0	3.4	34.1
			e	e			h		Jj		Ll								Uu	Uu	
Base for stats	612	280	99	121	80	312	212	83	361	242	479	118	49	48	27	99	250	221	498	58	44
Mean	3.8	3.7	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.4	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.9	3.8	2.8
		CDcd	Ee	Ee		Hh	h		Jj		o			Oo		Rr	Rr		Uu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 25
Continued

Table 14-1
Q5. I have been able to make necessary adaptations to my home
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.04	1.04	1.06	0.94	1.11	1.00	1.11	0.97	1.07	0.96	0.98	1.28	1.31	1.11	1.31	1.02	1.02	1.04	1.01	0.91	1.05
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.12	0.19	0.16	0.25	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.12	0.16

Table 15-1
Q6. This program has given me more flexibility to meet my specific needs

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	27	9	5	4	7	11	10	1	13	12	24	3		1	2	4	10	9	15	4	5
Total answering	623 100.0	288 100.0	100 100.0	120 100.0	84 100.0	323 100.0	212 100.0	83 100.0	371 100.0	244 100.0	487 100.0	121 100.0	52 100.0	47 100.0	28 100.0	104 100.0	257 100.0	220 100.0	510 100.0	58 100.0	42 100.0
5 Strongly agree	356 57.1	150 52.1 Dd	61 61.0 d	87 72.5 Ee	46 54.8	185 57.3	126 59.4	43 51.8	213 57.4	138 56.6	280 57.5	69 57.0	30 57.7	28 59.6	16 57.1	56 53.8	144 56.0	135 61.4	322 63.1 TUtU	19 32.8 Uu	5 11.9
4 Somewhat agree	211 33.9	111 38.5 Dd	31 31.0	29 24.2	28 33.3	108 33.4	68 32.1	32 38.6	122 32.9	87 35.7	165 33.9	38 31.4	17 32.7	14 29.8	8 28.6	38 36.5	93 36.2	65 29.5	164 32.2 Tt	30 51.7	15 35.7
3 Neither	30 4.8	14 4.9 d	4 4.0	2 1.7 e	6 7.1	18 5.6	8 3.8	4 4.8	16 4.3	14 5.7	26 5.3	4 3.3	2 3.8	1 2.1	1 3.6	8 7.7	13 5.1	7 3.2	13 2.5 Uu	5 8.6 Uu	11 26.2
2 Somewhat disagree	17 2.7	10 3.5 d	2 2.0	1 0.8	4 4.8	9 2.8	5 2.4	3 3.6	14 3.8 Jj	3 1.2	14 2.9 Oo	3 2.5 o	1 1.9	2 4.3		1 1.0 r	5 1.9	9 4.1	7 1.4 Uu	3 5.2 u	7 16.7
1 Strongly disagree	9 1.4	3 1.0 e	2 2.0	1 0.8		3 0.9	5 2.4	1 1.2	6 1.6	2 0.8	2 0.4 Llo	7 5.8	2 3.8	2 4.3	3 10.7	1 1.0	2 0.8	4 1.8	4 0.8 u	1 1.7	4 9.5
Agree (net)	567 91.0	261 90.6 Dd	92 92.0	116 96.7 Ee	74 88.1	293 90.7	194 91.5	75 90.4	335 90.3	225 92.2	445 91.4	107 88.4	47 90.4	42 89.4	24 85.7	94 90.4	237 92.2	200 90.9	486 95.3 TUtU	49 84.5 Uu	20 47.6
Disagree (net)	26 4.2	13 4.5 d	4 4.0	2 1.7	4 4.8	12 3.7	10 4.7	4 4.8	20 5.4 Jj	5 2.0	16 3.3 l	10 8.3	3 5.8	4 8.5	3 10.7	2 1.9 r	7 2.7 r	13 5.9	11 2.2 Uu	4 6.9 Uu	11 26.2
Base for stats	623	288	100	120	84	323	212	83	371	244	487	121	52	47	28	104	257	220	510	58	42
Mean	4.4	4.4 Dd	4.5 d	4.7 Ee	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6 TUtU	4.1 Uu	3.2

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 27
Continued

Table 15-1
Q6. This program has given me more flexibility to meet my specific needs
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT		
	TOTAL {A}	HENN {B}	RAMSEY {C}	DAKOTA {D}	OTHER {E}	<1 {F}	1-2 {G}	>2 {H}	<19 {I}	19+ {J}	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	{P}	{Q}	{R}	{S}	{T}	{U}
Std dev	0.82	0.81	0.83	0.64	0.82	0.80	0.86	0.83	0.86	0.73	0.76	1.06	0.94	1.02	1.24	0.75	0.75	0.88	0.69	0.88	1.15
Std err	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.23	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.12	0.18

Table 16-1
Q7. I am better able to select who works with me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	29	9	5	5	9	13	8	2	15	12	26	3		1	2	8	11	6	16	5	5
Total answering	621 100.0	288 100.0	100 100.0	119 100.0	82 100.0	321 100.0	214 100.0	82 100.0	369 100.0	244 100.0	485 100.0	121 100.0	52 100.0	47 100.0	28 100.0	100 100.0	256 100.0	223 100.0	509 100.0	57 100.0	42 100.0
5 Strongly agree	395 63.6	177 61.5 Cc	76 76.0 Ede	77 64.7	48 58.5	214 66.7 Hh	137 64.0 Hh	41 50.0	242 65.6	149 61.1	310 63.9	77 63.6	35 67.3	28 59.6	18 64.3	55 55.0 Rr	160 62.5 r	156 70.0	353 69.4 TUTu	24 42.1 u	10 23.8
4 Somewhat agree	124 20.0	64 22.2	16 16.0	23 19.3	17 20.7	64 19.9	44 20.6	16 19.5	76 20.6	45 18.4	98 20.2	21 17.4	8 15.4	7 14.9	7 25.0	24 24.0	54 21.1	38 17.0	91 17.9 Uu	16 28.1	16 38.1
3 Neither	71 11.4	35 12.2	7 7.0	13 10.9	10 12.2	30 9.3 Hh	23 10.7 Hh	17 20.7	32 8.7 Jj	39 16.0	58 12.0	11 9.1	5 9.6	5 10.6	2 7.1	12 12.0	35 13.7 r	19 8.5	47 9.2 Ttu	13 22.8	9 21.4
2 Somewhat disagree	15 2.4	5 1.7	1 1.0	5 4.2	3 3.7	7 2.2	7 3.3	1 1.2	8 2.2	6 2.5	12 2.5 MOMO	3 2.5 mo		3 6.4 o		3 3.0	5 2.0	4 1.8	8 1.6	2 3.5	3 7.1
1 Strongly disagree	16 2.6	7 2.4 Cc		1 0.8 Ee	4 4.9	6 1.9 Hh	3 1.4 Hh	7 8.5	11 3.0	5 2.0	7 1.4 Llmm	9 7.4	4 7.7	4 8.5	1 3.6	6 6.0 Qq	2 0.8	6 2.7	10 2.0 u	2 3.5	4 9.5
Agree (net)	519 83.6	241 83.7 Cc	92 92.0 Ede	100 84.0	65 79.3	278 86.6 Hh	181 84.6 Hh	57 69.5	318 86.2 Jj	194 79.5	408 84.1	98 81.0	43 82.7	35 74.5 o	25 89.3	79 79.0 r	214 83.6	194 87.0	444 87.2 TUTu	40 70.2	26 61.9
Disagree (net)	31 5.0	12 4.2 Cc	1 1.0 Ede	6 5.0	7 8.5	13 4.0	10 4.7	8 9.8	19 5.1	11 4.5	19 3.9 LNln	12 9.9	4 7.7	7 14.9 o	1 3.6	9 9.0 Qq	7 2.7	10 4.5	18 3.5 Uu	4 7.0	7 16.7
Base for stats	621	288	100	119	82	321	214	82	369	244	485	121	52	47	28	100	256	223	509	57	42
Mean	4.4	4.4 Cc	4.7 DEde	4.4	4.2	4.5 Hh	4.4 Hh	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.5	4.2 Rqr	4.4	4.5	4.5 TUTu	4.0 u	3.6

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 29
Continued

Table 16-1
Q7. I am better able to select who works with me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.96	0.94	0.65	0.90	1.11	0.89	0.91	1.23	0.95	0.97	0.90	1.19	1.16	1.31	0.91	1.14	0.85	0.92	0.87	1.05	1.20
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.14	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.14	0.18

Table 17-1
Q8. I have been able to staff more personal care hours
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	29	9	4	6	9	12	8	3	16	12	27	2			2	7	12	7	19	4	3
Total answering	621	288	101	118	82	322	214	81	368	244	484	122	52	48	28	101	255	222	506	58	44
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	354	161	72	65	41	192	127	34	226	127	276	69	32	26	17	49	150	129	306	28	12
	57.0	55.9	71.3	55.1	50.0	59.6	59.3	42.0	61.4	52.0	57.0	56.6	61.5	54.2	60.7	48.5	58.8	58.1	60.5	48.3	27.3
		Cc	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj							q			Utu	Uu	
4 Somewhat agree	136	68	18	27	17	66	47	21	72	58	105	28	11	12	5	25	52	52	110	17	8
	21.9	23.6	17.8	22.9	20.7	20.5	22.0	25.9	19.6	23.8	21.7	23.0	21.2	25.0	17.9	24.8	20.4	23.4	21.7	29.3	18.2
3 Neither	95	39	8	21	19	43	31	20	46	48	78	15	5	6	4	24	42	21	69	8	14
	15.3	13.5	7.9	17.8	23.2	13.4	14.5	24.7	12.5	19.7	16.1	12.3	9.6	12.5	14.3	23.8	16.5	9.5	13.6	13.8	31.8
		ce	DEde			Hh	h		Jj							Rr	Rr		Uu	Uu	
2 Somewhat disagree	22	11	3	3	3	13	6	3	16	5	16	5	1	3	1	1	6	14	16	3	3
	3.5	3.8	3.0	2.5	3.7	4.0	2.8	3.7	4.3	2.0	3.3	4.1	1.9	6.3	3.6	1.0	2.4	6.3	3.2	5.2	6.8
																Rr	Rr				
1 Strongly disagree	14	9		2	2	8	3	3	8	6	9	5	3	1	1	2	5	6	5	2	7
	2.3	3.1		1.7	2.4	2.5	1.4	3.7	2.2	2.5	1.9	4.1	5.8	2.1	3.6	2.0	2.0	2.7	1.0	3.4	15.9
		Cc																	Uu	Uu	
Agree (net)	490	229	90	92	58	258	174	55	298	185	381	97	43	38	22	74	202	181	416	45	20
	78.9	79.5	89.1	78.0	70.7	80.1	81.3	67.9	81.0	75.8	78.7	79.5	82.7	79.2	78.6	73.3	79.2	81.5	82.2	77.6	45.5
		Cc	DEde			Hh	Hh												Uu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	36	20	3	5	5	21	9	6	24	11	25	10	4	4	2	3	11	20	21	5	10
	5.8	6.9	3.0	4.2	6.1	6.5	4.2	7.4	6.5	4.5	5.2	8.2	7.7	8.3	7.1	3.0	4.3	9.0	4.2	8.6	22.7
		c														Rr	Rr		Uu	u	
Base for stats	621	288	101	118	82	322	214	81	368	244	484	122	52	48	28	101	255	222	506	58	44
Mean	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.1	3.3
		Cc	DEde			Hh	Hh												Uu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 31
Continued

Table 17-1
Q8. I have been able to staff more personal care hours
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME-	NETHR/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.99	1.03	0.76	0.95	1.04	1.01	0.92	1.07	1.00	0.99	0.97	1.08	1.10	1.03	1.06	0.96	0.96	1.05	0.90	1.06	1.36
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.20	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.14	0.21

Table 18-1
Q9. I have been able to retain staff longer
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD SEVERE (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	39	17	7	6	8	18	11	4	18	20	33	4		1	3	10	13	12	25	5	4
Total answering	611	280	98	118	83	316	211	80	366	236	478	120	52	47	27	98	254	217	500	57	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	346	160	68	64	38	186	122	37	222	121	266	74	33	29	18	43	142	137	305	25	10
	56.6	57.1	69.4	54.2	45.8	58.9	57.8	46.3	60.7	51.3	55.6	61.7	63.5	61.7	66.7	43.9	55.9	63.1	61.0	43.9	23.3
		Cce	DEde			Hh	h		Jj							QRqr			TUtu	Uu	
4 Somewhat agree	129	60	18	23	22	63	43	20	70	57	106	18	5	9	4	27	54	43	102	16	8
	21.1	21.4	18.4	19.5	26.5	19.9	20.4	25.0	19.1	24.2	22.2	15.0	9.6	19.1	14.8	27.6	21.3	19.8	20.4	28.1	18.6
											Mlm										
3 Neither	108	47	12	27	16	54	36	18	56	49	89	17	7	6	4	23	49	26	79	11	16
	17.7	16.8	12.2	22.9	19.3	17.1	17.1	22.5	15.3	20.8	18.6	14.2	13.5	12.8	14.8	23.5	19.3	12.0	15.8	19.3	37.2
			Dd						j							Rr	Rr		Uu	u	
2 Somewhat disagree	13	4		1	5	7	5	1	8	4	9	4	2	2		3	5	3	7	4	2
	2.1	1.4		0.8	6.0	2.2	2.4	1.3	2.2	1.7	1.9	3.3	3.8	4.3		3.1	2.0	1.4	1.4	7.0	4.7
		Cce	Ee	e							Oo	Oo									
1 Strongly disagree	15	9		3	2	6	5	4	10	5	8	7	5	1	1	2	4	8	7	1	7
	2.5	3.2		2.5	2.4	1.9	2.4	5.0	2.7	2.1	1.7	5.8	9.6	2.1	3.7	2.0	1.6	3.7	1.4	1.8	16.3
		Cc	d								lm								Uu	Uu	
Agree (net)	475	220	86	87	60	249	165	57	292	178	372	92	38	38	22	70	196	180	407	41	18
	77.7	78.6	87.8	73.7	72.3	78.8	78.2	71.3	79.8	75.4	77.8	76.7	73.1	80.9	81.5	71.4	77.2	82.9	81.4	71.9	41.9
		Cc	DEde													Rr			Uu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	28	13		4	7	13	10	5	18	9	17	11	7	3	1	5	9	11	14	5	9
	4.6	4.6		3.4	8.4	4.1	4.7	6.3	4.9	3.8	3.6	9.2	13.5	6.4	3.7	5.1	3.5	5.1	2.8	8.8	20.9
		Cc	DEde								LMlm								Uu	u	
Base for stats	611	280	98	118	83	316	211	80	366	236	478	120	52	47	27	98	254	217	500	57	43
Mean	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.1	3.3
		Cc	DEde			Hh	h									Rqr			TUtu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 33
Continued

Table 18-1
Q9. I have been able to retain staff longer
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER				SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	WHAT (T)	NOT (U)
Std dev	0.99	1.00	0.70	0.99	1.05	0.96	0.99	1.09	0.99	0.97	0.94	1.17	1.33	1.00	0.99	0.99	0.95	1.00	0.90	1.03	1.32
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.14	0.20

Table 19-1

Q10. I have been able to obtain higher quality staff (more knowledgeable, reliable, competent)

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	31	11	5	6	8	12	9	4	15	15	26	5	1	1	3	7	11	9	20	4	3
Total answering	619	286	100	118	83	322	213	80	369	241	485	119	51	47	27	101	256	220	505	58	44
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	369	170	74	67	42	201	130	36	226	140	286	72	34	25	19	50	153	143	326	24	10
	59.6	59.4	74.0	56.8	50.6	62.4	61.0	45.0	61.2	58.1	59.0	60.5	66.7	53.2	70.4	49.5	59.8	65.0	64.6	41.4	22.7
		Cc	DEde			Hh	Hh									Rqr			TUtu	Uu	
4 Somewhat agree	131	67	15	23	20	64	45	21	75	54	106	25	7	14	4	27	49	47	102	14	13
	21.2	23.4	15.0	19.5	24.1	19.9	21.1	26.3	20.3	22.4	21.9	21.0	13.7	29.8	14.8	26.7	19.1	21.4	20.2	24.1	29.5
		c											n								
3 Neither	94	37	9	22	18	43	32	18	52	39	76	16	6	7	3	21	43	21	67	11	15
	15.2	12.9	9.0	18.6	21.7	13.4	15.0	22.5	14.1	16.2	15.7	13.4	11.8	14.9	11.1	20.8	16.8	9.5	13.3	19.0	34.1
		e	DEde			h										Rr	Rr		Uu	u	
2 Somewhat disagree	10	4	2	1	2	6	2	2	6	3	9					2	6	2	3	6	1
	1.6	1.4	2.0	0.8	2.4	1.9	0.9	2.5	1.6	1.2	1.9					2.0	2.3	0.9	0.6	10.3	2.3
											LMNOlm								Tt	u	
											no										
1 Strongly disagree	15	8		5	1	8	4	3	10	5	8	6	4	1	1	1	5	7	7	3	5
	2.4	2.8		4.2	1.2	2.5	1.9	3.8	2.7	2.1	1.6	5.0	7.8	2.1	3.7	1.0	2.0	3.2	1.4	5.2	11.4
		Cc	Dd																Uu		
Agree (net)	500	237	89	90	62	265	175	57	301	194	392	97	41	39	23	77	202	190	428	38	23
	80.8	82.9	89.0	76.3	74.7	82.3	82.2	71.3	81.6	80.5	80.8	81.5	80.4	83.0	85.2	76.2	78.9	86.4	84.8	65.5	52.3
			DEde			Hh	h									Rr	Rr		TUtu		
Disagree (net)	25	12	2	6	3	14	6	5	16	8	17	6	4	1	1	3	11	9	10	9	6
	4.0	4.2	2.0	5.1	3.6	4.3	2.8	6.3	4.3	3.3	3.5	5.0	7.8	2.1	3.7	3.0	4.3	4.1	2.0	15.5	13.6
																			TUtu		
Base for stats	619	286	100	118	83	322	213	80	369	241	485	119	51	47	27	101	256	220	505	58	44

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 35

Continued

Table 19-1
Q10. I have been able to obtain higher quality staff (more knowledgeable, reliable, competent)
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME-	NETHR/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	3.9	3.5
		Cc	DEde			Hb	Hh									Rr			TUtu		
Std dev	0.96	0.95	0.73	1.06	0.94	0.96	0.90	1.05	0.97	0.93	0.92	1.04	1.18	0.88	0.96	0.91	0.96	0.93	0.85	1.21	1.20
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.13	0.18	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.16	0.18

Table 20-1
Q11. I have been able to obtain more respite care
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	45	13	6	12	10	16	19	4	18	24	34	11	5	2	4	10	17	12	32	2	7
Total answering	605	284	99	112	81	318	203	80	366	232	477	113	47	46	26	98	250	217	493	60	40
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	283	136	50	54	32	149	96	38	178	104	220	57	28	22	12	39	115	104	248	19	9
	46.8	47.9	50.5	48.2	39.5	46.9	47.3	47.5	48.6	44.8	46.1 m	50.4	59.6	47.8	46.2	39.8	46.0	47.9	50.3 TUTu	31.7	22.5
4 Somewhat agree	140	74	15	25	20	78	49	11	87	51	115	23	11	10	2	23	60	55	109	18	9
	23.1	26.1 Cc	15.2	22.3	24.7	24.5 Hh	24.1 Hh	13.8	23.8	22.0	24.1 Oo	20.4 o	23.4 o	21.7 o	7.7	23.5	24.0	25.3	22.1	30.0	22.5
3 Neither	147	58	29	27	24	70	51	24	77	67	118	24	5	11	9	30	64	42	115	19	12
	24.3	20.4 c	29.3	24.1	29.6	22.0	25.1	30.0	21.0 Jj	28.9	24.7 Mm	21.2 m	10.6 Ono	23.9	34.6	30.6 Rr	25.6	19.4	23.3	31.7	30.0
2 Somewhat disagree	14	5	3	1	3	7	4	3	10	4	10	3	1	1	1	3	5	6	11	1	2
	2.3	1.8	3.0	0.9	3.7	2.2	2.0	3.8	2.7	1.7	2.1	2.7	2.1	2.2	3.8	3.1	2.0	2.8	2.2	1.7	5.0
1 Strongly disagree	21	11	2	5	2	14	3	4	14	6	14	6	2	2	2	3	6	10	10	3	8
	3.5	3.9	2.0	4.5	2.5	4.4 Gg	1.5	5.0	3.8	2.6	2.9	5.3	4.3	4.3	7.7	3.1	2.4	4.6	2.0 Uu	5.0 Uu	20.0
Agree (net)	423	210	65	79	52	227	145	49	265	155	335	80	39	32	14	62	175	159	357	37	18
	69.9	73.9	65.7	70.5	64.2	71.4 h	71.4	61.3	72.4	66.8	70.2 Mm	70.8 m	83.0 Oo	69.6	53.8	63.3 r	70.0	73.3	72.4 Uu	61.7	45.0
Disagree (net)	35	16	5	6	5	21	7	7	24	10	24	9	3	3	3	6	11	16	21	4	10
	5.8	5.6	5.1	5.4	6.2	6.6 g	3.4	8.8	6.6	4.3	5.0	8.0	6.4	6.5	11.5	6.1	4.4	7.4	4.3 Uu	6.7 Uu	25.0
Base for stats	605	284	99	112	81	318	203	80	366	232	477	113	47	46	26	98	250	217	493	60	40
Mean	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.3 o	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.2 TUTu	3.8 Uu	3.2

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 37
Continued

Table 20-1
Q11. I have been able to obtain more respite care
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER						
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Std dev	1.05	1.04	1.05	1.07	1.03	1.08	0.96	1.17	1.07	1.02	1.02	1.14	1.03	1.09	1.27	1.05	1.00	1.09	0.99	1.06	1.39
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.25	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.14	0.22

Table 21-1

Q12. I have developed skills more quickly because of resources available under the program

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	26	8	5	4	7	12	7	1	14	11	23	3	1		2	4	10	9	18	3	2
Total answering	624	289	100	120	84	322	215	83	370	245	488	121	51	48	28	104	257	220	507	59	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	200	89	36	40	28	104	69	26	122	76	149	47	22	15	13	39	87	60	183	9	6
	32.1	30.8	36.0	33.3	33.3	32.3	32.1	31.3	33.0	31.0	30.5	38.8	43.1	31.3	46.4	37.5	33.9	27.3	36.1	15.3	13.3
											lm					r			TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	259	121	47	44	33	136	92	28	160	96	208	44	17	21	9	41	108	93	212	28	13
	41.5	41.9	47.0	36.7	39.3	42.2	42.8	33.7	43.2	39.2	42.6	36.4	33.3	43.8	32.1	39.4	42.0	42.3	41.8	47.5	28.9
																			u	u	
3 Neither	136	66	15	31	18	69	47	20	71	63	108	24	9	11	4	21	50	55	97	15	19
	21.8	22.8	15.0	25.8	21.4	21.4	21.9	24.1	19.2	25.7	22.1	19.8	17.6	22.9	14.3	20.2	19.5	25.0	19.1	25.4	42.2
		c	Dd						j										Uu	u	
2 Somewhat disagree	17	6	2	3	3	8	4	5	11	5	17					1	9	6	11	5	1
	2.7	2.1	2.0	2.5	3.6	2.5	1.9	6.0	3.0	2.0	3.5					1.0	3.5	2.7	2.2	8.5	2.2
											LMNOlm					q			t		
											no										
1 Strongly disagree	12	7		2	2	5	3	4	6	5	6	6	3	1	2	2	3	6	4	2	6
	1.9	2.4		1.7	2.4	1.6	1.4	4.8	1.6	2.0	1.2	5.0	5.9	2.1	7.1	1.9	1.2	2.7	0.8	3.4	13.3
		Cc									1								Uu	u	
Agree (net)	459	210	83	84	61	240	161	54	282	172	357	91	39	36	22	80	195	153	395	37	19
	73.6	72.7	83.0	70.0	72.6	74.5	74.9	65.1	76.2	70.2	73.2	75.2	76.5	75.0	78.6	76.9	75.9	69.5	77.9	62.7	42.2
		Cc	Dde																TUtu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	29	13	2	5	5	13	7	9	17	10	23	6	3	1	2	3	12	12	15	7	7
	4.6	4.5	2.0	4.2	6.0	4.0	3.3	10.8	4.6	4.1	4.7	5.0	5.9	2.1	7.1	2.9	4.7	5.5	3.0	11.9	15.6
						h	Hh												TUtu		
Base for stats	624	289	100	120	84	322	215	83	370	245	488	121	51	48	28	104	257	220	507	59	45

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): {BCDE}{FGH}{IJ}{KLMNO}{PQR}{STU}

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 39

Continued

Table 21-1
Q12. I have developed skills more quickly because of resources available under the program
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME-	NETHR/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	4.0	4.0 Cc	4.2 d	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1 r	4.0 r	3.9	4.1 Tutu	3.6 u	3.3
Std dev	0.91	0.91	0.75	0.92	0.95	0.88	0.86	1.09	0.89	0.91	0.88	1.02	1.06	0.85	1.11	0.88	0.88	0.93	0.84	0.95	1.14
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.21	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.12	0.17

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1366 5/8/2 Page 40

Table 22-1
Q13. I have been able to get out into the community more
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	26	7	5	6	7	9	10	1	14	10	23	3		1	2	6	10	7	15	3	5
Total answering	624	290	100	118	84	325	212	83	370	246	488	121	52	47	28	102	257	222	510	59	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	352	174	58	66	43	176	130	44	199	151	272	72	35	24	19	58	154	117	319	17	9
	56.4	60.0	58.0	55.9	51.2	54.2	61.3	53.0	53.8	61.4	55.7	59.5	67.3	51.1	67.9	56.9	59.9	52.7	62.5	28.8	21.4
									j		m		n						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	205	90	35	45	22	109	63	31	129	72	166	34	12	16	6	31	77	83	152	28	20
	32.9	31.0	35.0	38.1	26.2	33.5	29.7	37.3	34.9	29.3	34.0	28.1	23.1	34.0	21.4	30.4	30.0	37.4	29.8	47.5	47.6
				e							m						r		TUtu		
3 Neither	48	16	6	5	16	29	17	2	29	18	37	9	2	6	1	12	18	14	29	10	8
	7.7	5.5	6.0	4.2	19.0	8.9	8.0	2.4	7.8	7.3	7.6	7.4	3.8	12.8	3.6	11.8	7.0	6.3	5.7	16.9	19.0
		Ee	Ee	Ee		Hh	Hh												TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	11	4	1	1	3	7	1	3	9	2	11					1	6	3	6	3	2
	1.8	1.4	1.0	0.8	3.6	2.2	0.5	3.6	2.4	0.8	2.3					1.0	2.3	1.4	1.2	5.1	4.8
						g					LMNOlm										
											no										
1 Strongly disagree	8	6		1		4	1	3	4	3	2	6	3	1	2		2	5	4	1	3
	1.3	2.1		0.8		1.2	0.5	3.6	1.1	1.2	0.4	5.0	5.8	2.1	7.1		0.8	2.3	0.8	1.7	7.1
		CEce									Ll					Rr					
Agree (net)	557	264	93	111	65	285	193	75	328	223	438	106	47	40	25	89	231	200	471	45	29
	89.3	91.0	93.0	94.1	77.4	87.7	91.0	90.4	88.6	90.7	89.8	87.6	90.4	85.1	89.3	87.3	89.9	90.1	92.4	76.3	69.0
		Ee	Ee	Ee															TUtu		
Disagree (net)	19	10	1	2	3	11	2	6	13	5	13	6	3	1	2	1	8	8	10	4	5
	3.0	3.4	1.0	1.7	3.6	3.4	0.9	7.2	3.5	2.0	2.7	5.0	5.8	2.1	7.1	1.0	3.1	3.6	2.0	6.8	11.9
		c				Gg	Hh									r			Uu		
Base for stats	624	290	100	118	84	325	212	83	370	246	488	121	52	47	28	102	257	222	510	59	42

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 41
Continued

Table 22-1
Q13. I have been able to get out into the community more
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	4.4	4.5 e	4.5 Ee	4.5 e	4.3	4.4 Gg	4.5	4.3	4.4 j	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5 Tutu	4.0	3.7
Std dev	0.81	0.83	0.66	0.70	0.88	0.83	0.70	0.96	0.82	0.77	0.76	0.99	1.01	0.85	1.08	0.73	0.79	0.84	0.73	0.90	1.08
Std err	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.14	0.12	0.20	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.12	0.17

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 42

Table 23-1
Q14. I have more interaction and improved relationships with my siblings and other family members
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	30	8	6	7	8	12	11	1	15	13	27	3		1	2	8	10	8	19	3	4
Total answering	620	289	99	117	83	322	211	83	369	243	484	121	52	47	28	100	257	221	506	59	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	256	132	43	47	25	129	95	32	147	106	185	66	32	25	12	40	109	88	229	17	7
	41.3	45.7	43.4	40.2	30.1	40.1	45.0	38.6	39.8	43.6	38.2	54.5	61.5	53.2	42.9	40.0	42.4	39.8	45.3	28.8	16.3
		Ee	e								LMlmn								TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	209	91	37	41	30	110	67	28	131	76	176	29	14	11	7	34	86	77	169	18	15
	33.7	31.5	37.4	35.0	36.1	34.2	31.8	33.7	35.5	31.3	36.4	24.0	26.9	23.4	25.0	34.0	33.5	34.8	33.4	30.5	34.9
											LNln										
3 Neither	127	53	16	25	23	65	46	16	74	51	101	20	4	9	7	24	50	44	91	19	15
	20.5	18.3	16.2	21.4	27.7	20.2	21.8	19.3	20.1	21.0	20.9	16.5	7.7	19.1	25.0	24.0	19.5	19.9	18.0	32.2	34.9
		e	e								Mm	m	no						TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	17	7	3	3	2	11	2	4	12	4	15	2		1	1	1	9	7	11	3	3
	2.7	2.4	3.0	2.6	2.4	3.4	0.9	4.8	3.3	1.6	3.1	1.7		2.1	3.6	1.0	3.5	3.2	2.2	5.1	7.0
						Gg					Mm										
1 Strongly disagree	11	6		1	3	7	1	3	5	6	7	4	2	1	1	1	3	5	6	2	3
	1.8	2.1		0.9	3.6	2.2	0.5	3.6	1.4	2.5	1.4	3.3	3.8	2.1	3.6	1.0	1.2	2.3	1.2	3.4	7.0
		Cc	e			g															
Agree (net)	465	223	80	88	55	239	162	60	278	182	361	95	46	36	19	74	195	165	398	35	22
	75.0	77.2	80.8	75.2	66.3	74.2	76.8	72.3	75.3	74.9	74.6	78.5	88.5	76.6	67.9	74.0	75.9	74.7	78.7	59.3	51.2
		e	Ee								Mm	m	Oo						TUtu		
Disagree (net)	28	13	3	4	5	18	3	7	17	10	22	6	2	2	2	2	12	12	17	5	6
	4.5	4.5	3.0	3.4	6.0	5.6	1.4	8.4	4.6	4.1	4.5	5.0	3.8	4.3	7.1	2.0	4.7	5.4	3.4	8.5	14.0
						Gg	Hh									r			Uu		
Base for stats	620	289	99	117	83	322	211	83	369	243	484	121	52	47	28	100	257	221	506	59	43
Mean	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.5
		Ee	Ee	e		g					Mlm		o						TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 43
Continued

Table 23-1
Q14. I have more interaction and improved relationships with my siblings and other family members
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.94	0.95	0.82	0.88	0.99	0.96	0.84	1.05	0.92	0.96	0.92	1.01	0.93	0.97	1.07	0.87	0.92	0.96	0.89	1.03	1.06
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.13	0.14	0.20	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.13	0.16

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 44

Table 24-1
Q15. I have been able to spend more time with people my age who do not have a developmental disability
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	22	6	4	4	7	11	5	1	14	7	20	2			2	5	10	5	14	3	2
Total answering	628	291	101	120	84	323	217	83	370	249	491	122	52	48	28	103	257	224	511	59	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	187	81	36	37	26	88	71	27	104	80	141	39	22	14	7	32	81	61	169	7	6
	29.8	27.8	35.6	30.8	31.0	27.2	32.7	32.5	28.1	32.1	28.7 m	32.0	42.3	29.2	25.0	31.1	31.5	27.2	33.1 Tutu	11.9	13.3
4 Somewhat agree	198	95	34	39	18	111	65	20	124	72	150	45	21	15	11	27	78	79	170	17	9
	31.5	32.6 Ee	33.7 e	32.5 e	21.4	34.4 h	30.0	24.1	33.5	28.9	30.5	36.9	40.4	31.3	39.3	26.2 r	30.4	35.3	33.3 Uu	28.8	20.0
3 Neither	156	69	19	30	29	78	55	21	87	66	131	21	5	13	3	35	64	49	111	24	16
	24.8	23.7 e	18.8 Ee	25.0	34.5	24.1	25.3	25.3	23.5	26.5	26.7 LMOlmo	17.2	9.6 Nn	27.1 o	10.7	34.0 Rqr	24.9	21.9	21.7 Ttu	40.7	35.6
2 Somewhat disagree	64	33	9	11	8	33	21	10	43	21	52	11	2	5	4	7	26	26	50	6	8
	10.2	11.3	8.9	9.2	9.5	10.2	9.7	12.0	11.6	8.4	10.6 Mm	9.0	3.8	10.4	14.3	6.8	10.1	11.6	9.8	10.2	17.8
1 Strongly disagree	23	13	3	3	3	13	5	5	12	10	17	6	2	1	3	2	8	9	11	5	6
	3.7	4.5	3.0	2.5	3.6	4.0	2.3	6.0	3.2	4.0	3.5	4.9	3.8	2.1	10.7	1.9	3.1	4.0	2.2 Utu	8.5	13.3
Agree (net)	385	176	70	76	44	199	136	47	228	152	291	84	43	29	18	59	159	140	339	24	15
	61.3	60.5	69.3 Ee	63.3	52.4	61.6	62.7	56.6	61.6	61.0	59.3 LMlM	68.9 Mm	82.7 Nno	60.4	64.3	57.3	61.9	62.5	66.3 Tutu	40.7	33.3
Disagree (net)	87	46	12	14	11	46	26	15	55	31	69	17	4	6	7	9	34	35	61	11	14
	13.9	15.8	11.9	11.7	13.1	14.2	12.0	18.1	14.9	12.4	14.1	13.9	7.7 o	12.5	25.0	8.7 r	13.2	15.6	11.9 Uu	18.6	31.1
Base for stats	628	291	101	120	84	323	217	83	370	249	491	122	52	48	28	103	257	224	511	59	45
Mean	3.7	3.7 c	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7 Mm	3.8 m	4.1 Ono	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.9 Tutu	3.3	3.0

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 45
Continued

Table 24-1
Q15. I have been able to spend more time with people my age who do not have a developmental disability
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.10	1.13	1.08	1.05	1.12	1.09	1.07	1.22	1.09	1.11	1.10	1.12	1.00	1.05	1.30	1.02	1.09	1.11	1.05	1.07	1.20
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.14	0.15	0.24	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.14	0.18

Table 25-1

Q16. I have been able to obtain equipment to help me integrate into the community

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	37	14	9	6	7	21	8	2	18	18	30	7	2	2	3	9	19	7	28	3	3
Total answering	613	283	96	118	84	313	214	82	366	238	481	117	50	46	27	99	248	222	497	59	44
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	175	70	38	41	18	85	64	26	129	43	131	39	17	20	6	18	65	82	156	10	4
	28.5	24.7	39.6	34.7	21.4	27.2	29.9	31.7	35.2	18.1	27.2	33.3	34.0	43.5	22.2	18.2	26.2	36.9	31.4	16.9	9.1
		Ccd	Ee	Ee					Jj		Nn			o		Rqr	Rr		TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	163	76	29	33	16	83	63	15	112	51	124	34	17	14	5	16	65	71	131	23	7
	26.6	26.9	30.2	28.0	19.0	26.5	29.4	18.3	30.6	21.4	25.8	29.1	34.0	30.4	18.5	16.2	26.2	32.0	26.4	39.0	15.9
			e			h	Hh		Jj							QRqr			tu	Uu	
3 Neither	236	110	25	42	46	128	75	31	105	127	200	31	8	11	12	61	104	54	188	20	23
	38.5	38.9	26.0	35.6	54.8	40.9	35.0	37.8	28.7	53.4	41.6	26.5	16.0	23.9	44.4	61.6	41.9	24.3	37.8	33.9	52.3
		CEce	Ee	Ee					Jj		LMNlmn	o	Oo	o		QRqr	Rr		u	u	
2 Somewhat disagree	19	12	2	1	3	11	4	4	11	7	15	4	3		1	1	9	7	14	2	3
	3.1	4.2	2.1	0.8	3.6	3.5	1.9	4.9	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.4	6.0		3.7	1.0	3.6	3.2	2.8	3.4	6.8
		Dd									Nn	Nn	n			q					
1 Strongly disagree	20	15	2	1	1	6	8	6	9	10	11	9	5	1	3	3	5	8	8	4	7
	3.3	5.3	2.1	0.8	1.2	1.9	3.7	7.3	2.5	4.2	2.3	7.7	10.0	2.2	11.1	3.0	2.0	3.6	1.6	6.8	15.9
		DEde				h					Llm	n							Uu		
Agree (net)	338	146	67	74	34	168	127	41	241	94	255	73	34	34	11	34	130	153	287	33	11
	55.1	51.6	69.8	62.7	40.5	53.7	59.3	50.0	65.8	39.5	53.0	62.4	68.0	73.9	40.7	34.3	52.4	68.9	57.7	55.9	25.0
		CDcde	Ee	Ee					Jj		MNlmn	Oo	Oo	Oo		QRqr	Rr		Uu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	39	27	4	2	4	17	12	10	20	17	26	13	8	1	4	4	14	15	22	6	10
	6.4	9.5	4.2	1.7	4.8	5.4	5.6	12.2	5.5	7.1	5.4	11.1	16.0	2.2	14.8	4.0	5.6	6.8	4.4	10.2	22.7
		CDcd				h	h				Mlm	Nn	Nn	o					Uu	u	
Base for stats	613	283	96	118	84	313	214	82	366	238	481	117	50	46	27	99	248	222	497	59	44
Mean	3.7	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.4	3.5	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.0
		CDcd	Ee	Ee					Jj		Nn	Nn		Oo		QRqr	Rr		TUtu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 47
Continued

Table 25-1
Q16. I have been able to obtain equipment to help me integrate into the community
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.01	1.07	0.96	0.90	0.90	0.96	1.01	1.19	0.99	0.96	0.97	1.17	1.26	0.92	1.19	0.90	0.96	1.03	0.96	1.03	1.11
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.13	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.18	0.14	0.23	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.17

Table 26-1
Q17. Money is being allocated better within the system
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	34	11	7	4	10	17	9	2	20	13	30	4	1	1	2	5	13	11	23	4	4
Total answering	616 100.0	286 100.0	98 100.0	120 100.0	81 100.0	317 100.0	213 100.0	82 100.0	364 100.0	243 100.0	481 100.0	120 100.0	51 100.0	47 100.0	28 100.0	103 100.0	254 100.0	218 100.0	502 100.0	58 100.0	43 100.0
5 Strongly agree	310 50.3	130 45.5 Dd	52 53.1 Dde	82 68.3 Ee	33 40.7	160 50.5	110 51.6	39 47.6	174 47.8 j	135 55.6	240 49.9	61 50.8	27 52.9	24 51.1	14 50.0	44 42.7 Rr	124 48.8 r	125 57.3	289 57.6 Tutu	12 20.7	5 11.6
4 Somewhat agree	186 30.2	87 30.4	31 31.6	28 23.3	27 33.3	101 31.9	60 28.2	22 26.8	118 32.4 j	63 25.9	146 30.4 o	34 28.3	14 27.5	16 34.0	5 17.9	39 37.9 Rr	78 30.7	58 26.6	144 28.7 Tutu	32 55.2 Uu	7 16.3
3 Neither	88 14.3	51 17.8 Dcd	11 11.2	8 6.7 Ee	15 18.5	42 13.2	31 14.6	15 18.3	48 13.2	38 15.6	74 15.4 Nn	14 11.7	7 13.7	3 6.4	5 17.9	16 15.5	41 16.1 r	23 10.6	57 11.4 Uu	9 15.5 Uu	16 37.2
2 Somewhat disagree	17 2.8	10 3.5 d	2 2.0	1 0.8	3 3.7	10 3.2	4 1.9	3 3.7	12 3.3	4 1.6	14 2.9 Oo	3 2.5 o	1 2.0	2 4.3		1 1.0 q	9 3.5	4 1.8	7 1.4 Uu	4 6.9	6 14.0
1 Strongly disagree	15 2.4	8 2.8	2 2.0	1 0.8	3 3.7	4 1.3 g	8 3.8	3 3.7	12 3.3 j	3 1.2	7 1.5 Llo	8 6.7	2 3.9	2 4.3	4 14.3	3 2.9	2 0.8 Rr	8 3.7	5 1.0 Uu	1 1.7 Uu	9 20.9
Agree (net)	496 80.5	217 75.9 CDcd	83 84.7 e	110 91.7 Ee	60 74.1	261 82.3	170 79.8	61 74.4	292 80.2	198 81.5	386 80.2	95 79.2	41 80.4	40 85.1 o	19 67.9	83 80.6	202 79.5	183 63.9	433 86.3 Utu	44 75.9 Uu	12 27.9
Disagree (net)	32 5.2	18 6.3 Dd	4 4.1	2 1.7 e	6 7.4	14 4.4	12 5.6	6 7.3	24 6.6 Jj	7 2.9	21 4.4 l	11 9.2	3 5.9	4 8.5	4 14.3	4 3.9	11 4.3	12 5.5	12 2.4 Utu	5 8.6 Uu	15 34.9
Base for stats	616	286	98	120	81	317	213	82	364	243	481	120	51	47	28	103	254	218	502	58	43
Mean	4.2	4.1 Dcd	4.3 Dde	4.6 Ee	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2 j	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.4 Tutu	3.9 Uu	2.8

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 49
Continued

Table 26-1
Q17. Money is being allocated better within the system
Base is all respondents

											ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		*****ANY*****											
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.96	1.00	0.90	0.73	1.04	0.90	1.01	1.06	1.00	0.88	0.92	1.14	1.02	1.04	1.40	0.93	0.90	0.99	0.82	0.88	1.26
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.14	0.15	0.26	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.12	0.19

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 50

Table 27-1
Q18. I believe the overall cost to administer my services and supports has decreased under this program
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	42	15	7	6	10	22	10	3	27	14	35	6	2	2	3	6	17	14	31	3	5
Total answering	608	282	98	118	81	312	212	81	357	242	476	118	50	46	27	102	250	215	494	59	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	211	80	36	57	32	107	78	25	125	85	168	37	16	17	6	34	72	93	191	11	7
	34.7	28.4	36.7	48.3	39.5	34.3	36.8	30.9	35.0	35.1	35.3	31.4	32.0	37.0	22.2	33.3	28.8	43.3	38.7	18.6	16.7
		Dde	d													r	Rr	TUtu			
4 Somewhat agree	117	57	16	22	12	58	41	17	73	42	82	31	12	11	8	15	48	46	95	14	7
	19.2	20.2	16.3	18.6	14.8	18.6	19.3	21.0	20.4	17.4	17.2	26.3	24.0	23.9	29.6	14.7	19.2	21.4	19.2	23.7	16.7
											Ll										
3 Neither	218	110	39	32	31	115	73	29	123	89	182	34	15	13	9	43	103	58	163	26	20
	35.9	39.0	39.8	27.1	38.3	36.9	34.4	35.8	34.5	36.8	38.2	28.8	30.0	28.3	33.3	42.2	41.2	27.0	33.0	44.1	47.6
		Dd	Dd								Ll					Rr	Rr		u		
2 Somewhat disagree	43	22	5	6	4	21	15	7	26	17	33	8	3	4	1	7	20	12	31	7	4
	7.1	7.8	5.1	5.1	4.9	6.7	7.1	8.6	7.3	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.0	8.7	3.7	6.9	8.0	5.6	6.3	11.9	9.5
1 Strongly disagree	19	13	2	1	2	11	5	3	10	9	11	8	4	1	3	3	7	6	14	1	4
	3.1	4.6	2.0	0.8	2.5	3.5	2.4	3.7	2.8	3.7	2.3	6.8	8.0	2.2	11.1	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	1.7	9.5
		Dd									l										
Agree (net)	328	137	52	79	44	165	119	42	198	127	250	68	28	28	14	49	120	139	286	25	14
	53.9	48.6	53.1	66.9	54.3	52.9	56.1	51.9	55.5	52.5	52.5	57.6	56.0	60.9	51.9	48.0	48.0	64.7	57.9	42.4	33.3
		Dd	Dd	e												Rr	Rr		TUtu		
Disagree (net)	62	35	7	7	6	32	20	10	36	26	44	16	7	5	4	10	27	18	45	8	8
	10.2	12.4	7.1	5.9	7.4	10.3	9.4	12.3	10.1	10.7	9.2	13.6	14.0	10.9	14.8	9.8	10.8	8.4	9.1	13.6	19.0
		Dd																			
Base for stats	608	282	98	118	81	312	212	81	357	242	476	118	50	46	27	102	250	215	494	59	42
Mean	3.8	3.6	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.2
		Dde	Dd													Rr	Rr		TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 51
Continued

Table 27-1
Q18. I believe the overall cost to administer my services and supports has decreased under this program
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.10	1.11	1.06	1.01	1.08	1.11	1.08	1.11	1.09	1.12	1.08	1.18	1.21	1.08	1.20	1.09	1.07	1.08	1.09	0.98	1.12
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.17	0.16	0.23	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.13	0.17

Table 28-1
Q1. County of residence

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	SOME- HIGH (S)	NETHR/ WHAT (T)	NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	21	1	1		1	6	10	5	11	9	6	12	5	5	2	6	5	8	18	3	
Total answering	629	296	104	124	90	328	212	79	373	247	505	112	47	43	28	102	262	221	507	59	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HENNEPIN	282	272	2		1	151	102	25	150	126	211	64	34	18	15	44	129	95	224	29	20
	44.8	91.9	1.9		1.1	46.0	48.1	31.6	40.2	51.0	41.8	57.1	72.3	41.9	53.6	43.1	49.2	43.0	44.2	49.2	42.6
		CDEcde				Hh	Hh		Jj		LMlm	mn	Nn								
DAKOTA	126	5		120		32	61	29	76	49	111	13	4	6	3	20	49	49	107	8	8
	20.0	1.7		96.8		9.8	28.8	36.7	20.4	19.8	22.0	11.6	8.5	14.0	10.7	19.6	18.7	22.2	21.1	13.6	17.0
		CDEcde		Ee		GHgh					LMlmo										
RAMSEY	101	3	92	2		78	21	1	77	22	73	25	7	14	6	15	41	33	78	13	8
	16.1	1.0	88.5	1.6		23.8	9.9	1.3	20.6	8.9	14.5	22.3	14.9	32.6	21.4	14.7	15.6	14.9	15.4	22.0	17.0
		Cce	DEde			GHgh	Hh		Jj		Nln		Nn								
OLMSTED	29				27	8	5	16	12	17	26	3		2	1	9	9	9	24		4
	4.6				30.0	2.4	2.4	20.3	3.2	6.9	5.1	2.7		4.7	3.6	8.8	3.4	4.1	4.7		8.5
		Ee	Ee	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj		Mm	m				q			Tt	Uu	
WASHINGTON	29	1	6		21	22	5	2	23	6	24	5	1	3	1	4	11	12	23	2	4
	4.6	0.3	5.8		23.3	6.7	2.4	2.5	6.2	2.4	4.8	4.5	2.1	7.0	3.6	3.9	4.2	5.4	4.5	3.4	8.5
		CEce	DEde	Ee		Ggh			Jj												
ANOKA	14	9	3		2	10	3	1	9	5	13	1	1		1	1	5	6	13	1	
	2.2	3.0	2.9		2.2	3.0	1.4	1.3	2.4	2.0	2.6	0.9	2.1		3.6	1.0	1.9	2.7	2.6	1.7	
		Dd	d								Nn								Uu		
SCOTT	7	1			6	6	1		5	2	7					1	4	2	7		
	1.1	0.3			6.7	1.8	0.5		1.3	0.8	1.4					1.0	1.5	0.9	1.4		
		Ee	Ee	Ee		Hh					LMNOlm								TUtu		
											no										
CROW WING	6	1		1	4	3	3		3	3	5	1			1	1	2	3	5		1
	1.0	0.3		0.8	4.4	0.9	1.4		0.8	1.2	1.0	0.9			3.6	1.0	0.8	1.4	1.0		2.1
		e	Ee			h	h				MNmn								Tt		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 53

Continued

Table 28-1
Q1. County of residence
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
										*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD SEVERE (Q) (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
HOUSTON	6 1.0	Ee	Ee	Ee	6 6.7	3 0.9 g	3 h	3 3.8	1 0.3 j	5 2.0	6 1.2 LMNOlm no					3 2.9 r	3 1.1 r	6 1.2 Tutu		
MORRISON	6 1.0	Ee	Ee	Ee	6 6.7	3 0.9 h	3 1.4 h		6 1.6 Jj		6 1.2 LMNOlm no					Rr	1 0.4 r	5 2.3	4 0.8 Uu	2 3.4
MOWER	5 0.8	Ee	Ee	Ee	5 5.6	4 1.2 Hh	1 0.5		4 1.1	1 0.4	5 1.0 LMNOlm no					1 1.0	3 1.1	1 0.5	3 0.6 u	1 1.7
TODD	3 0.5	e	e	e	3 3.3	1 0.3	1 0.5		2 0.5	1 0.4	3 0.6 lmno					1 1.0		2 0.9	1 0.2	2 3.4
BLUE EARTH	2 0.3				2 2.2		1 0.5	1 1.3	1 0.3	1 0.4	2 0.4							1 0.5	2 0.4	
CARVER	2 0.3	1 0.3			1 1.1	2 0.6			1 0.3	1 0.4	2 0.4						1 0.4	1 0.5	2 0.4	
RICE	2 0.3				2 2.2		2 0.9			2 0.8	2 0.4							1 0.5	2 0.4	
STEELE	2 0.3				2 2.2	2 0.6			2 0.5		2 0.4					1 1.0				2 4.3
WRIGHT	2 0.3	2 0.7				1 0.3	1 0.5			2 0.8	2 0.4						1 0.4	1 0.5	2 0.4	
CHISAGO	1 0.2		1 1.0			1 0.3				1 0.4	1 0.2						1 0.4		1 0.2	
ISANTI	1 0.2				1 1.1	1 0.3				1 0.4	1 0.2						1 0.4		1 0.2	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 54
Continued

Table 28-1
Q1. County of residence
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	ONLY (K)	MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	WHAT (T)	NOT (U)
PINE	1 0.2	1 0.3					1 0.5		1 0.3		1 0.2								1 0.2		
SIBLEY	1 0.2				1 1.1		1 0.5			1 0.4	1 0.2					1 1.0				1 1.7	
ST CROIX	1 0.2			1 0.8				1 1.3		1 0.4	1 0.2						1 0.4		1 0.2		

Table 29-1
Q2. Which county provides your consumer directed support?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	32					10	15	7	16	16	16	13	5	6	2	7	12	11	24	5	2
Total answering	618	297	105	124	91	324	207	77	368	240	495	111	47	42	28	101	255	218	501	57	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HENNEPIN	297	297				163	104	26	162	129	226	64	32	18	17	43	134	102	239	29	21
	48.1	100.0				50.3	50.2	33.8	44.0	53.8	45.7	57.7	68.1	42.9	60.7	42.6	52.5	46.8	47.7	50.9	46.7
		CDEcde				Hh	Hh		Jj		LMlm		Nn			q					
DAKOTA	124			124		31	60	29	72	51	109	13	4	6	3	19	51	46	107	7	7
	20.1			100.0		9.6	29.0	37.7	19.6	21.3	22.0	11.7	8.5	14.3	10.7	18.8	20.0	21.1	21.4	12.3	15.6
		Dd	Dd	Ee		GHgh					LMlmo								t		
RAMSEY	105		105			79	24	1	79	23	74	28	9	15	7	15	41	38	80	14	9
	17.0		100.0			24.4	11.6	1.3	21.5	9.6	14.9	25.2	19.1	35.7	25.0	14.9	16.1	17.4	16.0	24.6	20.0
		Cc	DEde			GHgh	Hh		Jj		LNln		n								
OLMSTED	27				27	6	7	14	11	16	23	4		3	1	9	8	8	25		2
	4.4				29.7	1.9	3.4	18.2	3.0	6.7	4.6	3.6		7.1	3.6	8.9	3.1	3.7	5.0		4.4
		Ee	Ee	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj		Mm	Mm	n			qr			Tt		
WASHINGTON	22				22	18	2	2	17	5	21	1	1			4	7	9	18	1	2
	3.6				24.2	5.6	1.0	2.6	4.6	2.1	4.2	0.9	2.1			4.0	2.7	4.1	3.6	1.8	4.4
		Ee	Ee	Ee		Gg			j		LNolno										
HOUSTON	7				7	4		3	2	5	7					4	3		6		1
	1.1				7.7	1.2		3.9	0.5	2.1	1.4					4.0	1.2		1.2		2.2
		Ee	Ee	Ee		Gg	h				LMNOlm					Rr	r		Tt		
											no										
MORRISON	6				6	3	3		6		6						1	5	4	2	
	1.0				6.6	0.9	1.4		1.6		1.2						0.4	2.3	0.8	3.5	
		Ee	Ee	Ee		h	h		Jj		LMNOlm					Rr	r		Uu		
											no										

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 56
Continued

Table 29-1
Q2. Which county provides your consumer directed support?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	PARTICIPATION			OLD		WHITE ONLY (K)	*****ANY*****				MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	Satisfaction		
						<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)		ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)				HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
SCOTT	6 1.0				6 6.6	6 1.9			4 1.1	2 0.8	6 1.2 LMNOlm no					1 1.0	3 1.2	2 0.9	6 1.2 Tutu		
MOWER	5 0.8				5 5.5	4 1.2	1 0.5		4 1.1	1 0.4	5 1.0 LMNOlm no					1 1.0	3 1.2	1 0.5	3 0.6 u	1 1.8	
CROW WING	4 0.6				4 4.4	2 0.6	2 1.0		3 0.8	1 0.4	4 0.8 LMNOlm no					1 1.0	1 0.4	2 0.9	3 0.6 t		1 2.2
BLUE EARTH	3 0.5				3 3.3		2 1.0	1 1.3	1 0.3	2 0.8	3 0.6 lmno					1 1.0		1 0.5	2 0.4	1 1.8	
TODD	3 0.5				3 3.3	1 0.3	1 0.5		2 0.5	1 0.4	3 0.6 lmno					1 1.0		2 0.9	1 0.2	2 3.5	
ANOKA	2 0.3				2 2.2	2 0.6			1 0.3	1 0.4	2 0.4						2 0.8		2 0.4		
STEELE	2 0.3				2 2.2	2 0.6			2 0.5		2 0.4					1 1.0					2 4.4
CARVER	1 0.2				1 1.1	1 0.3			1 0.3		1 0.2							1 0.5	1 0.2		
ISANTI	1 0.2				1 1.1	1 0.3				1 0.4	1 0.2						1 0.4		1 0.2		
PINNACLE	1 0.2				1 1.1	1 0.3			1 0.3			1 0.9	1 2.1			1 1.0			1 0.2		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 57
Continued

Table 29-1
Q2. Which county provides your consumer directed support?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
RICE	1				1		1			1	1							1	1		
	0.2				1.1		0.5			0.4	0.2							0.5	0.2		
Don't know	1							1		1	1								1		
	0.2							1.3		0.4	0.2								0.2		

Table 30-1
Q3. Information about consumer directed supports is available in a format I can understand
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	15	2	4	1	4	8	4	3	6	9	13	1	1			1	7	3	11	2	1
Total answering	635	295	101	123	87	326	218	81	378	247	498	123	51	48	30	107	260	226	514	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	219	98	30	59	24	105	76	33	126	91	168	45	23	13	13	36	80	88	206	5	4
	34.5	33.2	29.7	48.0	27.6	32.2	34.9	40.7	33.3	36.8	33.7	36.6	45.1	27.1	43.3	33.6	30.8	38.9	40.1	8.3	8.7
		Dd	Dd	Ee									n				r		TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	289	137	47	51	41	162	96	26	175	107	234	49	17	23	11	49	125	97	238	30	13
	45.5	46.4	46.5	41.5	47.1	49.7	44.0	32.1	46.3	43.3	47.0	39.8	33.3	47.9	36.7	45.8	48.1	42.9	46.3	50.0	28.3
						Hh	h				m								Uu	Uu	
3 Neither	37	15	3	7	7	17	11	9	20	17	26	11	5	6		12	13	10	25	7	5
	5.8	5.1	3.0	5.7	8.0	5.2	5.0	11.1	5.3	6.9	5.2	8.9	9.8	12.5		11.2	5.0	4.4	4.9	11.7	10.9
											Oo	Oo	Oo	Oo		Rqr					
2 Somewhat disagree	66	32	19	5	8	33	26	7	42	23	49	15	4	6	5	6	33	24	34	15	16
	10.4	10.8	18.8	4.1	9.2	10.1	11.9	8.6	11.1	9.3	9.8	12.2	7.8	12.5	16.7	5.6	12.7	10.6	6.6	25.0	34.8
		Dcd	Dde													Qqr			TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	24	13	2	1	7	9	9	6	15	9	21	3	2		1	4	9	7	11	3	8
	3.8	4.4	2.0	0.8	8.0	2.8	4.1	7.4	4.0	3.6	4.2	2.4	3.9		3.3	3.7	3.5	3.1	2.1	5.0	17.4
		Dd	e	Ee							Nn	n							Uu	u	
Agree (net)	508	235	77	110	65	267	172	59	301	198	402	94	40	36	24	85	205	185	444	35	17
	80.0	79.7	76.2	89.4	74.7	81.9	78.9	72.8	79.6	80.2	80.7	76.4	78.4	75.0	80.0	79.4	78.8	81.9	86.4	58.3	37.0
		Dd	Dd	Ee		h													TUtu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	90	45	21	6	15	42	35	13	57	32	70	18	6	6	6	10	42	31	45	18	24
	14.2	15.3	20.8	4.9	17.2	12.9	16.1	16.0	15.1	13.0	14.1	14.6	11.8	12.5	20.0	9.3	16.2	13.7	8.8	30.0	52.2
		Dd	Dd	Ee												q			TUtu	Uu	
Base for stats	635	295	101	123	87	326	218	81	378	247	498	123	51	48	30	107	260	226	514	60	46
Mean	4.0	3.9	3.8	4.3	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.3	2.8
		Dd	Dd	Ee															TUtu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): {BCDE}{FGH}{IJ}{KLMNO}{PQR}{STU}
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 59
Continued

Table 30-1
Q3. Information about consumer directed supports is available in a format I can understand
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.08	1.10	1.11	0.82	1.18	1.01	1.12	1.23	1.09	1.07	1.08	1.08	1.10	0.94	1.18	1.00	1.08	1.07	0.94	1.09	1.27
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.08	0.14	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.14	0.22	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.14	0.19

Table 31-1
Q4. I know whom to contact at county social services if I have questions
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	8	1	2	1		5	2	1	1	7	7					2	4	1	5	2	
Total answering	642	296	103	123	91	329	220	83	383	249	504	124	52	48	30	106	263	228	520	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	433	199	54	98	68	217	149	58	253	175	339	85	41	28	22	76	175	156	383	23	15
	67.4	67.2	52.4	79.7	74.7	66.0	67.7	69.9	66.1	70.3	67.3	68.5	78.8	58.3	73.3	71.7	66.5	68.4	73.7	38.3	31.9
		CDcd	DEde								m		Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	150	72	33	19	18	87	42	20	91	54	120	27	8	12	7	23	60	55	108	25	15
	23.4	24.3	32.0	15.4	19.8	26.4	19.1	24.1	23.8	21.7	23.8	21.8	15.4	25.0	23.3	21.7	22.8	24.1	20.8	41.7	31.9
		Dd	DEde			Gg													Tt		
3 Neither	16	8	3	1	1	5	9	2	9	7	11	5	1	4		6	5	4	10	4	2
	2.5	2.7	2.9	0.8	1.1	1.5	4.1	2.4	2.3	2.8	2.2	4.0	1.9	8.3		5.7	1.9	1.8	1.9	6.7	4.3
						q					Oo	Oo		Oo							
2 Somewhat disagree	31	10	12	5	1	13	16	2	21	10	25	4	1	3			18	10	15	7	8
	4.8	3.4	11.7	4.1	1.1	4.0	7.3	2.4	5.5	4.0	5.0	3.2	1.9	6.3		QRqr	6.8	4.4	2.9	11.7	17.0
		Cc	DEde				Hh				Oo	Oo		o					TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	12	7	1		3	7	4	1	9	3	9	3	1	1	1	1	5	3	4	1	7
	1.9	2.4	1.0		3.3	2.1	1.8	1.2	2.3	1.2	1.8	2.4	1.9	2.1	3.3	0.9	1.9	1.3	0.8	1.7	14.9
		Dd		e															Uu	Uu	
Agree (net)	583	271	87	117	86	304	191	78	344	229	459	112	49	40	29	99	235	211	491	48	30
	90.8	91.6	84.5	95.1	94.5	92.4	86.8	94.0	89.8	92.0	91.1	90.3	94.2	83.3	96.7	93.4	89.4	92.5	94.4	80.0	63.8
		c	DEde			Gg	Hh						n	Oo					TUtu	u	
Disagree (net)	43	17	13	5	4	20	20	3	30	13	34	7	2	4	1	1	23	13	19	8	15
	6.7	5.7	12.6	4.1	4.4	6.1	9.1	3.6	7.8	5.2	6.7	5.6	3.8	8.3	3.3	0.9	8.7	5.7	3.7	13.3	31.9
		c	DEde				h									QRqr			TUtu	Uu	
Base for stats	642	296	103	123	91	329	220	83	383	249	504	124	52	48	30	106	263	228	520	60	47
Mean	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.0	3.5
		CDcd	DEde										Nn			Qq			TUtu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 61
Continued

Table 31-1
Q4. I know whom to contact at county social services if I have questions
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	*****ANY*****				MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- NETHR/		
												ETHNIC	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN				OTHER	WHAT	NOT
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	
Std dev	0.90	0.89	1.03	0.68	0.85	0.88	0.99	0.76	0.95	0.83	0.90	0.90	0.78	1.00	0.80	0.68	0.96	0.84	0.73	1.03	1.46
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.13	0.21

Table 32-1
Q5. Dealing with county social services is not intimidating or overwhelming
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	SOME- HIGH (S)	NETHR/ WHAT (T)	NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	7	1	2			5	1	1	1	6	6						4	2	5	1	
Total answering	643	296	103	124	91	329	221	83	383	250	505	124	52	48	30	108	263	227	520	61	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	300 46.7	135 45.6 CDcd	35 34.0 DEde	72 58.1	47 51.6	150 45.6 Hh	91 41.2 Hh	55 66.3	173 45.2	123 49.2	230 45.5 m	62 50.0	30 57.7 n	19 39.6	17 56.7	41 38.0 Rr	124 47.1	116 51.1	277 53.3 TUtU	9 14.8	6 12.8
4 Somewhat agree	177 27.5	83 28.0	30 29.1	33 26.6	22 24.2	87 26.4	69 31.2 Hh	17 20.5	106 27.7	69 27.6	147 29.1 mo	28 22.6	10 19.2	14 29.2	5 16.7	36 33.3	68 25.9	62 27.3	148 28.5 Uu	19 31.1 Uu	6 12.8
3 Neither	43 6.7	22 7.4	6 5.8	8 6.5	4 4.4	18 5.5 g	21 9.5 Hh	3 3.6	22 5.7	20 8.0	31 6.1 Oo	11 8.9 Oo	5 9.6 Oo	6 12.5 Oo		14 13.0 Qqr	11 4.2	15 6.6	33 6.3	7 11.5	3 6.4
2 Somewhat disagree	78 12.1	36 12.2 d	19 18.4 Dd	8 6.5	12 13.2	49 14.9 Hh	25 11.3 Hh	4 4.8	50 13.1	27 10.8	61 12.1	16 12.9	4 7.7	7 14.6	5 16.7	11 10.2	41 15.6 Rr	20 8.8	41 7.9 TUtU	14 23.0 Uu	22 46.8
1 Strongly disagree	45 7.0	20 6.8 Dd	13 12.6 Dd	3 2.4	6 6.6	25 7.6	15 6.8	4 4.8	32 8.4 Jj	11 4.4	36 7.1	7 5.6	3 5.8	2 4.2	3 10.0	6 5.6	19 7.2	14 6.2	21 4.0 TUtU	12 19.7	10 21.3
Agree (net)	477 74.2	218 73.6 Dcd	65 63.1 Dde	105 84.7	69 75.8	237 72.0 Hh	160 72.4 Hh	72 86.7	279 72.8	192 76.8	377 74.7	90 72.6	40 76.9	33 68.8	22 73.3	77 71.3	192 73.0	178 78.4	425 81.7 TUtU	28 45.9 Uu	12 25.5
Disagree (net)	123 19.1	56 18.9 CDcd	32 31.1 Dde	11 8.9 Ee	18 19.8	74 22.5 Hh	40 18.1 Hh	8 9.6	82 21.4 Jj	38 15.2	97 19.2	23 18.5	7 13.5	9 18.8	8 26.7	17 15.7	60 22.8 Rr	34 15.0	62 11.9 TUtU	26 42.6 Uu	32 68.1
Base for stats	643	296	103	124	91	329	221	83	383	250	505	124	52	48	30	108	263	227	520	61	47
Mean	3.9	3.9 CDcd	3.5 DEde	4.3 e	4.0	3.9 Hh	3.9 Hh	4.4	3.9 j	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.2 TUtU	3.0 u	2.5

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 63
Continued

Table 32-1
Q5. Dealing with county social services is not intimidating or overwhelming
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.28	1.27	1.43	1.01	1.30	1.33	1.25	1.08	1.33	1.18	1.28	1.27	1.22	1.21	1.46	1.18	1.33	1.22	1.11	1.38	1.30
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.14	0.09	0.14	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.11	0.17	0.17	0.27	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.18	0.19

Table 33-1
Q6. County social services people are responsive to my needs and take appropriate action when necessary

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	9	3	1			4	3	2		9	7	1			1		4	3	7	1	
Total answering	641	294	104	124	91	330	219	82	384	247	504	123	52	48	29	108	263	226	518	61	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	332	157	34	76	52	162	112	51	181	147	260	64	35	17	15	58	139	115	311	8	6
	51.8	53.4	32.7	61.3	57.1	49.1	51.1	62.2	47.1	59.5	51.6	52.0	67.3	35.4	51.7	53.7	52.9	50.9	60.0	13.1	12.8
		Cc	DEde			Hh	h		Jj		MNmN	Nmn	Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	207	95	38	40	29	112	68	25	134	68	166	36	12	18	8	33	78	81	159	28	13
	32.3	32.3	36.5	32.3	31.9	33.9	31.1	30.5	34.9	27.5	32.9	29.3	23.1	37.5	27.6	30.6	29.7	35.8	30.7	45.9	27.7
									j										Tt	Uu	
3 Neither	31	15	7	4	2	14	15	1	22	9	20	11	2	8	2	8	15	7	16	7	8
	4.8	5.1	6.7	3.2	2.2	4.2	6.8	1.2	5.7	3.6	4.0	8.9	3.8	16.7	6.9	7.4	5.7	3.1	3.1	11.5	17.0
						h	Hh				Nln		Nn						TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	50	19	16	3	7	33	15	2	34	16	44	6	2	4		7	25	14	23	15	12
	7.8	6.5	15.4	2.4	7.7	10.0	6.8	2.4	8.9	6.5	8.7	4.9	3.8	8.3		6.5	9.5	6.2	4.4	24.6	25.5
		CDcd	Dde	e		Hh	h				Olmo	Oo		Oo					TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	21	8	9	1	1	9	9	3	13	7	14	6	1	1	4	2	6	9	9	3	8
	3.3	2.7	8.7	0.8	1.1	2.7	4.1	3.7	3.4	2.8	2.8	4.9	1.9	2.1	13.8	1.9	2.3	4.0	1.7	4.9	17.0
		Cc	DEde								o		o	o					Uu	u	
Agree (net)	539	252	72	116	81	274	180	76	315	215	426	100	47	35	23	91	217	196	470	36	19
	84.1	85.7	69.2	93.5	89.0	83.0	82.2	92.7	82.0	87.0	84.5	81.3	90.4	72.9	79.3	84.3	82.5	86.7	90.7	59.0	40.4
		CDcd	DEde			Hh	Hh	j			n	m	Nn						TUtu	u	
Disagree (net)	71	27	25	4	8	42	24	5	47	23	58	12	3	5	4	9	31	23	32	18	20
	11.1	9.2	24.0	3.2	8.8	12.7	11.0	6.1	12.2	9.3	11.5	9.8	5.8	10.4	13.8	8.3	11.8	10.2	6.2	29.5	42.6
		CDcd	DEde	e		Hh													TUtu		
Base for stats	641	294	104	124	91	330	219	82	384	247	504	123	52	48	29	108	263	226	518	61	47
Mean	4.2	4.3	3.7	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4	3.4	2.9
		CDcd	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		m	Mm	Nno						TUtu	u	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 65
Continued

Table 33-1
Q6. County social services people are responsive to my needs and take appropriate action when necessary

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	*****ANY*****					MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH		SOME- NETHR/	
											ONLY	ETHNIC	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	
Std dev	1.06	1.01	1.30	0.75	0.93	1.07	1.09	0.93	1.08	1.01	1.05	1.10	0.89	1.02	1.35	0.98	1.06	1.04	0.88	1.13	1.31
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.13	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.12	0.15	0.25	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.15	0.19

Table 34-1
Q7. The county social services people I deal with treat me with respect
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	10	3	2	1		4	5	1	1	9	8	1			1	1	4	4	6	3	
Total answering	640	294	103	123	91	330	217	83	383	247	503	123	52	48	29	107	263	225	519	59	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	459	217	61	98	68	232	153	65	259	191	363	87	41	29	22	77	191	161	406	21	20
	71.7	73.8	59.2	79.7	74.7	70.3	70.5	78.3	67.6	77.3	72.2	70.7	78.8	60.4	75.9	72.0	72.6	71.6	78.2	35.6	42.6
		Cc	DEde						Jj				Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	134	66	24	20	17	78	41	14	87	46	107	22	9	10	4	20	53	49	92	24	15
	20.9	22.4	23.3	16.3	18.7	23.6	18.9	16.9	22.7	18.6	21.3	17.9	17.3	20.8	13.8	18.7	20.2	21.8	17.7	40.7	31.9
																			TUtu		
3 Neither	20	5	6	2	3	9	9	2	16	4	12	8	1	7		7	8	4	10	7	3
	3.1	1.7	5.8	1.6	3.3	2.7	4.1	2.4	4.2	1.6	2.4	6.5	1.9	14.6		6.5	3.0	1.8	1.9	11.9	6.4
		c							j		Nolno	Oo	Nn	Oo		r			Tt		
2 Somewhat disagree	18	4	9	2	1	7	10	1	12	6	14	4	1	1	2	2	7	7	6	5	7
	2.8	1.4	8.7	1.6	1.1	2.1	4.6	1.2	3.1	2.4	2.8	3.3	1.9	2.1	6.9	1.9	2.7	3.1	1.2	8.5	14.9
		Cc	DEde				h												TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	9	2	3	1	2	4	4	1	9		7	2		1	1	1	4	4	5	2	2
	1.4	0.7	2.9	0.8	2.2	1.2	1.8	1.2	2.3		1.4	1.6		2.1	3.4	0.9	1.5	1.8	1.0	3.4	4.3
									Jj		Mm										
Agree (net)	593	283	85	118	85	310	194	79	346	237	470	109	50	39	26	97	244	210	498	45	35
	92.7	96.3	82.5	95.9	93.4	93.9	89.4	95.2	90.3	96.0	93.4	88.6	96.2	81.3	89.7	90.7	92.8	93.3	96.0	76.3	74.5
		Cc	DEde			g	h		Jj		Nn	m	Nn						TUtu		
Disagree (net)	27	6	12	3	3	11	14	2	21	6	21	6	1	2	3	3	11	11	11	7	9
	4.2	2.0	11.7	2.4	3.3	3.3	6.5	2.4	5.5	2.4	4.2	4.9	1.9	4.2	10.3	2.8	4.2	4.9	2.1	11.9	19.1
		Cc	DEde				h		Jj										TUtu		
Base for stats	640	294	103	123	91	330	217	83	383	247	503	123	52	48	29	107	263	225	519	59	47
Mean	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.0	3.9
		Cc	DEde				h		Jj		n	m	Nn						TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 67
Continued

Table 34-1
Q7. The county social services people I deal with treat me with respect
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.80	0.65	1.09	0.65	0.79	0.75	0.91	0.69	0.90	0.62	0.79	0.88	0.59	0.95	1.04	0.77	0.80	0.82	0.65	1.06	1.21
Std err	0.03	0.04	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.08	0.14	0.19	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.14	0.18

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 68

Table 35-1
Q8. County social services understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY *****ANY*****					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE (K)	ETHNIC (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	11	5	2			4	4	3	3	8	7	3	2		1	1	4	5	8	2	
Total answering	639	292	103	124	91	330	218	81	381	248	504	121	50	48	29	107	263	224	517	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	377	181	44	86	50	188	126	55	206	166	294	75	35	24	19	70	157	128	345	14	8
	59.0	62.0	42.7	69.4	54.9	57.0	57.8	67.9	54.1	66.9	58.3	62.0	70.0	50.0	65.5	65.4	59.7	57.1	66.7	23.3	17.0
		Cc	Dde	Ee		h			Jj		m		Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	172	81	23	31	29	92	58	22	106	62	139	28	8	15	7	26	63	67	133	22	14
	26.9	27.7	22.3	25.0	31.9	27.9	26.6	27.2	27.8	25.0	27.6	23.1	16.0	31.3	24.1	24.3	24.0	29.9	25.7	36.7	29.8
											Mm		n						t		
3 Neither	25	11	6	2	5	18	7		16	9	20	5	3	2		7	11	5	11	7	6
	3.9	3.8	5.8	1.6	5.5	5.5	3.2		4.2	3.6	4.0	4.1	6.0	4.2		6.5	4.2	2.2	2.1	11.7	12.8
						Hh	Hh				Oo	Oo	o			r			TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	38	13	19	4	1	18	16	2	29	8	33	5	1	4	1	2	21	13	18	8	11
	5.9	4.5	18.4	3.2	1.1	5.5	7.3	2.5	7.6	3.2	6.5	4.1	2.0	8.3	3.4	1.9	8.0	5.8	3.5	13.3	23.4
		CEce	DEde				Hh		Jj		Mm					Qqr			TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	27	6	11	1	6	14	11	2	24	3	18	8	3	3	2	2	11	11	10	9	8
	4.2	2.1	10.7	0.8	6.6	4.2	5.0	2.5	6.3	1.2	3.6	6.6	6.0	6.3	6.9	1.9	4.2	4.9	1.9	15.0	17.0
		Cce	Dd	Ee					Jj										TUtu		
Agree (net)	549	262	67	117	79	280	184	77	312	228	433	103	43	39	26	96	220	195	478	36	22
	85.9	89.7	65.0	94.4	86.8	84.8	84.4	95.1	81.9	91.9	85.9	85.1	86.0	81.3	89.7	89.7	83.7	87.1	92.5	60.0	46.8
		Ccd	DEde	e		Hh	Hh		Jj										TUtu		
Disagree (net)	65	19	30	5	7	32	27	4	53	11	51	13	4	7	3	4	32	24	28	17	19
	10.2	6.5	29.1	4.0	7.7	9.7	12.4	4.9	13.9	4.4	10.1	10.7	8.0	14.6	10.3	3.7	12.2	10.7	5.4	28.3	40.4
		Cc	DEde				Hh		Jj							QRqr			TUtu		
Base for stats	639	292	103	124	91	330	218	81	381	248	504	121	50	48	29	107	263	224	517	60	47
Mean	4.3	4.4	3.7	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.5	3.4	3.1
		Ccd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj							Qqr			TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 69
Continued

Table 35-1
Q8. County social services understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
	*****				*****			*****		*****ANY*****					*****			*****			
	HENN RAMSEY DAKOTA OTHER				<1 1-2 >2			<19 19+		WHITE ETHNIC ONLY MINRTY BLACK ASIAN OTHER					MILD MOD SEVERE			HIGH WHAT NOT			
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.07	0.91	1.44	0.75	1.08	1.07	1.14	0.83	1.20	0.81	1.05	1.15	1.10	1.19	1.13	0.85	1.12	1.09	0.86	1.37	1.37
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.14	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.16	0.17	0.21	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.18	0.20

Table 36-1
Q9. County social services tries to make things simpler for me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	10	4	1	1		4	5	1	2	8	6	3		1	2	3	4	2	6	3	
Total answering	640	293	104	123	91	330	217	83	382	248	505	121	52	47	28	105	263	227	519	59	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	326	139	39	84	48	155	109	55	180	142	252	67	33	22	16	59	138	110	303	8	5
	50.9	47.4	37.5	68.3	52.7	47.0	50.2	66.3	47.1	57.3	49.9	55.4	63.5	46.8	57.1	56.2	52.5	48.5	58.4	13.6	10.6
		Dcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj		m		n						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	178	97	22	28	24	97	62	18	113	63	144	29	11	13	5	29	68	67	147	20	9
	27.8	33.1	21.2	22.8	26.4	29.4	28.6	21.7	29.6	25.4	28.5	24.0	21.2	27.7	17.9	27.6	25.9	29.5	28.3	33.9	19.1
		CDcd																		u	
3 Neither	39	18	8	4	8	23	13	3	20	18	34	4	2	2	1	6	19	14	22	11	5
	6.1	6.1	7.7	3.3	8.8	7.0	6.0	3.6	5.2	7.3	6.7	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	5.7	7.2	6.2	4.2	18.6	10.6
											l								Tt		
2 Somewhat disagree	60	25	21	6	6	34	21	4	40	19	46	14	3	8	4	10	19	24	34	12	14
	9.4	8.5	20.2	4.9	6.6	10.3	9.7	4.8	10.5	7.7	9.1	11.6	5.8	17.0	14.3	9.5	7.2	10.6	6.6	20.3	29.8
		Cc	DEde			h							n						TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	37	14	14	1	5	21	12	3	29	6	29	7	3	2	2	1	19	12	13	8	14
	5.8	4.8	13.5	0.8	5.5	6.4	5.5	3.6	7.6	2.4	5.7	5.8	5.8	4.3	7.1	1.0	7.2	5.3	2.5	13.6	29.8
		CDcd	Dde	e					Jj							QRqr			TUtu	Uu	
Agree (net)	504	236	61	112	72	252	171	73	293	205	396	96	44	35	21	88	206	177	450	28	14
	78.8	80.5	58.7	91.1	79.1	76.4	78.8	88.0	76.7	82.7	78.4	79.3	84.6	74.5	75.0	83.8	78.3	78.0	86.7	47.5	29.8
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		j										TUtu	u	
Disagree (net)	97	39	35	7	11	55	33	7	69	25	75	21	6	10	6	11	38	36	47	20	28
	15.2	13.3	33.7	5.7	12.1	16.7	15.2	8.4	18.1	10.1	14.9	17.4	11.5	21.3	21.4	10.5	14.4	15.9	9.1	33.9	59.6
		CDcd	DEde			Hh	h		Jj										TUtu	Uu	
Base for stats	640	293	104	123	91	330	217	83	382	248	505	121	52	47	28	105	263	227	519	59	47
Mean	4.1	4.1	3.5	4.5	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.1	2.5
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj							r			TUtu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 71
Continued

Table 36-1
Q9. County social services tries to make things simpler for me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.21	1.14	1.49	0.84	1.16	1.23	1.20	1.02	1.27	1.05	1.20	1.25	1.15	1.25	1.35	1.00	1.24	1.20	1.00	1.27	1.37
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.15	0.08	0.12	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.16	0.18	0.25	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.17	0.20

Table 37-1
Q10. People in my county social services department show flexibility when dealing with me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	SOME- HIGH (S)	NETHR/ WHAT (T)	NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	12	3	3		1	6	4	2	4	8	11					2	4	4	9	2	
Total answering	638	294	102	124	90	328	218	82	380	248	500	124	52	48	30	106	263	225	516	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	321 50.3	140 47.6 CDcd	32 31.4 DEde	85 68.5 e	50 55.6	153 46.6 Hh	109 50.0 h	51 62.2	180 47.4 j	136 54.8	248 49.6	65 52.4	28 53.8	21 43.8	18 60.0	57 53.8	134 51.0	109 48.4	300 58.1 TUtU	6 10.0	6 12.8
4 Somewhat agree	203 31.8	112 38.1 Dd	30 29.4	27 21.8	27 30.0	111 33.8	68 31.2	24 29.3	125 32.9	76 30.6	165 33.0 Oo	36 29.0 Oo	18 34.6 Oo	15 31.3 o	4 13.3	31 29.2	81 30.8	80 35.6	164 31.8 u	25 41.7 Uu	10 21.3
3 Neither	51 8.0	22 7.5	13 12.7 Ee	8 6.5	4 4.4	28 8.5	18 8.3	5 6.1	27 7.1	24 9.7	41 8.2	9 7.3	2 3.8	6 12.5	2 6.7	11 10.4	22 8.4	16 7.1	25 4.8 TUtU	15 25.0	10 21.3
2 Somewhat disagree	42 6.6	15 5.1 Cc	17 16.7 DEde	4 3.2	5 5.6	24 7.3 Hh	15 6.9 Hh	1 1.2	31 8.2 Jj	9 3.6	30 6.0	9 7.3	2 3.8	5 10.4	3 10.0	6 5.7	16 6.1	14 6.2	20 3.9 TUtU	10 16.7	11 23.4
1 Strongly disagree	21 3.3	5 1.7 CDcd	10 9.8 Dd		4 4.4	12 3.7	8 3.7	1 1.2	17 4.5 Jj	3 1.2	16 3.2	5 4.0	2 3.8	1 2.1	3 10.0	1 0.9 q	10 3.8	6 2.7	7 1.4 Uu	4 6.7 Uu	10 21.3
Agree (net)	524 82.1	252 85.7 Cc	62 60.8 DEde	112 90.3	77 85.6	264 80.5 Hh	177 81.2 Hh	75 91.5	305 80.3 j	212 85.5	413 82.6	101 81.5	46 88.5 n	36 75.0	22 73.3	88 83.0	215 81.7	189 84.0	464 89.9 TUtU	31 51.7 u	16 34.0
Disagree (net)	63 9.9	20 6.8 Ccd	27 26.5 DEde	4 3.2 e	9 10.0	36 11.0 Hh	23 10.6 Hh	2 2.4	48 12.6 Jj	12 4.8	46 9.2	14 11.3	4 7.7	6 12.5	6 20.0	7 6.6	26 9.9	20 8.9	27 5.2 TUtU	14 23.3 Uu	21 44.7
Base for stats	638	294	102	124	90	328	218	82	380	248	500	124	52	48	30	106	263	225	516	60	47
Mean	4.2	4.2 CDcd	3.6 DEde	4.6 Ee	4.3	4.1 Hh	4.2 Hh	4.5	4.1 Jj	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4 TUtU	3.3 Uu	2.8

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 73
Continued

Table 37-1
Q10. People in my county social services department show flexibility when dealing with me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.05	0.92	1.34	0.75	1.07	1.08	1.08	0.77	1.12	0.88	1.03	1.10	0.99	1.08	1.40	0.93	1.07	1.00	0.86	1.07	1.33
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.13	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.14	0.16	0.26	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.14	0.19

Table 38-1

Q11. People in county social services genuinely try to do the right thing

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	11	2	3		1	6	3	2	3	8	9	1	1			3	4	2	8	2	
Total answering	639	295	102	124	90	328	219	82	381	248	502	123	51	48	30	105	263	227	517	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	403	187	47	95	58	193	138	64	228	171	320	76	36	25	18	73	161	146	371	13	9
	63.1	63.4	46.1	76.6	64.4	58.8	63.0	78.0	59.8	69.0	63.7	61.8	70.6	52.1	60.0	69.5	61.2	64.3	71.8	21.7	19.1
		CDcd	DEde	e		Hh	Hh		Jj				n						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	165	83	33	23	20	100	52	12	106	54	131	30	10	14	7	19	71	58	116	25	19
	25.8	28.1	32.4	18.5	22.2	30.5	23.7	14.6	27.8	21.8	26.1	24.4	19.6	29.2	23.3	18.1	27.0	25.6	22.4	41.7	40.4
		Dd	Dd			Hgh	h		j							q			TUtu		
3 Neither	43	15	14	4	6	20	20	3	28	14	33	8	2	5	2	9	20	11	22	14	7
	6.7	5.1	13.7	3.2	6.7	6.1	9.1	3.7	7.3	5.6	6.6	6.5	3.9	10.4	6.7	8.6	7.6	4.8	4.3	23.3	14.9
		Cc	Dd				h												TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	18	6	5	2	4	11	5	1	10	8	12	6	2	3	2	3	7	7	5	4	9
	2.8	2.0	4.9	1.6	4.4	3.4	2.3	1.2	2.6	3.2	2.4	4.9	3.9	6.3	6.7	2.9	2.7	3.1	1.0	6.7	19.1
																			Utu	u	
1 Strongly disagree	10	4	3		2	4	4	2	9	1	6	3	1	1	1	1	4	5	3	4	3
	1.6	1.4	2.9		2.2	1.2	1.8	2.4	2.4	0.4	1.2	2.4	2.0	2.1	3.3	1.0	1.5	2.2	0.6	6.7	6.4
		Dd	d						Jj										t		
Agree (net)	568	270	80	118	78	293	190	76	334	225	451	106	46	39	25	92	232	204	487	38	28
	88.9	91.5	78.4	95.2	86.7	89.3	86.8	92.7	87.7	90.7	89.8	86.2	90.2	81.3	83.3	87.6	88.2	89.9	94.2	63.3	59.6
		Cc	Dd	Ee															TUtu		
Disagree (net)	28	10	8	2	6	15	9	3	19	9	18	9	3	4	3	4	11	12	8	8	12
	4.4	3.4	7.8	1.6	6.7	4.6	4.1	3.7	5.0	3.6	3.6	7.3	5.9	8.3	10.0	3.8	4.2	5.3	1.5	13.3	25.5
			Dd	e															TUtu		
Base for stats	639	295	102	124	90	328	219	82	381	248	502	123	51	48	30	105	263	227	517	60	47
Mean	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	3.7	3.5
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	h		Jj		n								TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 75

Continued

Table 38-1
Q11. People in county social services genuinely try to do the right thing
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	=====					=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.86	0.80	1.02	0.61	0.95	0.84	0.89	0.82	0.91	0.78	0.82	0.98	0.89	1.00	1.07	0.84	0.86	0.89	0.67	1.09	1.18
Std err	0.03	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.20	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.14	0.17

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 76

Table 39-1

Q12. County social services sets clear expectations for my successful participation in this program

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	14	5	2		2	6	6	2	4	9	10	3	2		1	3	4	5	11	2	
Total answering	636	292	103	124	89	328	216	82	380	247	501	121	50	48	29	105	263	224	514	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	318	142	34	82	47	155	106	50	173	141	252	59	30	18	12	55	133	111	299	5	6
	50.0	48.6	33.0	66.1	52.8	47.3	49.1	61.0	45.5	57.1	50.3	48.8	60.0	37.5	41.4	52.4	50.6	49.6	58.2	8.3	12.8
		CDcd	DEde	e		Hh	h		Jj		n		Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	194	101	29	30	24	103	64	25	121	70	151	39	12	20	9	33	76	71	154	20	14
	30.5	34.6	28.2	24.2	27.0	31.4	29.6	30.5	31.8	28.3	30.1	32.2	24.0	41.7	31.0	31.4	28.9	31.7	30.0	33.3	29.8
		Dd											n								
3 Neither	57	26	17	5	8	30	24	3	39	18	44	12	6	4	4	10	23	19	31	16	9
	9.0	8.9	16.5	4.0	9.0	9.1	11.1	3.7	10.3	7.3	8.8	9.9	12.0	8.3	13.8	9.5	8.7	8.5	6.0	26.7	19.1
		Dcd	Dd			Hh	Hh												TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	41	18	12	7	3	24	13	3	25	14	33	8	1	5	3	4	19	16	22	12	7
	6.4	6.2	11.7	5.6	3.4	7.3	6.0	3.7	6.6	5.7	6.6	6.6	2.0	10.4	10.3	3.8	7.2	7.1	4.3	20.0	14.9
		Ee									Mm		n						TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	26	5	11		7	16	9	1	22	4	21	3	1	1	1	3	12	7	8	7	11
	4.1	1.7	10.7		7.9	4.9	4.2	1.2	5.8	1.6	4.2	2.5	2.0	2.1	3.4	2.9	4.6	3.1	1.6	11.7	23.4
		CDEcde	Dd	Ee		Hh			Jj										TUtu		
Agree (net)	512	243	63	112	71	258	170	75	294	211	403	98	42	38	21	88	209	182	453	25	20
	80.5	83.2	61.2	90.3	79.8	78.7	78.7	91.5	77.4	85.4	80.4	81.0	84.0	79.2	72.4	83.8	79.5	81.3	88.1	41.7	42.6
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj										TUtu		
Disagree (net)	67	23	23	7	10	40	22	4	47	18	54	11	2	6	4	7	31	23	30	19	18
	10.5	7.9	22.3	5.6	11.2	12.2	10.2	4.9	12.4	7.3	10.8	9.1	4.0	12.5	13.8	6.7	11.8	10.3	5.8	31.7	38.3
		Cc	DEde			Hh	h		Jj		Mm								TUtu		
Base for stats	636	292	103	124	89	328	216	82	380	247	501	121	50	48	29	105	263	224	514	60	47
Mean	4.2	4.2	3.6	4.5	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.5	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.4	3.1	2.9
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj				no						TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 77

Continued

Table 39-1
Q12. County social services sets clear expectations for my successful participation in this program

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER						
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Std dev	1.09	0.96	1.33	0.82	1.20	1.13	1.10	0.83	1.16	0.95	1.10	1.02	0.91	1.03	1.13	0.98	1.13	1.06	0.90	1.15	1.37
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.13	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.21	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.15	0.20

Table 40-1

Q13. Overall, how satisfied are you with your county social services department?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	16	6	3	1	2	10	4	2	7	9	14	1			1	1	10	4	12	2	1
Total answering	634	291	102	123	89	324	218	82	377	247	497	123	52	48	29	107	257	225	513	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
7 Very satisfied	314 49.5	137 47.1 CDcd	35 34.3 DEde	84 68.3 Ee	44 49.4	148 45.7 Hh	107 49.1 Hh	53 64.6	173 45.9 Jj	137 55.5	241 48.5 Mm	67 54.5 mn	36 69.2 Nn	19 39.6	15 51.7	56 52.3	126 49.0	112 49.8	297 57.9 TUtU	6 10.0	3 6.5
6	160 25.2	80 27.5	22 21.6	26 21.1	27 30.3	84 25.9	54 24.8	20 24.4	89 23.6	70 28.3	135 27.2 LMlm	22 17.9	8 15.4	11 22.9	5 17.2	26 24.3	64 24.9	59 26.2	140 27.3 TUtU	9 15.0	7 15.2
5	65 10.3	34 11.7 Dde	16 15.7 DEde	6 4.9	5 5.6	45 13.9 GHgh	16 7.3	3 3.7	50 13.3 Jj	12 4.9	49 9.9 Mm	13 10.6 Mn	1 1.9 Nn	9 18.8	3 10.3	13 12.1	28 10.9	19 8.4	41 8.0 Tt	19 31.7 Uu	3 6.5
4 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	33 5.2	19 6.5 Dd	6 5.9	3 2.4	4 4.5	13 4.0 Ggh	19 8.7 Hh	1 1.2	19 5.0	13 5.3	24 4.8	8 6.5	3 5.8	3 6.3	2 6.9	4 3.7	16 6.2	11 4.9	14 2.7 TUtU	10 16.7	9 19.6
3	25 3.9	11 3.8 Dcde	9 8.8 DEde	1 0.8	1 1.1	15 4.6	7 3.2	2 2.4	18 4.8	7 2.8	21 4.2	4 3.3	1 1.9	3 6.3	1 3.4	2 1.9	10 3.9	11 4.9	9 1.8 TUtU	8 13.3	8 17.4
2	24 3.8	7 2.4 Cc	11 10.8 Dd	1 0.8 e	5 5.6	13 4.0	9 4.1	2 2.4	18 4.8 j	5 2.0	19 3.8 Nn	4 3.3 Nn	2 3.8		2 6.9	5 4.7	7 2.7	8 3.6	7 1.4 Utu	5 8.3 Uu	11 23.9
1 Very dissatisfied	13 2.1	3 1.0	3 2.9	2 1.6	3 3.4	6 1.9	6 2.8	1 1.2	10 2.7	3 1.2	8 1.6	5 4.1	1 1.9	3 6.3	1 3.4	1 0.9	6 2.3	5 2.2	5 1.0 Uu	3 5.0	5 10.9
Satisfied (net)	474 74.8	217 74.6 CDcd	57 55.9 DEde	110 89.4 e	71 79.8	232 71.6 Hh	161 73.9 Hh	73 89.0	262 69.5 Jj	207 83.8	376 75.7 mn	89 72.4 m	44 84.6 Nn	30 62.5	20 69.0	82 76.6	190 73.9	171 76.0	437 85.2 TUtU	15 25.0	10 21.7

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 79

Continued

Table 40-1
Q13. Overall, how satisfied are you with your county social services department?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Neither (net)	123	64	31	10	10	73	42	6	87	32	94	25	5	15	6	19	54	41	64	37	20
	19.4	22.0	30.4	8.1	11.2	22.5	19.3	7.3	23.1	13.0	18.9	20.3	9.6	31.3	20.7	17.8	21.0	18.2	12.5	61.7	43.5
		DEde	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		Mmn	m	Nn						TUtu	u	
Dissatisfied (net)	37	10	14	3	8	19	15	3	28	8	27	9	3	3	3	6	13	13	12	8	16
	5.8	3.4	13.7	2.4	9.0	5.9	6.9	3.7	7.4	3.2	5.4	7.3	5.8	6.3	10.3	5.6	5.1	5.8	2.3	13.3	34.8
		Cce	Dd	e					Jj										TUtu	Uu	
Base for stats	634	291	102	123	89	324	218	82	377	247	497	123	52	48	29	107	257	225	513	60	46
Mean	5.9	6.0	5.2	6.4	5.9	5.8	5.8	6.4	5.8	6.2	5.9	5.9	6.3	5.6	5.7	6.0	5.9	5.9	6.3	4.5	3.6
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj				Nn						TUtu	Uu	
Std dev	1.51	1.35	1.84	1.11	1.60	1.50	1.59	1.23	1.61	1.29	1.47	1.65	1.47	1.67	1.76	1.39	1.49	1.53	1.14	1.59	1.79
Std err	0.06	0.08	0.18	0.10	0.17	0.08	0.11	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.15	0.20	0.24	0.33	0.13	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.20	0.26

Table 41-1

Q1. My case manager understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY *****ANY*****						DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ETHNIC					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
											ONLY (K)	MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)						
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	9	3	1	2		1	5	3	2	7	7	2	1		1	1	3	4	8	1	
Total answering	641	294	104	122	91	333	217	81	382	249	504	122	51	48	29	107	264	225	517	61	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	373	177	39	90	52	183	125	57	207	162	297	70	32	23	17	69	151	129	346	7	7
	58.2	60.2	37.5	73.8	57.1	55.0	57.6	70.4	54.2	65.1	58.9	57.4	62.7	47.9	58.6	64.5	57.2	57.3	66.9	11.5	14.9
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj										TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	168	79	31	26	26	89	60	18	105	58	129	33	14	15	7	28	68	59	125	29	12
	26.2	26.9	29.8	21.3	28.6	26.7	27.6	22.2	27.5	23.3	25.6	27.0	27.5	31.3	24.1	26.2	25.8	26.2	24.2	47.5	25.5
																			Tt	Uu	
3 Neither	33	10	12	2	4	21	10	2	24	9	27	6	1	4	1	5	13	14	19	9	5
	5.1	3.4	11.5	1.6	4.4	6.3	4.6	2.5	6.3	3.6	5.4	4.9	2.0	8.3	3.4	4.7	4.9	6.2	3.7	14.8	10.6
		Cc	Dde			h													Tt		
2 Somewhat disagree	44	18	15	2	5	27	15	1	30	14	34	7	2	4	2	2	22	15	20	9	14
	6.9	6.1	14.4	1.6	5.5	8.1	6.9	1.2	7.9	5.6	6.7	5.7	3.9	8.3	6.9	1.9	8.3	6.7	3.9	14.8	29.8
		CDcd	DEde			Hh	Hh									QRqr			TUtu	u	
1 Strongly disagree	23	10	7	2	4	13	7	3	16	6	17	6	2	2	2	3	10	8	7	7	9
	3.6	3.4	6.7	1.6	4.4	3.9	3.2	3.7	4.2	2.4	3.4	4.9	3.9	4.2	6.9	2.8	3.8	3.6	1.4	11.5	19.1
			d																TUtu		
Agree (net)	541	256	70	116	78	272	185	75	312	220	426	103	46	38	24	97	219	188	471	36	19
	84.4	87.1	67.3	95.1	85.7	81.7	85.3	92.6	81.7	88.4	84.5	84.4	90.2	79.2	82.8	90.7	83.0	83.6	91.1	59.0	40.4
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	h		Jj							Qqr			TUtu	u	
Disagree (net)	67	28	22	4	9	40	22	4	46	20	51	13	4	6	4	5	32	23	27	16	23
	10.5	9.5	21.2	3.3	9.9	12.0	10.1	4.9	12.0	8.0	10.1	10.7	7.8	12.5	13.8	4.7	12.1	10.2	5.2	26.2	48.9
		CDcd	DEde	e		Hh			j							Qqr			TUtu	Uu	
Base for stats	641	294	104	122	91	333	217	81	382	249	504	122	51	48	29	107	264	225	517	61	47
Mean	4.3	4.3	3.8	4.6	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.5	3.3	2.9
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	h		Jj							Qqr			TUtu	u	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 81

Continued

Table 41-1
Q1. My case manager understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY *****ANY*****					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										WHITE	ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.07	1.03	1.27	0.75	1.07	1.12	1.05	0.90	1.12	0.97	1.06	1.11	0.99	1.12	1.21	0.89	1.11	1.07	0.85	1.20	1.38
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.14	0.16	0.23	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.15	0.20

Table 42-1
Q2. My case manager is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	SOME- HIGH (S)	NETHR/ WHAT (T)	NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	7	3	1			1	4	2	1	6	6	1			1	1	2	3	6	1	
Total answering	643	294	104	124	91	333	218	82	383	250	505	123	52	48	29	107	265	226	519	61	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	427	204	45	102	60	211	143	65	237	185	337	81	39	26	18	75	169	155	389	17	8
	66.4	69.4	43.3	82.3	65.9	63.4	65.6	79.3	61.9	74.0	66.7	65.9	75.0	54.2	62.1	70.1	63.8	68.6	75.0	27.9	17.0
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj		n		Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	141	61	36	16	22	80	46	13	95	43	109	28	9	14	9	21	64	44	96	24	19
	21.9	20.7	34.6	12.9	24.2	24.0	21.1	15.9	24.8	17.2	21.6	22.8	17.3	29.2	31.0	19.6	24.2	19.5	18.5	39.3	40.4
		CDcd	Dd	Ee		h			Jj										TUtu		
3 Neither	26	10	6	2	4	13	12	1	16	10	21	3		3		3	13	8	12	8	6
	4.0	3.4	5.8	1.6	4.4	3.9	5.5	1.2	4.2	4.0	4.2	2.4		6.3		2.8	4.9	3.5	2.3	13.1	12.8
						h	Hh				MOmo	mo	n	o					TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	31	11	11	3	3	18	12	1	24	6	27	4	2	2		4	12	14	14	7	10
	4.8	3.7	10.6	2.4	3.3	5.4	5.5	1.2	6.3	2.4	5.3	3.3	3.8	4.2		3.7	4.5	6.2	2.7	11.5	21.3
		Cc	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		Oo	Oo							TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	18	8	6	1	2	11	5	2	11	6	11	7	2	3	2	4	7	5	8	5	4
	2.8	2.7	5.8	0.8	2.2	3.3	2.3	2.4	2.9	2.4	2.2	5.7	3.8	6.3	6.9	3.7	2.6	2.2	1.5	8.2	8.5
			Dd																tu		
Agree (net)	568	265	81	118	82	291	189	78	332	228	446	109	48	40	27	96	233	199	485	41	27
	88.3	90.1	77.9	95.2	90.1	87.4	86.7	95.1	86.7	91.2	88.3	88.6	92.3	83.3	93.1	89.7	87.9	88.1	93.4	67.2	57.4
		Ccd	DEde			Hh	Hh		j										TUtu		
Disagree (net)	49	19	17	4	5	29	17	3	35	12	38	11	4	5	2	8	19	19	22	12	14
	7.6	6.5	16.3	3.2	5.5	8.7	7.8	3.7	9.1	4.8	7.5	8.9	7.7	10.4	6.9	7.5	7.2	8.4	4.2	19.7	29.8
		Cc	DEde			h			Jj										TUtu		
Base for stats	643	294	104	124	91	333	218	82	383	250	505	123	52	48	29	107	265	226	519	61	47
Mean	4.4	4.5	4.0	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	3.7	3.4
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj										TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 83
Continued

Table 42-1
Q2. My case manager is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.98	0.93	1.20	0.69	0.89	1.02	0.98	0.78	1.02	0.87	0.96	1.08	0.97	1.14	1.03	0.99	0.96	0.98	0.79	1.22	1.23
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.12	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.19	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.16	0.18

Table 43-1

Q3. My case manager treats me with respect

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	7	4	1			1	4	1	1	6	5	2	1		2	1	2	4	6	1	
Total answering	643	293	104	124	91	333	218	83	383	250	506	122	51	48	28	107	265	225	519	61	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	513	242	67	110	75	261	170	73	296	210	407	94	43	32	23	87	205	184	446	30	22
	79.8	82.6	64.4	88.7	82.4	78.4	78.0	88.0	77.3	84.0	80.4	77.0	84.3	66.7	82.1	81.3	77.4	81.8	85.9	49.2	46.8
		Ccd	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		n		Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	86	38	21	8	13	50	28	8	55	29	69	15	4	9	3	12	39	27	52	19	14
	13.4	13.0	20.2	6.5	14.3	15.0	12.8	9.6	14.4	11.6	13.6	12.3	7.8	18.8	10.7	11.2	14.7	12.0	10.0	31.1	29.8
		Dd	Dd	e															TUtu		
3 Neither	22	7	7	2		9	11	2	16	6	14	7	3	4		3	11	7	11	7	4
	3.4	2.4	6.7	1.6		2.7	5.0	2.4	4.2	2.4	2.8	5.7	5.9	8.3		2.8	4.2	3.1	2.1	11.5	8.5
		Ece	Ede								Oo	Oo	o	Oo					Tt		
2 Somewhat disagree	15	3	6	4	2	9	6		12	3	12	3	1	2		4	7	4	6	3	6
	2.3	1.0	5.8	3.2	2.2	2.7	2.8		3.1	1.2	2.4	2.5	2.0	4.2		3.7	2.6	1.8	1.2	4.9	12.8
		Cc				Hh	Hh		j		Oo	o							Uu		
1 Strongly disagree	7	3	3		1	4	3		4	2	4	3		1	2	1	3	3	4	2	1
	1.1	1.0	2.9		1.1	1.2	1.4		1.0	0.8	0.8	2.5		2.1	7.1	0.9	1.1	1.3	0.8	3.3	2.1
		d	d			Hh	h				Mm	m									
Agree (net)	599	280	88	118	88	311	198	81	351	239	476	109	47	41	26	99	244	211	498	49	36
	93.2	95.6	84.6	95.2	96.7	93.4	90.8	97.6	91.6	95.6	94.1	89.3	92.2	85.4	92.9	92.5	92.1	93.8	96.0	80.3	76.6
		Cc	DEde			h	Hh		Jj		n								TUtu		
Disagree (net)	22	6	9	4	3	13	9		16	5	16	6	1	3	2	5	10	7	10	5	7
	3.4	2.0	8.7	3.2	3.3	3.9	4.1		4.2	2.0	3.2	4.9	2.0	6.3	7.1	4.7	3.8	3.1	1.9	8.2	14.9
		Cc	d			Hh	Hh												Utu		
Base for stats	643	293	104	124	91	333	218	83	383	250	506	122	51	48	28	107	265	225	519	61	47
Mean	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.2	4.1
		Cc	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		n		n						TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 85

Continued

Table 43-1
Q3. My case manager treats me with respect
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.75	0.65	1.03	0.62	0.67	0.76	0.81	0.41	0.79	0.63	0.71	0.89	0.65	0.96	1.05	0.78	0.78	0.73	0.61	1.03	1.12
Std err	0.03	0.04	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.20	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.13	0.16

Table 44-1

Q4. My case manager understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	8	2	3	1		3	4	1	2	6	7	1			1	2	3	3	6	1	1
Total answering	642	295	102	123	91	331	218	83	382	250	504	123	52	48	29	106	264	226	519	61	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	441	210	48	105	61	221	150	63	244	192	347	85	42	26	20	77	178	157	391	23	14
	68.7	71.2	47.1	85.4	67.0	66.8	68.8	75.9	63.9	76.8	68.8	69.1	80.8	54.2	69.0	72.6	67.4	69.5	75.3	37.7	30.4
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		h			Jj		Mmn	mn	Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	131	60	32	14	18	77	37	14	86	41	108	19	6	9	6	23	55	40	93	23	13
	20.4	20.3	31.4	11.4	19.8	23.3	17.0	16.9	22.5	16.4	21.4	15.4	11.5	18.8	20.7	21.7	20.8	17.7	17.9	37.7	28.3
		CDcd	Dde	e		g			j		Mm								Tt		
3 Neither	38	14	10	2	7	16	18	4	25	13	30	6	1	5	1	3	18	15	21	7	9
	5.9	4.7	9.8	1.6	7.7	4.8	8.3	4.8	6.5	5.2	6.0	4.9	1.9	10.4	3.4	2.8	6.8	6.6	4.0	11.5	19.6
		d	Dd	Ee							m		n			q			Utu		
2 Somewhat disagree	17	7	8	2		9	7	1	15	1	9	8	2	5	1	1	6	8	7	4	6
	2.6	2.4	7.8	1.6		2.7	3.2	1.2	3.9	0.4	1.8	6.5	3.8	10.4	3.4	0.9	2.3	3.5	1.3	6.6	13.0
		Ece	DEde						Jj		Lln					r			Uu		
1 Strongly disagree	15	4	4		5	8	6	1	12	3	10	5	1	3	1	2	7	6	7	4	4
	2.3	1.4	3.9		5.5	2.4	2.8	1.2	3.1	1.2	2.0	4.1	1.9	6.3	3.4	1.9	2.7	2.7	1.3	6.6	8.7
		Dde	Dd	Ee					j										u		
Agree (net)	572	270	80	119	79	298	187	77	330	233	455	104	48	35	26	100	233	197	484	46	27
	89.1	91.5	78.4	96.7	86.8	90.0	85.8	92.8	86.4	93.2	90.3	84.6	92.3	72.9	89.7	94.3	88.3	87.2	93.3	75.4	58.7
		CDcd	Dd	Ee		h			Jj		Nn		Nn	o		QRqr			TUtu	u	
Disagree (net)	32	11	12	2	5	17	13	2	27	4	19	13	3	8	2	3	13	14	14	8	10
	5.0	3.7	11.8	1.6	5.5	5.1	6.0	2.4	7.1	1.6	3.8	10.6	5.8	16.7	6.9	2.8	4.9	6.2	2.7	13.1	21.7
		Cc	Dd						Jj		LNln		n						TUtu		
Base for stats	642	295	102	123	91	331	218	83	382	250	504	123	52	48	29	106	264	226	519	61	46
Mean	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.8	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.0	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	3.9	3.6
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		h	h		Jj		Nn	mn	Nn						TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 87

Continued

Table 44-1
Q4. My case manager understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	=====					=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.90	0.80	1.11	0.54	1.03	0.89	0.97	0.74	0.99	0.70	0.84	1.10	0.85	1.27	0.97	0.76	0.92	0.96	0.74	1.16	1.28
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.12	0.18	0.18	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.15	0.19

Table 45-1

Q5. My case manager tries to make things simpler for me

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	10	3	2	1	1	3	4	2	2	8	8	2	1		2	1	3	5	8	1	1
Total answering	640	294	103	123	90	331	218	82	382	248	503	122	51	48	28	107	264	224	517	61	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	410	198	42	93	60	202	137	64	225	180	328	75	37	23	18	72	164	145	372	14	12
	64.1	67.3	40.8	75.6	66.7	61.0	62.8	78.0	58.9	72.6	65.2	61.5	72.5	47.9	64.3	67.3	62.1	64.7	72.0	23.0	26.1
		Ccd	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		Nn		Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	138	58	33	22	21	80	44	12	93	41	106	27	8	13	7	25	55	46	99	26	10
	21.6	19.7	32.0	17.9	23.3	24.2	20.2	14.6	24.3	16.5	21.1	22.1	15.7	27.1	25.0	23.4	20.8	20.5	19.1	42.6	21.7
		Cc	Dd			Hh			Jj				Oo	Oo					Tt	Uu	
3 Neither	38	18	11	2	3	21	15	2	30	8	28	9	4	6		5	21	12	21	7	10
	5.9	6.1	10.7	1.6	3.3	6.3	6.9	2.4	7.9	3.2	5.6	7.4	7.8	12.5		4.7	8.0	5.4	4.1	11.5	21.7
		Dd	DEde			h	h		Jj		Oo	Oo	Oo	Oo					Utu		
2 Somewhat disagree	38	13	12	5	4	19	16	3	25	13	31	6	1	4	1	3	17	15	17	9	11
	5.9	4.4	11.7	4.1	4.4	5.7	7.3	3.7	6.5	5.2	6.2	4.9	2.0	8.3	3.6	2.8	6.4	6.7	3.3	14.8	23.9
		Cc	Dde								m					qr			TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	16	7	5	1	2	9	6	1	9	6	10	5	1	2	2	2	7	6	8	5	3
	2.5	2.4	4.9	0.8	2.2	2.7	2.8	1.2	2.4	2.4	2.0	4.1	2.0	4.2	7.1	1.9	2.7	2.7	1.5	8.2	6.5
			d																t		
Agree (net)	548	256	75	115	81	282	181	76	318	221	434	102	45	36	25	97	219	191	471	40	22
	85.6	87.1	72.8	93.5	90.0	85.2	83.0	92.7	83.2	89.1	86.3	83.6	88.2	75.0	89.3	90.7	83.0	85.3	91.1	65.6	47.8
		CDcd	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		n		n	o		Qq			TUtu	u	
Disagree (net)	54	20	17	6	6	28	22	4	34	19	41	11	2	6	3	5	24	21	25	14	14
	8.4	6.8	16.5	4.9	6.7	8.5	10.1	4.9	8.9	7.7	8.2	9.0	3.9	12.5	10.7	4.7	9.1	9.4	4.8	23.0	30.4
		Cc	DEde				h									r			TUtu		
Base for stats	640	294	103	123	90	331	218	82	382	248	503	122	51	48	28	107	264	224	517	61	46
Mean	4.4	4.5	3.9	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.6	3.6	3.4
		CDcd	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		Nn		Nn			q			TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 89

Continued

Table 45-1
Q5. My case manager tries to make things simpler for me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	-----					-----			-----		*****ANY*****					-----			-----		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE		HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.01	0.96	1.19	0.78	0.92	1.01	1.06	0.80	1.02	0.96	0.98	1.07	0.87	1.14	1.14	0.86	1.04	1.03	0.84	1.22	1.27
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.12	0.17	0.22	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.16	0.19

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 90

Table 46-1

Q6. My case manager shows flexibility when dealing with me

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY *****ANY*****					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	12	4	3		2	5	4	2	3	9	9	2	1		2	1	3	6	11	1	
Total answering	638	293	102	124	89	329	218	82	381	247	502	122	51	48	28	107	264	223	514	61	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	420	201	42	95	65	213	137	62	235	181	334	78	37	23	20	72	170	150	378	20	9
	65.8	68.6	41.2	76.6	73.0	64.7	62.8	75.6	61.7	73.3	66.5	63.9	72.5	47.9	71.4	67.3	64.4	67.3	73.5	32.8	19.1
		Ccd	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		Nn	n	Nn	Oo					TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	133	61	31	22	16	73	42	17	90	40	105	24	9	12	4	20	59	44	96	20	15
	20.8	20.8	30.4	17.7	18.0	22.2	19.3	20.7	23.6	16.2	20.9	19.7	17.6	25.0	14.3	18.7	22.3	19.7	18.7	32.8	31.9
		c	DEde						Jj										Ttu		
3 Neither	45	19	12	4	3	20	25		27	17	33	10	2	8	1	10	17	15	20	11	13
	7.1	6.5	11.8	3.2	3.4	6.1	11.5		7.1	6.9	6.6	8.2	3.9	16.7	3.6	9.3	6.4	6.7	3.9	18.0	27.7
			DEde			GHgh	Hh				n		Nn	Oo					TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	26	6	13	2	3	14	10	2	21	4	22	4	2	2	1	2	13	9	13	6	7
	4.1	2.0	12.7	1.6	3.4	4.3	4.6	2.4	5.5	1.6	4.4	3.3	3.9	4.2	3.6	1.9	4.9	4.0	2.5	9.8	14.9
		Cc	DEde						Jj										Utu		
1 Strongly disagree	14	6	4	1	2	9	4	1	8	5	8	6	1	3	2	3	5	5	7	4	3
	2.2	2.0	3.9	0.8	2.2	2.7	1.8	1.2	2.1	2.0	1.6	4.9	2.0	6.3	7.1	2.8	1.9	2.2	1.4	6.6	6.4
Agree (net)	553	262	73	117	81	286	179	79	325	221	439	102	46	35	24	92	229	194	474	40	24
	86.7	89.4	71.6	94.4	91.0	86.9	82.1	96.3	85.3	89.5	87.5	83.6	90.2	72.9	85.7	86.0	86.7	87.0	92.2	65.6	51.1
		Ccd	DEde			Hh	Hh				Nn		Nn						TUtu		
Disagree (net)	40	12	17	3	5	23	14	3	29	9	30	10	3	5	3	5	18	14	20	10	10
	6.3	4.1	16.7	2.4	5.6	7.0	6.4	3.7	7.6	3.6	6.0	8.2	5.9	10.4	10.7	4.7	6.8	6.3	3.9	16.4	21.3
		Cc	DEde						Jj										TUtu		
Base for stats	638	293	102	124	89	329	218	82	381	247	502	122	51	48	28	107	264	223	514	61	47
Mean	4.4	4.5	3.9	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	3.8	3.4
		CDcd	DEde			Hh	Hh		Jj		Nn		Nn						TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 91

Continued

Table 46-1
Q6. My case manager shows flexibility when dealing with me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.95	0.86	1.18	0.69	0.89	0.97	0.98	0.72	0.98	0.85	0.91	1.08	0.89	1.17	1.18	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.79	1.20	1.14
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.12	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.12	0.17	0.22	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.15	0.17

Table 47-1
Q7. My case manager is an advocate for me within the system
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	*****ANY*****					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NEITHER/ NOT (U)
											WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)						
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	12	5	2	1	1	2	6	3	2	10	9	2	1		2	1	3	7	10	2	
Total answering	638	292	103	123	90	332	216	81	382	246	502	122	51	48	28	107	264	222	515	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	403	189	43	95	58	200	138	58	223	175	320	74	35	22	19	70	157	147	363	16	12
	63.2	64.7	41.7	77.2	64.4	60.2	63.9	71.6	58.4	71.1	63.7	60.7	68.6	45.8	67.9	65.4	59.5	66.2	70.5	26.7	25.5
		CDcd	DEde	Ee		Rh			Jj		Nn	n	Nn	o					TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	125	60	22	19	21	69	38	16	78	45	100	22	8	11	4	20	57	38	95	19	8
	19.6	20.5	21.4	15.4	23.3	20.8	17.6	19.8	20.4	18.3	19.9	18.0	15.7	22.9	14.3	18.7	21.6	17.1	18.4	31.7	17.0
																			Tt	u	
3 Neither	53	23	15	4	6	30	21	2	40	12	41	11	5	6	2	10	26	15	29	13	10
	8.3	7.9	14.6	3.3	6.7	9.0	9.7	2.5	10.5	4.9	8.2	9.0	9.8	12.5	7.1	9.3	9.8	6.8	5.6	21.7	21.3
		Dcd	Dde			Rh	Hh		Jj										TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	28	12	11	2	2	18	8	2	19	8	21	6	2	3	1	4	11	11	14	5	9
	4.4	4.1	10.7	1.6	2.2	5.4	3.7	2.5	5.0	3.3	4.2	4.9	3.9	6.3	3.6	3.7	4.2	5.0	2.7	8.3	19.1
		Cc	DEde																Uu		
1 Strongly disagree	29	8	12	3	3	15	11	3	22	6	20	9	1	6	2	3	13	11	14	7	8
	4.5	2.7	11.7	2.4	3.3	4.5	5.1	3.7	5.8	2.4	4.0	7.4	2.0	12.5	7.1	2.8	4.9	5.0	2.7	11.7	17.0
		Cc	DEde						Jj		n	m	Nr						TUtu		
Agree (net)	528	249	65	114	79	269	176	74	301	220	420	96	43	33	23	90	214	185	458	35	20
	82.8	85.3	63.1	92.7	87.8	81.0	81.5	91.4	78.8	89.4	83.7	78.7	84.3	68.8	82.1	84.1	81.1	83.3	88.9	58.3	42.6
		CDcd	DEde			Rh	Hh		Jj		Nn		n						TUtu		
Disagree (net)	57	20	23	5	5	33	19	5	41	14	41	15	3	9	3	7	24	22	28	12	17
	8.9	6.8	22.3	4.1	5.6	9.9	8.8	6.2	10.7	5.7	8.2	12.3	5.9	18.8	10.7	6.5	9.1	9.9	5.4	20.0	36.2
		Cc	DEde						Jj		n		n						TUtu	u	
Base for stats	638	292	103	123	90	332	216	81	382	246	502	122	51	48	28	107	264	222	515	60	47
Mean	4.3	4.4	3.7	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.5	3.8	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.5	3.5	3.1
		CDcd	DEde			Rh	h		Jj		Nn	n	Nn						TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 93
Continued

Table 47-1
Q7. My case manager is an advocate for me within the system
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.09	0.99	1.40	0.83	0.96	1.12	1.12	0.94	1.17	0.91	1.06	1.23	0.96	1.39	1.20	0.99	1.11	1.12	0.92	1.28	1.43
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.14	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.13	0.20	0.23	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.17	0.21

Table 48-1

Q8. My case manager is genuinely trying to do the right thing

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	7	3	2			2	4	1	1	6	5	1			1	1	2	4	6	1	
Total answering	643	294	103	124	91	332	218	83	383	250	506	123	52	48	29	107	265	225	519	61	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	479	221	62	107	70	239	164	67	272	201	383	86	42	27	20	80	195	172	421	26	18
	74.5	75.2	60.2	86.3	76.9	72.0	75.2	80.7	71.0	80.4	75.7	69.9	80.8	56.3	69.0	74.8	73.6	76.4	81.1	42.6	38.3
		CDcd	DEde	e		h			Jj		Nn		Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	95	45	19	11	15	58	25	11	63	30	77	16	5	8	5	16	39	29	65	18	10
	14.8	15.3	18.4	8.9	16.5	17.5	11.5	13.3	16.4	12.0	15.2	13.0	9.6	16.7	17.2	15.0	14.7	12.9	12.5	29.5	21.3
		d	Dd			Gg													Tt		
3 Neither	49	22	16	4	2	23	22	4	35	13	34	13	3	9	2	8	23	16	25	11	13
	7.6	7.5	15.5	3.2	2.2	6.9	10.1	4.8	9.1	5.2	6.7	10.6	5.8	18.8	6.9	7.5	8.7	7.1	4.8	18.0	27.7
		CEcde	DEde				h		j		Nn		Nn						TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	11	2	3	2	2	7	4		7	4	7	4	1	3		1	4	5	4	3	4
	1.7	0.7	2.9	1.6	2.2	2.1	1.8		1.8	1.6	1.4	3.3	1.9	6.3		0.9	1.5	2.2	0.8	4.9	8.5
						Hh	Hh				Oo	Oo		o					u		
1 Strongly disagree	9	4	3		2	5	3	1	6	2	5	4	1	1	2	2	4	3	4	3	2
	1.4	1.4	2.9		2.2	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.6	0.8	1.0	3.3	1.9	2.1	6.9	1.9	1.5	1.3	0.8	4.9	4.3
		Dd	d																		
Agree (net)	574	266	81	118	85	297	189	78	335	231	460	102	47	35	25	96	234	201	486	44	28
	89.3	90.5	78.6	95.2	93.4	89.5	86.7	94.0	87.5	92.4	90.9	82.9	90.4	72.9	86.2	89.7	88.3	89.3	93.6	72.1	59.6
		Ccd	DEde				Hh		Jj		LNln		Nn						TUtu		
Disagree (net)	20	6	6	2	4	12	7	1	13	6	12	8	2	4	2	3	8	8	8	6	6
	3.1	2.0	5.8	1.6	4.4	3.6	3.2	1.2	3.4	2.4	2.4	6.5	3.8	8.3	6.9	2.8	3.0	3.6	1.5	9.8	12.8
											l								TUtu		
Base for stats	643	294	103	124	91	332	218	83	383	250	506	123	52	48	29	107	265	225	519	61	47
Mean	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.0	3.8
		CDcd	DEde			h			Jj		LNln		Nn						TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 95

Continued

Table 48-1
Q8. My case manager is genuinely trying to do the right thing
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ETHNIC											
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME-	NETHR/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.82	0.77	1.02	0.57	0.82	0.83	0.85	0.66	0.85	0.71	0.75	1.02	0.83	1.07	1.10	0.82	0.83	0.82	0.66	1.12	1.16
Std err	0.03	0.04	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.20	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.14	0.17

Table 49-1
Q9. Overall, how satisfied are you with your case manager?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	24	13	4		3	11	8	5	13	10	19	5	2	2	1	6	10	7	22	1	1
Total answering	626	284	101	124	88	323	214	79	371	246	492	119	50	46	29	102	257	222	503	61	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
7 Very satisfied	384 61.3	177 62.3 CDcd	43 42.6 DEde	94 75.8 Ee	54 61.4	185 57.3 Hh	133 62.1 Hh	59 74.7	211 56.9 Jj	170 69.1	300 61.0 Mmn	75 63.0 n	37 74.0 Nn	22 47.8	18 62.1	65 63.7	150 58.4	141 63.5	351 69.8 Tutu	11 18.0	9 19.6
6	117 18.7	55 19.4	20 19.8	19 15.3	19 21.6	70 21.7 gh	34 15.9	11 13.9	76 20.5	38 15.4	95 19.3	20 16.8	7 14.0	11 23.9	4 13.8	22 21.6	52 20.2	36 16.2	90 17.9 Tutu	21 34.4 Uu	4 8.7
5	44 7.0	22 7.7 Dd	10 9.9 Dd	2 1.6 Ee	8 9.1	29 9.0 Hh	12 5.6	2 2.5	30 8.1	13 5.3	35 7.1	7 5.9	2 4.0	3 6.5	3 10.3	3 2.9 Qq	22 8.6	14 6.3	28 5.6 Tt	10 16.4	6 13.0
4 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	30 4.8	8 2.8 Cc	12 11.9 DEde	5 4.0	1 1.1	11 3.4 Gg	17 7.9 Hh	2 2.5	19 5.1	10 4.1	20 4.1 n	9 7.6	2 4.0	6 13.0	2 6.9	7 6.9	11 4.3	11 5.0	12 2.4 Tutu	7 11.5	11 23.9
3	20 3.2	10 3.5 Dd	5 5.0 d	1 0.8	3 3.4	10 3.1	8 3.7	2 2.5	13 3.5	7 2.8	19 3.9 LNolno	1 0.8	1 2.0				9 3.5	9 4.1	6 1.2 Tutu	5 8.2	8 17.4
2	12 1.9	6 2.1 Dd	5 5.0 Dd		1 1.1	8 2.5	3 1.4	1 1.3	10 2.7 j	2 0.8	11 2.2 MOmo	1 0.8		1 2.2		2 2.0	4 1.6	5 2.3	7 1.4 u	1 1.6	4 8.7
1 Very dissatisfied	19 3.0	6 2.1	6 5.9	3 2.4	2 2.3	10 3.1	7 3.3	2 2.5	12 3.2	6 2.4	12 2.4	6 5.0	1 2.0	3 6.5	2 6.9	3 2.9	9 3.5	6 2.7	9 1.8 Tt	6 9.8	4 8.7
Satisfied (net)	501 80.0	232 81.7 CDcd	63 62.4 DEde	113 91.1 e	73 83.0	255 78.9 Rh	167 78.0 Hh	70 88.6	287 77.4 Jj	208 84.6	395 80.3	95 79.8	44 88.0 Nn	33 71.7	22 75.9	87 85.3	202 78.6	177 79.7	441 87.7 Tutu	32 52.5 Uu	13 28.3

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 97
Continued

Table 49-1
Q9. Overall, how satisfied are you with your case manager?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****								HIGH		NETHR/
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Neither (net)	94 15.0	40 14.1 CDcd	27 26.7 DEde	8 6.5 e	12 13.6	50 15.5 Hh	37 17.3 Rh	6 7.6	62 16.7	30 12.2	74 15.0	17 14.3	5 10.0	9 19.6	5 17.2	10 9.8 q	42 16.3	34 15.3	46 9.1 TUtU	22 36.1 u	25 54.3
Dissatisfied (net)	31 5.0	12 4.2 Cc	11 10.9 DEde	3 2.4	3 3.4	18 5.6	10 4.7	3 3.8	22 5.9	8 3.3	23 4.7	7 5.9	1 2.0	4 8.7	2 6.9	5 4.9	13 5.1	11 5.0	16 3.2 TUtU	7 11.5	8 17.4
Base for stats	626	284	101	124	88	323	214	79	371	246	492	119	50	46	29	102	257	222	503	61	46
Mean	6.1	6.2 CDcd	5.4 DEde	6.5	6.2	6.1 h	6.1 h	6.4	6.0 Jj	6.3	6.1 m	6.1	6.5 Nn	5.7	6.0	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.4 TUtU	5.0 u	4.3
Std dev	1.49	1.40	1.85	1.16	1.32	1.50	1.54	1.33	1.55	1.34	1.46	1.57	1.19	1.72	1.65	1.39	1.51	1.51	1.21	1.80	1.86
Std err	0.06	0.08	0.18	0.10	0.14	0.08	0.11	0.15	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.14	0.17	0.25	0.31	0.14	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.23	0.27

Table 53-1

Q1/lb. Do you use a fiscal intermediary as a part of your consumer directed support program? If so, which organization?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	42	12	4	7	10	15	12	15	17	25	32	8	3	4	1	3	21	13	36	1	2
Total answering	608	285	101	117	81	319	210	69	367	231	479	116	49	44	29	105	246	216	489	61	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
	80.3	97.5	96.0	47.0	53.1	87.1	78.6	56.5	81.5	78.4	80.2	80.2	85.7	72.7	86.2	71.4	81.3	82.4	80.0	82.0	77.8
		DEde	DEde			GHgh	Hh									Rqr					
PICS	75	61	9		2	37	32	5	40	35	64	10	2	4	5	13	32	23	59	6	9
	16.5	23.8	9.7		4.8	14.2	20.8	14.3	14.2	20.7	17.5	12.3	5.7	14.3	20.8	18.6	17.2	13.9	16.1	13.3	28.1
		CDEcde	Dd			g			j		Mm										
MRCI	63	15		45	3	19	33	10	37	25	59	3		1	2	10	22	27	54	4	2
	13.8	5.9		91.8	7.1	7.3	21.4	28.6	13.2	14.8	16.1	3.7		3.6	8.3	14.3	11.8	16.3	14.7	8.9	6.3
		CDcd	Dde	Ee		GHgh					LMNlmn	m							u		
Life Works	62	11	49			43	16	2	46	16	44	17	10	5	5	13	23	22	52	6	3
	13.6	4.3	52.7			16.5	10.4	5.7	16.4	9.5	12.0	21.0	28.6	17.9	20.8	18.6	12.4	13.3	14.2	13.3	9.4
		CDEcde	DEde			Hgh			Jj		Mlm										
Orion ISO Inc./ ISO	56	26	12		15	40	14	2	41	14	46	8	3	4	2	6	19	25	46	5	5
	12.3	10.2	12.9		35.7	15.3	9.1	5.7	14.6	8.3	12.6	9.9	8.6	14.3	8.3	8.6	10.2	15.1	12.5	11.1	15.6
		DEde	DEde	Ee		Hgh			Jj												
Mains'l Services	43	40			2	17	22	3	25	17	36	7	4	2	1	3	24	15	38	2	2
	9.5	15.6			4.8	6.5	14.3	8.6	8.9	10.1	9.8	8.6	11.4	7.1	4.2	4.3	12.9	9.0	10.4	4.4	6.3
		CDEcde				Gg										Qq			t		
Community Involvement Programs/ CIP	30	29				23	7		15	15	23	7	3	2	2	4	15	10	20	6	2
	6.6	11.3				8.8	4.5		5.3	8.9	6.3	8.6	8.6	7.1	8.3	5.7	8.1	6.0	5.4	13.3	6.3
		CDEcde				Hgh	Hh														
CCP	26		21		2	21	5		18	7	19	6	1	5		2	9	12	18	6	2
	5.7		22.6		4.8	8.0	3.2		6.4	4.1	5.2	7.4	2.9	17.9		2.9	4.8	7.2	4.9	13.3	6.3
		Cc	DEde			GHgh	Hh				Ono	Oo	n	Oo							

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/14/2

Page 1

Continued

Table 50-1

Q1/lb. Do you use a fiscal intermediary as a part of your consumer directed support program? If so, which organization?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
St. David's	20 4.4	20 7.8			15 5.7	4 2.6	1 2.9	15 5.3	5 3.0	15 4.1	5 6.2	2 5.7	2 7.1	1 4.2	1 1.4	12 6.5	5 3.0	16 4.4	1 2.2	2 6.3	
		CDEcde													Qq						
Pinnacle Services	18 4.0	17 6.6			1 2.4	9 3.4	6 3.9	3 8.6	9 3.2	9 5.3	12 3.3	6 7.4	4 11.4	1 3.6	1 4.2	6 8.6	5 2.7	7 4.2	16 4.4	1 2.2	1 3.1
		CDcd														q					
Alliance Health Care	16 3.5	12 4.7			4 9.5	15 5.7	1 0.6		8 2.8	8 4.7	15 4.1	1 1.2		1 3.6			7 3.8	9 5.4	13 3.5	2 4.4	1 3.1
		CDcd	Ee	Ee		GHgh					MOLmo				QRqr						
Frazer	7 1.5	7 2.7				6 2.3	1 0.6		7 2.5		6 1.6	1 1.2	1 2.9			2 2.9	1 0.5	4 2.4	7 1.9		
		CDEcde				Hh			Jj		NOno								TUtu		
Possibilities	6 1.3				6 14.3	4 1.5		2 5.7	3 1.1	3 1.8	6 1.6					2 2.9	1 0.5	3 1.8	6 1.6		
		Ee	Ee	Ee		Gg					LMNOlm no								TUtu		
Connections	4 0.9	4 1.6				2 0.8	2 1.3		3 1.1	1 0.6	3 0.8					1 1.4	3 1.6		4 1.1		
		CDEcde									lmno						r		TUtu		
Lutheran Social Services/ LSS	4 0.9	3 1.2	1 1.1			2 0.8	2 1.3		1 0.4	2 1.2	3 0.8	1 1.2			1 4.2	3 4.3		1 0.6	1 0.3	2 4.4	1 3.1
		de									mn					q					
Rise Inc.	3 0.7	3 1.2				1 0.4	1 0.6	1 2.9	1 0.4	2 1.2	3 0.8						1 0.5	1 0.6	2 0.5	1 2.2	
		cde									lmno										
Dungarvin	3 0.7	2 0.8				2 0.8	1 0.6		3 1.1		1 0.3	2 2.5			2 8.3		3 1.6		2 0.5	1 2.2	
									j							q	r				

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/14/02

Page 2

Continued

Table 50-1

Q1/1b. Do you use a fiscal intermediary as a part of your consumer directed support program? If so, which organization?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME-WHAT (T)	NETHR/NOT (U)
Choices for Children	3 0.7	3 1.2 cde				3 1.1 gh			3 1.1 j		3 0.8 lmno						2 1.1	1 0.6	3 0.8 tu		
PARENTS	3 0.7			2 4.1	1 2.4		1 0.6	2 5.7	1 0.4	2 1.2	2 0.5	1 1.2		1 3.6		3 4.3 qr			3 0.8 tu		
Hammer	3 0.7	3 1.2 cde				1 0.4	2 1.3			3 1.8 j	3 0.8 lmno					1 1.4	2 1.1		2 0.5	1 2.2	
Choices	2 0.4	2 0.8				1 0.4	1 0.6		1 0.4	1 0.6	1 0.3	1 1.2	1 2.9					1 0.6	2 0.5		
Optimal Care	2 0.4	2 0.8				1 0.4	1 0.6		2 0.7			2 2.5	2 5.7		1 4.2		2 1.1		1 0.3	1 2.2	
Opportunity Partners	2 0.4	2 0.8				1 0.4	1 0.6		1 0.4	1 0.6	2 0.5						1 0.5		1 0.3		
Cedar Valley Service	2 0.4				2 4.8	2 0.8			2 0.7		2 0.5					1 1.4					2 6.3
Opal	1 0.2			1 2.0			1 0.6			1 0.6		1 1.2	1 2.9					1 0.6	1 0.3		
Hiawatha Homes	1 0.2				1 2.4			1 2.9		1 0.6	1 0.3							1 0.6			
REM of MN	1 0.2	1 0.4				1 0.4			1 0.4		1 0.3						1 0.5			1 2.2	
ABLE	1 0.2				1 2.4			1 2.9	1 0.4		1 0.3						1 0.5		1 0.3		
Waiver	1 0.2	1 0.4							1 0.4			1 1.2			1 4.2		1 0.5		1 0.3		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/14/2 Page 3
Continued

Table 50-1

Q1/1b. Do you use a fiscal intermediary as a part of your consumer directed support program? If so, which organization?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Oakridge Homes	1 0.2				1 2.4		1 0.6		1 0.4		1 0.3							1 0.6	1 0.3		
Consumer Directions	1 0.2	1 0.4					1 0.6		1 0.4			1 1.2			1 4.2	1 1.4			1 0.3		
MRI	1 0.2			1 2.0			1 0.6		1 0.4		1 0.3						1 0.5		1 0.3		
Family Care Services Inc.	1 0.2	1 0.4				1 0.4			1 0.4			1 1.2	1 2.9					1 0.6	1 0.3		
CDC	1 0.2		1 1.1			1 0.4			1 0.4			1 1.2	1 2.9			1 1.4			1 0.3		
Equitable Waiver Services (online)	1 0.2	1 0.4				1 0.4				1 0.6	1 0.3						1 0.5		1 0.3		
Other	2 0.4				1 2.4			2 5.7		2 1.2	1 0.3							1 0.6	2 0.5		
No	120 19.7	7 2.5 DEde	4 4.0 DEde	62 53.0	38 46.9	41 12.9 GHgh	45 21.4 Hh	30 43.5	68 18.5	50 21.6	95 19.8	23 19.8	7 14.3	12 27.3	4 13.8	30 28.6 Rgr	46 18.7	38 17.6	98 20.0	11 18.0	10 22.2

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/14/2 Page 4

Table 51-1
Q2. Which functions does your fiscal intermediary provide for you?

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	15	10	1	1	2	9	3	3	7	7	9	5	3	2		3	5	6	9	3	1
Total answering	473	268	96	54	41	269	162	36	292	174	375	88	39	30	25	72	195	172	382	47	34
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Facilitates payments/ reimbursements	403	249	86	24	32	244	132	24	251	147	320	74	30	27	22	60	169	145	325	39	32
	85.2	92.9	89.6	44.4	78.0	90.7	81.5	66.7	86.0	84.5	85.3	84.1	76.9	90.0	88.0	83.3	86.7	84.3	85.1	83.0	94.1
		DEde	Dd	Ee		GHgh	h												Uu		
Employer of record	370	203	81	43	31	218	122	26	233	133	295	66	30	21	19	51	152	140	302	35	25
	78.2	75.7	84.4	79.6	75.6	81.0	75.3	72.2	79.8	76.4	78.7	75.0	76.9	70.0	76.0	70.8	77.9	81.4	79.1	74.5	73.5
		c														r					
Service coordinator	93	68	19	1	4	53	31	7	59	34	67	25	12	7	9	14	45	27	79	7	6
	19.7	25.4	19.8	1.9	9.8	19.7	19.1	19.4	20.2	19.5	17.9	28.4	30.8	23.3	36.0	19.4	23.1	15.7	20.7	14.9	17.6
		DEde	Dd								Llmo						r				

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 103

Table 52-1

Q3. My fiscal intermediary understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	9	1	2	2	3	3	4	2	5	4	8					3	2	3	8	1	
Total answering	479	277	95	53	40	275	161	37	294	177	376	93	42	32	25	72	198	175	383	49	35
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	280	163	61	28	20	155	100	21	168	108	224	52	23	17	16	50	111	102	256	13	6
	58.5	58.8	64.2	52.8	50.0	56.4	62.1	56.8	57.1	61.0	59.6	55.9	54.8	53.1	64.0	69.4	56.1	58.3	66.8	26.5	17.1
																Qqr			Tutu		
4 Somewhat agree	146	90	25	18	10	86	46	13	94	49	116	27	14	9	6	13	68	50	104	22	14
	30.5	32.5	26.3	34.0	25.0	31.3	28.6	35.1	32.0	27.7	30.9	29.0	33.3	28.1	24.0	18.1	34.3	28.6	27.2	44.9	40.0
																Qqr			Tt		
3 Neither	29	14	4	6	4	15	10	3	17	11	21	6	2	1	3	4	10	14	14	5	9
	6.1	5.1	4.2	11.3	10.0	5.5	6.2	8.1	5.8	6.2	5.6	6.5	4.8	3.1	12.0	5.6	5.1	8.0	3.7	10.2	25.7
																			Uu	u	
2 Somewhat disagree	16	7	2	1	4	12	4		9	7	11	5	1	4		4	7	5	5	8	3
	3.3	2.5	2.1	1.9	10.0	4.4	2.5		3.1	4.0	2.9	5.4	2.4	12.5		5.6	3.5	2.9	1.3	16.3	8.6
						Hh	Hh				Oo	Oo		Oo					Tt		
1 Strongly disagree	8	3	3		2	7	1		6	2	4	3	2	1		1	2	4	4	1	3
	1.7	1.1	3.2		5.0	2.5	0.6		2.0	1.1	1.1	3.2	4.8	3.1		1.4	1.0	2.3	1.0	2.0	8.6
		d	d			Hgh					Oo	o									
Agree (net)	426	253	86	46	30	241	146	34	262	157	340	79	37	26	22	63	179	152	360	35	20
	88.9	91.3	90.5	86.8	75.0	87.6	90.7	91.9	89.1	88.7	90.4	84.9	88.1	81.3	88.0	87.5	90.4	86.9	94.0	71.4	57.1
		Ee	Ee																Tutu		
Disagree (net)	24	10	5	1	6	19	5		15	9	15	8	3	5		5	9	9	9	9	6
	5.0	3.6	5.3	1.9	15.0	6.9	3.1		5.1	5.1	4.0	8.6	7.1	15.6		6.9	4.5	5.1	2.3	18.4	17.1
		Ee		Ee		Hgh	Hh				Oo	Oo	o	Oo					Tutu		
Base for stats	479	277	95	53	40	275	161	37	294	177	376	93	42	32	25	72	198	175	383	49	35
Mean	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.6	3.8	3.5
		Ee	e			g													Tutu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 104

Continued

Table 52-1
Q3. My fiscal intermediary understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs
Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	*****					*****			*****		*****ANY*****					*****			*****		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.87	0.79	0.92	0.76	1.20	0.95	0.77	0.64	0.88	0.86	0.81	1.02	1.01	1.15	0.70	0.93	0.82	0.92	0.72	1.07	1.13
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.19	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.16	0.20	0.14	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.15	0.19

Table 53-1

Q4. My fiscal intermediary is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	9	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	5	4	8					2	2	3	7	2	
Total answering	479	276	95	53	41	276	161	36	294	177	376	93	42	32	25	73	198	175	384	48	35
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	282	164	63	29	18	151	100	27	173	106	221	58	28	18	17	46	119	102	255	13	9
	58.9	59.4	66.3	54.7	43.9	54.7	62.1	75.0	58.8	59.9	58.8	62.4	66.7	56.3	68.0	63.0	60.1	58.3	66.4	27.1	25.7
		e	Ee			Hh													Tutu		
4 Somewhat agree	141	84	23	15	15	89	43	8	88	50	117	21	9	10	3	16	58	52	105	21	10
	29.4	30.4	24.2	28.3	36.6	32.2	26.7	22.2	29.9	28.2	31.1	22.6	21.4	31.3	12.0	21.9	29.3	29.7	27.3	43.8	28.6
											Olo			o					Tt		
3 Neither	25	9	4	8	4	13	11		13	12	18	6	1		5	5	11	8	13	3	8
	5.2	3.3	4.2	15.1	9.8	4.7	6.8		4.4	6.8	4.8	6.5	2.4		20.0	6.8	5.6	4.6	3.4	6.3	22.9
		Dd	Dd			Hh	Hh				Nno	Nn	Oo	Oo					Uu	Uu	
2 Somewhat disagree	21	12	4	1	2	15	5	1	12	7	15	3		3		4	5	10	5	10	5
	4.4	4.3	4.2	1.9	4.9	5.4	3.1	2.8	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.2		9.4		5.5	2.5	5.7	1.3	20.8	14.3
											MOmo	mo	n	o					Tutu		
1 Strongly disagree	10	7	1		2	8	2		8	2	5	5	4	1		2	5	3	6	1	3
	2.1	2.5	1.1		4.9	2.9	1.2		2.7	1.1	1.3	5.4	9.5	3.1		2.7	2.5	1.7	1.6	2.1	8.6
		Dd				Hh					Olmo	Oo	Oo	Oo							
Agree (net)	423	248	86	44	33	240	143	35	261	156	338	79	37	28	20	62	177	154	360	34	19
	88.3	89.9	90.5	83.0	80.5	87.0	88.8	97.2	88.8	88.1	89.9	84.9	88.1	87.5	80.0	84.9	89.4	88.0	93.8	70.8	54.3
						Hh	Hh												Tutu		
Disagree (net)	31	19	5	1	4	23	7	1	20	9	20	8	4	4		6	10	13	11	11	8
	6.5	6.9	5.3	1.9	9.8	8.3	4.3	2.8	6.8	5.1	5.3	8.6	9.5	12.5		8.2	5.1	7.4	2.9	22.9	22.9
		Dd				gh					Oo	Oo	Oo	Oo					Tutu		
Base for stats	479	276	95	53	41	276	161	36	294	177	376	93	42	32	25	73	198	175	384	48	35
Mean	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6	3.7	3.5
		e	Ee			Hgh	h												Tutu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 106

Continued

Table 53-1
Q4. My fiscal intermediary is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary
Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.92	0.93	0.84	0.80	1.08	0.99	0.85	0.62	0.95	0.87	0.86	1.09	1.19	1.07	0.81	1.01	0.90	0.93	0.76	1.13	1.25
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.17	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.16	0.21

Table S4-1

Q5. My fiscal intermediary treats me with respect

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	11	2	3	3	2	5	4	2	7	4	9	1		1		3	2	4	8	3	
Total answering	477	276	94	52	41	273	161	37	292	177	375	92	42	31	25	72	198	174	383	47	35
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	350	201	72	37	28	189	126	31	215	129	276	68	35	18	21	57	138	130	304	24	15
	73.4	72.8	76.6	71.2	68.3	69.2	78.3	83.8	73.6	72.9	73.6	73.9	83.3	58.1	84.0	79.2	69.7	74.7	79.4	51.1	42.9
						GHgh					n		Nn	Oo					TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	92	55	17	11	9	63	23	4	60	31	75	15	3	10	2	10	47	28	63	15	10
	19.3	19.9	18.1	21.2	22.0	23.1	14.3	10.8	20.5	17.5	20.0	16.3	7.1	32.3	8.0	13.9	23.7	16.1	16.4	31.9	28.6
						GHgh					MOmo	n	Nn	Oo		q	r		Tt		
3 Neither	22	11	3	3	3	11	9	2	10	11	16	5	2	1	2	4	6	11	10	5	7
	4.6	4.0	3.2	5.8	7.3	4.0	5.6	5.4	3.4	6.2	4.3	5.4	4.8	3.2	8.0	5.6	3.0	6.3	2.6	10.6	20.0
																			Utu		
2 Somewhat disagree	6	5			1	5	1		4	2	4	2		2		1	3	2	3	2	1
	1.3	1.8			2.4	1.8	0.6		1.4	1.1	1.1	2.2		6.5		1.4	1.5	1.1	0.8	4.3	2.9
		CDcd				Hh					MOmo										
1 Strongly disagree	7	4	2	1		5	2		3	4	4	2	2				4	3	3	1	2
	1.5	1.4	2.1	1.9		1.8	1.2		1.0	2.3	1.1	2.2	4.8				2.0	1.7	0.8	2.1	5.7
		Ee				Hh					NOno					Qqr					
Agree (net)	442	256	89	48	37	252	149	35	275	160	351	83	38	28	23	67	185	158	367	39	25
	92.7	92.8	94.7	92.3	90.2	92.3	92.5	94.6	94.2	90.4	93.6	90.2	90.5	90.3	92.0	93.1	93.4	90.8	95.8	83.0	71.4
																			TUtu		
Disagree (net)	13	9	2	1	1	10	3		7	6	8	4	2	2		1	7	5	6	3	3
	2.7	3.3	2.1	1.9	2.4	3.7	1.9		2.4	3.4	2.1	4.3	4.8	6.5		1.4	3.5	2.9	1.6	6.4	8.6
						Hh	h				Oo	Oo									
Base for stats	477	276	94	52	41	273	161	37	292	177	375	92	42	31	25	72	198	174	383	47	35
Mean	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.0
						Hh								o					TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 108

Continued

Table 54-1
Q5. My fiscal intermediary treats me with respect
Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.76	0.77	0.73	0.77	0.73	0.81	0.72	0.53	0.71	0.84	0.71	0.86	0.95	0.83	0.59	0.63	0.80	0.80	0.63	0.96	1.12
Std err	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.14	0.19

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 109

Table 55-1

Q6. My fiscal intermediary understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	9	1	2	3	2	3	4	2	5	4	7	1		1		3	2	3	7	2	
Total answering	479	277	95	52	41	275	161	37	294	177	377	92	42	31	25	72	198	175	384	48	35
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	300 62.6	182 65.7 DEde	63 66.3 de	26 50.0	20 48.8	166 60.4	104 64.6	26 70.3	180 61.2	117 66.1	236 62.6	61 66.3	30 71.4	18 58.1	18 72.0	49 68.1	125 63.1	109 62.3	263 68.5 TUtu	20 41.7	11 31.4
4 Somewhat agree	116 24.2	66 23.8	23 24.2	15 28.8	9 22.0	69 25.1	39 24.2	7 18.9	72 24.5	40 22.6	91 24.1	20 21.7	7 16.7	10 32.3	4 16.0	12 16.7	49 24.7	43 24.6	87 22.7	14 29.2	11 31.4
3 Neither	47 9.8	23 8.3 Dd	6 6.3 Dd	11 21.2	6 14.6	27 9.8	15 9.3	4 10.8	29 9.9	17 9.6	39 10.3 Nn	6 6.5	2 4.8	1 3.2	3 12.0	10 13.9	18 9.1	15 8.6	27 7.0 TUtu	9 18.8	10 28.6
2 Somewhat disagree	11 2.3	3 1.1 Ede	3 3.2 de		5 12.2 Ee	8 2.9 Hh	3 1.9 h		10 3.4 Jj	1 0.6	11 2.9 LMNOlm no						4 2.0 QRqr	6 3.4	4 1.0 t	4 8.3	2 5.7
1 Strongly disagree	5 1.0	3 1.1 cd			1 2.4	5 1.8 GHgh			3 1.0	2 1.1		5 5.4 Llm Oo	3 7.1 o	2 6.5		1 1.4	2 1.0	2 1.1	3 0.8	1 2.1	1 2.9
Agree (net)	416 86.8	248 89.5 Ede	86 90.5 Ede	41 78.8	29 70.7	235 85.5	143 88.8	33 89.2	252 85.7	157 88.7	327 86.7	81 88.0	37 88.1	28 90.3	22 88.0	61 84.7	174 87.9	152 86.9	350 91.1 TUtu	34 70.8	22 62.9
Disagree (net)	16 3.3	6 2.2 DEde	3 3.2 de		6 14.6 Ee	13 4.7 Hgh	3 1.9 h		13 4.4 j	3 1.7	11 2.9 Oo	5 5.4 Oo	3 7.1 o	2 6.5		1 1.4	6 3.0	8 4.6	7 1.8 t	5 10.4	3 8.6
Base for stats	479	277	95	52	41	275	161	37	294	177	377	92	42	31	25	72	198	175	384	48	35
Mean	4.5	4.5 Ede	4.5 Ede	4.3	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.6 TUtu	4.0	3.8

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 110

Continued

Table 55-1
Q6. My fiscal intermediary understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities
Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	=====					=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Std dev	0.84	0.78	0.75	0.79	1.16	0.91	0.74	0.68	0.88	0.78	0.79	1.01	1.10	1.03	0.69	0.83	0.82	0.87	0.74	1.06	1.03
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.18	0.05	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.17	0.19	0.14	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.15	0.17

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 111

Table 56-1

Q7. My fiscal intermediary tries to make things simpler for me

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	8	1	2	2	2	2	4	2	5	3	7					2	2	3	7	1	
Total answering	480	277	95	53	41	276	161	37	294	178	377	93	42	32	25	73	198	175	384	49	35
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	278	157	64	30	19	153	96	25	171	103	222	54	27	16	16	46	108	107	246	17	9
	57.9	56.7	67.4	56.6	46.3	55.4	59.6	67.6	58.2	57.9	58.9	58.1	64.3	50.0	64.0	63.0	54.5	61.1	64.1	34.7	25.7
		c	Ee																TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	137	86	25	13	9	76	51	9	85	50	106	26	9	11	7	18	63	43	106	17	10
	28.5	31.0	26.3	24.5	22.0	27.5	31.7	24.3	28.9	28.1	28.1	28.0	21.4	34.4	28.0	24.7	31.8	24.6	27.6	34.7	28.6
3 Neither	33	16	3	8	5	21	10	1	18	14	27	4	2		2	5	16	10	19	7	6
	6.9	5.8	3.2	15.1	12.2	7.6	6.2	2.7	6.1	7.9	7.2	4.3	4.8		8.0	6.8	8.1	5.7	4.9	14.3	17.1
		d	Dde								Nn	Nn							tu		
2 Somewhat disagree	20	10	1	2	6	18	1	1	12	7	16	3		3		3	5	10	6	7	7
	4.2	3.6	1.1	3.8	14.6	6.5	0.6	2.7	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.2		9.4		4.1	2.5	5.7	1.6	14.3	20.0
		ce	Ee	e		Gg					MOmo	mo	n	o					TUtu		
1 Strongly disagree	12	8	2		2	8	3	1	8	4	6	6	4	2		1	6	5	7	1	3
	2.5	2.9	2.1		4.9	2.9	1.9	2.7	2.7	2.2	1.6	6.5	9.5	6.3		1.4	3.0	2.9	1.8	2.0	8.6
		Dd									Olmo	Oo	Oo								
Agree (net)	415	243	89	43	28	229	147	34	256	153	328	80	36	27	23	64	171	150	352	34	19
	86.5	87.7	93.7	81.1	68.3	83.0	91.3	91.9	87.1	86.0	87.0	86.0	85.7	84.4	92.0	87.7	86.4	85.7	91.7	69.4	54.3
		Ece	DEde			Ggh													TUtu		
Disagree (net)	32	18	3	2	8	26	4	2	20	11	22	9	4	5		4	11	15	13	8	10
	6.7	6.5	3.2	3.8	19.5	9.4	2.5	5.4	6.8	6.2	5.8	9.7	9.5	15.6		5.5	5.6	8.6	3.4	16.3	28.6
		Ee	Ee	Ee		Gg					Oo	Oo	Oo	Oo					TUtu		
Base for stats	480	277	95	53	41	276	161	37	294	178	377	93	42	32	25	73	198	175	384	49	35
Mean	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.5	3.9	3.4
		CEce	Ee	e		Gg								o					TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 112

Continued

Table 56-1
Q7. My fiscal intermediary tries to make things simpler for me
Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.96	0.95	0.79	0.87	1.27	1.04	0.80	0.89	0.96	0.94	0.91	1.12	1.20	1.19	0.64	0.89	0.95	1.01	0.81	1.11	1.29
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.20	0.06	0.06	0.15	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.12	0.19	0.21	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.16	0.22

Table 57-1

Q8. My fiscal intermediary shows flexibility when dealing with me

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	9	2	2	2	2	3	4	2	5	4	8					3	2	3	8	1	
Total answering	479	276	95	53	41	275	161	37	294	177	376	93	42	32	25	72	198	175	383	49	35
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	288	169	64	29	19	158	99	27	177	108	229	56	26	17	18	43	117	110	256	16	9
	60.1	61.2	67.4	54.7	46.3	57.5	61.5	73.0	60.2	61.0	60.9	60.2	61.9	53.1	72.0	59.7	59.1	62.9	66.8	32.7	25.7
		e	Be			h													TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	121	69	22	13	13	68	45	8	76	44	92	25	10	11	5	19	51	39	92	17	10
	25.3	25.0	23.2	24.5	31.7	24.7	28.0	21.6	25.9	24.9	24.5	26.9	23.8	34.4	20.0	26.4	25.8	22.3	24.0	34.7	28.6
3 Neither	49	22	5	11	8	32	14	1	28	19	40	7	3	2	2	7	22	17	26	12	9
	10.2	8.0	5.3	20.8	19.5	11.6	8.7	2.7	9.5	10.7	10.6	7.5	7.1	6.3	8.0	9.7	11.1	9.7	6.8	24.5	25.7
		Dde	DEde			Hh	h												TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	11	8	2		1	10	1		7	2	9	1		1		2	4	4	4	4	3
	2.3	2.9	2.1		2.4	3.6	0.6		2.4	1.1	2.4	1.1		3.1		2.8	2.0	2.3	1.0	8.2	8.6
		Dd				GHgh					MOmo								t		
1 Strongly disagree	10	8	2			7	2	1	6	4	6	4	3	1		1	4	5	5		4
	2.1	2.9	2.1			2.5	1.2	2.7	2.0	2.3	1.6	4.3	7.1	3.1		1.4	2.0	2.9	1.3		11.4
		DEde									Oo	Oo	o						Ttu	Uu	
Agree (net)	409	238	86	42	32	226	144	35	253	152	321	81	36	28	23	62	168	149	348	33	19
	85.4	86.2	90.5	79.2	78.0	82.2	89.4	94.6	86.1	85.9	85.4	87.1	85.7	87.5	92.0	86.1	84.8	85.1	90.9	67.3	54.3
			de			GHgh													TUtu		
Disagree (net)	21	16	4		1	17	3	1	13	6	15	5	3	2		3	8	9	9	4	7
	4.4	5.8	4.2		2.4	6.2	1.9	2.7	4.4	3.4	4.0	5.4	7.1	6.3		4.2	4.0	5.1	2.3	8.2	20.0
		Dd	Dd			Gg					Oo	Oo	o						Uu		
Base for stats	479	276	95	53	41	275	161	37	294	177	376	93	42	32	25	72	198	175	383	49	35
Mean	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5	3.9	3.5
			e			Ggh					o								TUtu	u	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 114

Continued

Table 57-1
Q8. My fiscal intermediary shows flexibility when dealing with me
Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE	ETHNIC							SOME-	NETHR/	
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.91	0.96	0.86	0.80	0.84	0.98	0.78	0.78	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.98	1.11	0.95	0.62	0.88	0.91	0.96	0.78	0.94	1.27
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.06	0.06	0.13	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.17	0.17	0.12	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.22

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 115

Table 58-1
Q9. My fiscal intermediary is an advocate for me within the system

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	12	3	2	4	2	5	5	2	6	6	9	1		1		3	3	5	11	1	
Total answering	476 100.0	275 100.0	95 100.0	51 100.0	41 100.0	273 100.0	160 100.0	37 100.0	293 100.0	175 100.0	375 100.0	92 100.0	42 100.0	31 100.0	25 100.0	72 100.0	197 100.0	173 100.0	380 100.0	49 100.0	35 100.0
5 Strongly agree	229 48.1	133 48.4	53 55.8 Dde	19 37.3	16 39.0	128 46.9	76 47.5	22 59.5	143 48.8	83 47.4	182 48.5	45 48.9	24 57.1 n	11 35.5	14 56.0	37 51.4	95 48.2	82 47.4	204 53.7 TUtU	13 26.5	7 20.0
4 Somewhat agree	116 24.4	78 28.4 ce	19 20.0	11 21.6	7 17.1	63 23.1	45 28.1	7 18.9	64 21.8 j	52 29.7	90 24.0	22 23.9	8 19.0	11 35.5 o	4 16.0	14 19.4	52 26.4	42 24.3	94 24.7	12 24.5	7 20.0
3 Neither	91 19.1	39 14.2 Dde	16 16.8 Dd	21 41.2	11 26.8	52 19.0	31 19.4	6 16.2	56 19.1	31 17.7	73 19.5	16 17.4	6 14.3	6 19.4	5 20.0	17 23.6	34 17.3	32 18.5	65 17.1	13 26.5	10 28.6
2 Somewhat disagree	17 3.6	11 4.0 Dd	4 4.2 Dd		2 4.9	12 4.4	4 2.5	1 2.7	12 4.1	4 2.3	15 4.0 Molmo	1 1.1		1 3.2		1 1.4	7 3.6	8 4.6	6 1.6 Ttu	7 14.3	4 11.4
1 Strongly disagree	23 4.8	14 5.1 Dd	3 3.2 de		5 12.2 Ee	18 6.6 Gg	4 2.5	1 2.7	18 6.1 j	5 2.9	15 4.0	8 8.7	4 9.5	2 6.5	2 8.0	3 4.2	9 4.6	9 5.2	11 2.9 Uu	4 8.2	7 20.0
Agree (net)	345 72.5	211 76.7 DEde	72 75.8 DEde	30 58.8	23 56.1	191 70.0	121 75.6	29 78.4	207 70.6	135 77.1	272 72.5	67 72.8	32 76.2	22 71.0	18 72.0	51 70.8	147 74.6	124 71.7	298 78.4 TUtU	25 51.0	14 40.0
Disagree (net)	40 8.4	25 9.1 Dd	7 7.4 Dd		7 17.1 Ee	30 11.0 Gg	8 5.0	2 5.4	30 10.2 Jj	9 5.1	30 8.0	9 9.8	4 9.5	3 9.7	2 8.0	4 5.6	16 8.1	17 9.8	17 4.5 TUtU	11 22.4	11 31.4
Base for stats	476	275	95	51	41	273	160	37	293	175	375	92	42	31	25	72	197	173	380	49	35
Mean	4.1	4.1 Ee	4.2 Ee	4.0	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.2 TUtU	3.5	3.1

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 116
Continued

Table 58-1
Q9. My fiscal intermediary is an advocate for me within the system
Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.12	1.11	1.07	0.88	1.35	1.20	0.98	1.01	1.18	0.99	1.09	1.22	1.25	1.12	1.21	1.08	1.09	1.14	0.99	1.25	1.38
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.12	0.21	0.07	0.08	0.17	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.13	0.19	0.20	0.24	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.18	0.23

Table 59-1

Q10. My fiscal intermediary is genuinely trying to do the right thing

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	10	2	2	3	2	3	5	2	6	4	7	2		1	1	4	2	3	8	2	
Total answering	478	276	95	52	41	275	160	37	293	177	377	91	42	31	24	71	198	175	383	48	35
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	315	185	68	31	23	174	109	28	194	118	251	59	30	17	16	49	131	116	279	19	11
	65.9	67.0	71.6	59.6	56.1	63.3	68.1	75.7	66.2	66.7	66.6	64.8	71.4	54.8	66.7	69.0	66.2	66.3	72.8	39.6	31.4
			e																TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	107	63	19	11	9	64	37	5	63	40	86	19	7	10	4	15	44	35	76	19	8
	22.4	22.8	20.0	21.2	22.0	23.3	23.1	13.5	21.5	22.6	22.8	20.9	16.7	32.3	16.7	21.1	22.2	20.0	19.8	39.6	22.9
																			Tt	u	
3 Neither	40	18	5	10	7	24	11	4	25	14	31	6	1	2	3	5	16	17	20	8	11
	8.4	6.5	5.3	19.2	17.1	8.7	6.9	10.8	8.5	7.9	8.2	6.6	2.4	6.5	12.5	7.0	8.1	9.7	5.2	16.7	31.4
		Dde	Dde								Mm								TUtu		
2 Somewhat disagree	9	6	2			7	2		5	4	5	4	2	1	1	1	5	3	3	2	4
	1.9	2.2	2.1			2.5	1.3		1.7	2.3	1.3	4.4	4.8	3.2	4.2	1.4	2.5	1.7	0.8	4.2	11.4
		DEde				Hh													Uu		
1 Strongly disagree	7	4	1		2	6	1		6	1	4	3	2	1		1	2	4	5		1
	1.5	1.4	1.1		4.9	2.2	0.6		2.0	0.6	1.1	3.3	4.8	3.2		1.4	1.0	2.3	1.3		2.9
		Dd				Hh					Do	o							Tt		
Agree (net)	422	248	87	42	32	238	146	33	257	158	337	78	37	27	20	64	175	151	355	38	19
	88.3	89.9	91.6	80.8	78.0	86.5	91.3	89.2	87.7	89.3	89.4	85.7	88.1	87.1	83.3	90.1	88.4	86.3	92.7	79.2	54.3
		e	de																TUtu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	16	10	3		2	13	3		11	5	9	7	4	2	1	2	7	7	8	2	5
	3.3	3.6	3.2		4.9	4.7	1.9		3.8	2.8	2.4	7.7	9.5	6.5	4.2	2.8	3.5	4.0	2.1	4.2	14.3
		Dd	d			Hgh	h				l								Uu		
Base for stats	478	276	95	52	41	275	160	37	293	177	377	91	42	31	24	71	198	175	383	48	35
Mean	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.1	3.7
			e			gh													TUtu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 118

Continued

Table 59-1
Q10. My fiscal intermediary is genuinely trying to do the right thing
Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.84	0.83	0.77	0.79	1.05	0.91	0.73	0.67	0.88	0.78	0.79	1.02	1.07	0.96	0.87	0.80	0.83	0.91	0.74	0.84	1.12
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.16	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.12	0.19

Table 60-1

Q11. My fiscal intermediary keeps accurate records of my transactions and accounts

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	488	278	97	55	43	278	165	39	299	181	384	93	42	32	25	75	200	178	391	50	35
No answer	10	1	3	3	2	4	4	2	6	4	8	1		1		3	2	4	8	2	
Total answering	478	277	94	52	41	274	161	37	293	177	376	92	42	31	25	72	198	174	383	48	35
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	311	184	60	33	25	169	109	28	192	114	239	68	32	21	21	52	125	113	278	16	11
	65.1	66.4	63.8	63.5	61.0	61.7 h	67.7	75.7	65.5	64.4	63.6 LOlmo	73.9	76.2	67.7	84.0	72.2	63.1	64.9	72.6 TUtu	33.3	31.4
4 Somewhat agree	117	65	26	13	9	73	37	6	72	43	99	15	5	7	3	11	48	48	82	21	10
	24.5	23.5	27.7	25.0	22.0	26.6	23.0	16.2	24.6	24.3	26.3 LMOlmo	16.3	11.9	22.6	12.0	15.3 Rqr	24.2	27.6	21.4 Tt	43.8	28.6
3 Neither	24	10	3	6	5	12	9	3	10	14	20	3	2		1	4	12	6	10	4	9
	5.0	3.6 d	3.2 de	11.5	12.2	4.4	5.6	8.1	3.4 j	7.9	5.3 Nn	3.3 n	4.8		4.0	5.6	6.1	3.4	2.6 Uu	8.3 Uu	25.7
2 Somewhat disagree	16	11	4			11	5		12	4	13	2		2		3	9	3	7	5	4
	3.3	4.0 DEde	4.3 DEde			4.0 Hh	3.1 Hh		4.1	2.3	3.5 MOmo	2.2		6.5		4.2	4.5	1.7	1.8 tu	10.4	11.4
1 Strongly disagree	10	7	1		2	9	1		7	2	5	4	3	1		2	4	4	6	2	1
	2.1	2.5 Dd	1.1		4.9	3.3 GHgh	0.6		2.4	1.1	1.3 Oo	4.3 Oo	7.1 o	3.2		2.8	2.0	2.3	1.6	4.2	2.9
Agree (net)	428	249	86	46	34	242	146	34	264	157	338	83	37	28	24	63	173	161	360	37	21
	89.5	89.9	91.5	88.5	82.9	88.3	90.7	91.9	90.1	88.7	89.9	90.2	88.1	90.3	96.0	87.5	87.4 r	92.5	94.0 TUtu	77.1 u	60.0
Disagree (net)	26	18	5		2	20	6		19	6	18	6	3	3		5	13	7	13	7	5
	5.4	6.5 Dd	5.3 Dd		4.9	7.3 Hh	3.7 Hh		6.5	3.4	4.8 Oo	6.5 Oo	7.1 o	9.7 o		6.9	6.6	4.0	3.4 Ttu	14.6	14.3
Base for stats	478	277	94	52	41	274	161	37	293	177	376	92	42	31	25	72	198	174	383	48	35
Mean	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4 Hgh	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5 Oo	4.5 o	4.5	4.5 o	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6 TUtu	3.9	3.7

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 120
Continued

Table 60-1
Q11. My fiscal intermediary keeps accurate records of my transactions and accounts
Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.90	0.93	0.83	0.69	1.03	0.98	0.79	0.62	0.92	0.82	0.85	0.98	1.10	1.01	0.49	0.97	0.94	0.84	0.77	1.10	1.10
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.16	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.18	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.16	0.19

Table 61-1

Q12. Overall, how satisfied are you with your fiscal intermediary?

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	ORGANIZATION								
	TOTAL (A)	PICS (B)	MRCI (C)	LIFE- WORKS (D)	ORION/ ISO (E)	MAINSL SERVIC (F)	CIP (G)	CCP (H)	OTHER (I)
Total sample	488	75	63	62	56	43	30	26	100
No answer	10	1	3	1					3
Total answering	478	74	60	61	56	43	30	26	97
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
7 Very satisfied	250	38	37	41	20	21	15	10	56
	52.3	51.4 de	61.7 EHeh	67.2 EHe fh	35.7 Ii	48.8	50.0	38.5 i	57.7
6	135	22	15	16	19	15	7	12	20
	28.2	29.7	25.0 h	26.2 h	33.9 i	34.9 i	23.3 h	46.2 Ii	20.6
5	44	7	5	1	9	2	5	1	11
	9.2	9.5 Dd	8.3 d	1.6 EGIegi	16.1 fh	4.7	16.7	3.8	11.3
4 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	23	4	3	3	3		1	1	3
	4.8	5.4 Ff	5.0 f	4.9 f	5.4 f	i	3.3	3.8	3.1
3	14	3			3	2		1	3
	2.9	4.1 cdg	ei	ei	5.4 g	4.7	i	3.8	3.1
2	3				1	1			1
	0.6				1.8	2.3			1.0
1 Very dissatisfied	9				1	2	2	1	3
	1.9	i	i	i	1.8	4.7	6.7	3.8	3.1
Satisfied (net)	385	60	52	57	39	36	22	22	76
	80.5	81.1 Dd	86.7 Ee	93.4 EGIegi	69.6 f	83.7	73.3	84.6	78.4

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDEFGHI)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/14/2 Page 5

Continued

Table 61-1

Q12. Overall, how satisfied are you with your fiscal intermediary?

Base is respondents who use a fiscal intermediary

	ORGANIZATION								
	TOTAL (A)	PICS (B)	MRCI (C)	LIFE- WORKS (D)	ORION/ ISO (E)	MAINSL SERVIC (F)	CIP (G)	CCP (H)	OTHER (I)
Neither (net)	81 16.9	14 18.9 Dd	8 13.3 e	4 6.6 Elegi	15 26.8 Ffh	4 9.3	6 20.0	3 11.5	17 17.5
Dissatisfied (net)	12 2.5	Ifi	Ifi	Ifi	2 3.6	3 7.0	2 6.7	1 3.8	4 4.1
Base for stats	478	74	60	61	56	43	30	26	97
Mean	6.1	6.2 Dde	6.4 Eefi	6.6 EFGHie fghi	5.8	6.0	5.9	6.0	6.1
Std dev	1.28	1.07	0.84	0.76	1.38	1.58	1.57	1.37	1.42
Std err	0.06	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.18	0.24	0.29	0.27	0.14

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDEFGHI)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/14/2 Page 6

Table 62-1

Q1/1b. Have you ever filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions made about your consumer directed support program? If so, how many?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	19	9	2	4	2	7	5	4	8	9	12	6		3	3	2	9	5	6	2	
Total answering	631	288	103	120	89	327	217	80	376	247	499	118	52	45	27	106	258	224	519	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	28	11	12	1	1	16	9	3	24	4	22	5	2	2	1	2	11	13	19	5	4
	4.4	3.8	11.7	0.8	1.1	4.9	4.1	3.8	6.4	1.6	4.4	4.2	3.8	4.4	3.7	1.9	4.3	5.8	3.7	8.3	8.5
		CDcde	DEde						Jj							r					
1	20	6	10	1	1	13	5	2	18	2	17	3		2	1	2	7	10	14	3	3
	76.9	60.0	83.3	100.0	100.0	86.7	62.5	66.7	78.3	66.7	81.0	75.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	63.6	90.9	77.8	75.0	75.0
		DEde									MNOmno	Mm	NOno			Qq					
2	3	2	1				3		2	1	3						3		3		
	11.5	20.0	8.3				37.5		8.7	33.3	14.3						27.3		16.7		
						Gg	h				lmno					q	r		tu		
3	3	2	1			2		1	3		1	1	1				1	1	1	1	1
	11.5	20.0	8.3			13.3		33.3	13.0		4.8	25.0	100.0				9.1	9.1	5.6	25.0	25.0
									j		Mm	Mm	NOno								
Base for stats	26	10	12	1	1	15	8	3	23	3	21	4	1	2	1	2	11	11	18	4	4
Mean	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.5	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.5
Std dev	0.68	0.80	0.60			0.68	0.48	0.94	0.70	0.47	0.53	0.87					0.66	0.57	0.56	0.87	0.87
Std err	0.13	0.25	0.17			0.18	0.17	0.54	0.15	0.27	0.11	0.43					0.20	0.17	0.13	0.43	0.43
No	603	277	91	119	88	311	208	77	352	243	477	113	50	43	26	104	247	211	500	55	43
	95.6	96.2	88.3	99.2	98.9	95.1	95.9	96.3	93.6	98.4	95.6	95.8	96.2	95.6	96.3	98.1	95.7	94.2	96.3	91.7	91.5
		CDcde	DEde						Jj							r					

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 124

Table 63-1

Q2. The appeal process was not intimidating or overwhelming

Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	28	11	12	1	1	16	9	3	24	4	22	5	2	2	1	2	11	13	19	5	4
No answer	2	1	1			2			2		2							2	1	1	
Total answering	26 100.0	10 100.0	11 100.0	1 100.0	1 100.0	14 100.0	9 100.0	3 100.0	22 100.0	4 100.0	20 100.0	5 100.0	2 100.0	2 100.0	1 100.0	2 100.0	11 100.0	11 100.0	18 100.0	4 100.0	4 100.0
5 Strongly agree	3 11.5	1 10.0	2 18.2			3 21.4 gh			3 13.6 j		2 10.0					1 50.0	1 9.1	1 9.1	3 16.7 tu		
4 Somewhat agree	7 26.9	2 20.0	3 27.3 de			2 14.3	4 44.4	1 33.3	5 22.7	2 50.0	4 20.0 NOno	3 60.0 o	1 50.0	2 100.0 Oo		1 50.0		6 54.5 Rr	7 38.9 TUtU		
3 Neither	3 11.5	1 10.0 Ee			1 100.0	2 14.3	1 11.1		3 13.6 j		3 15.0 lMNOlm						2 18.2	1 9.1	1 5.6	1 25.0	1 25.0
2 Somewhat disagree	8 30.8	5 50.0 DEde	2 18.2 Dd	1 100.0 Ee		5 35.7	2 22.2	1 33.3	7 31.8	1 25.0	8 40.0 LMNOlm no						4 36.4 Qq	2 18.2	5 27.8 Utu	3 75.0 Uu	
1 Strongly disagree	5 19.2	1 10.0	4 36.4 DEde			2 14.3	2 22.2	1 33.3	4 18.2	1 25.0	3 15.0 Ono	2 40.0 o	1 50.0		1 100.0 Oo		4 36.4 Qq	1 9.1	2 11.1 Uu		3 75.0 Uu
Agree (net)	10 38.5	3 30.0 de	5 45.5 DEde			5 35.7	4 44.4	1 33.3	8 36.4	2 50.0	6 30.0 NOno	3 60.0 o	1 50.0	2 100.0 Oo		2 100.0 QRqr	1 9.1 Rr	7 63.6	10 55.6 TUtU		
Disagree (net)	13 50.0	6 60.0 DEde	6 54.5 DEde	1 100.0 Ee		7 50.0	4 44.4	2 66.7	11 50.0	2 50.0	11 55.0 NOno	2 40.0 o	1 50.0		1 100.0 Oo		8 72.7 Qqr	3 27.3 Rr	7 38.9	3 75.0	3 75.0
Base for stats	26	10	11	1	1	14	9	3	22	4	20	5	2	2	1	2	11	11	18	4	4

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 125
Continued

Table 63-1
Q2. The appeal process was not intimidating or overwhelming
Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.0	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.3	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.5	4.0	1.0	4.5 Qq	2.1 Rr	3.4	3.2 Uu	2.3	1.5
Std dev	1.33	1.19	1.60			1.39	1.23	1.25	1.34	1.30	1.23	1.47	1.50			0.50	1.16	1.15	1.31	0.43	0.87
Std err	0.26	0.38	0.48			0.37	0.41	0.72	0.28	0.65	0.27	0.66	1.06			0.35	0.35	0.35	0.31	0.22	0.43

Table 64-1
Q3. The individuals involved in the appeal process understood and were well-trained on consumer directed support program
Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	28	11	12	1	1	16	9	3	24	4	22	5	2	2	1	2	11	13	19	5	4
No answer	2	1	1			2			2		2							2	1	1	
Total answering	26 100.0	10 100.0	11 100.0	1 100.0	1 100.0	14 100.0	9 100.0	3 100.0	22 100.0	4 100.0	20 100.0	5 100.0	2 100.0	2 100.0	1 100.0	2 100.0	11 100.0	11 100.0	18 100.0	4 100.0	4 100.0
5 Strongly agree	4 15.4	1 10.0	3 27.3 de			3 21.4 h	1 11.1		3 13.6	1 25.0	3 15.0 lmno					1 50.0	1 9.1	2 18.2	4 22.2 TUTu		
4 Somewhat agree	6 23.1	3 30.0 de	1 9.1			4 28.6	1 11.1	1 33.3	5 22.7	1 25.0	5 25.0 NOno	1 20.0	1 50.0				1 9.1	4 36.4	6 33.3 TUTu		
3 Neither	3 11.5		2 18.2				3 33.3 h		3 13.6 j		1 5.0 Nn	2 40.0 Nn		2 100.0 Oo				3 27.3	2 11.1	1 25.0	
2 Somewhat disagree	7 26.9	4 40.0 DEde	2 18.2 Ee		1 100.0 Ee	4 28.6 Hh	3 33.3 h		6 27.3	1 25.0	7 35.0 LMNOlm no						6 54.5 Rr		4 22.2	2 50.0	1 25.0
1 Strongly disagree	6 23.1	2 20.0 Dd	3 27.3 Dde	1 100.0 Ee		3 21.4	1 11.1 h	2 66.7	5 22.7	1 25.0	4 20.0 NOno	2 40.0 o	1 50.0		1 100.0 Oo	1 50.0	3 27.3	2 18.2	2 11.1 Uu	1 25.0	3 75.0
Agree (net)	10 38.5	4 40.0 DEde	4 36.4 DEde			7 50.0	2 22.2	1 33.3	8 36.4	2 50.0	8 40.0 NOno	1 20.0	1 50.0			1 50.0	2 18.2 r	6 54.5	10 55.6 TUTu		
Disagree (net)	13 50.0	6 60.0 DEde	5 45.5 DEde	1 100.0	1 100.0	7 50.0	4 44.4	2 66.7	11 50.0	2 50.0	11 55.0 NOno	2 40.0 o	1 50.0		1 100.0 Oo	1 50.0	9 81.8 Rr	2 18.2	6 33.3 Uu	3 75.0	4 100.0
Base for stats	26	10	11	1	1	14	9	3	22	4	20	5	2	2	1	2	11	11	18	4	4

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 127
Continued

Table 64-1
Q3. The individuals involved in the appeal process understood and were well-trained on consumer directed support program
Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	2.8	2.7	2.9	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	2.0	2.8	3.0	2.8	2.4	2.5	3.0	1.0	3.0	2.2 Rr	3.4	3.3 Utu	2.0	1.3
Std dev	1.41	1.35	1.56			1.51	1.13	1.41	1.38	1.58	1.40	1.20	1.50			2.00	1.19	1.30	1.33	0.71	0.43
Std err	0.28	0.43	0.47			0.40	0.38	0.82	0.29	0.79	0.31	0.54	1.06			1.41	0.36	0.39	0.31	0.35	0.22

Table 65-1

Q4. I was treated with respect throughout the appeal process

Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	*****ANY*****					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	SOME- NETHR/ WHAT NOT (S) (T) (U)		
											WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)				HIGH (S)	WHAT (T)	NOT (U)
Total sample	28	11	12	1	1	16	9	3	24	4	22	5	2	2	1	2	11	13	19	5	4
No answer	2	1	1			2			2		2							2	1	1	
Total answering	26	10	11	1	1	14	9	3	22	4	20	5	2	2	1	2	11	11	18	4	4
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	6	2	4			5	1		5	1	5					1	2	3	5		1
	23.1	20.0	36.4			35.7	11.1		22.7	25.0	25.0					50.0	18.2	27.3	27.8		25.0
			DEde			Hh					lMNOlm no								Tt		
4 Somewhat agree	10	5	3			3	6	1	9	1	6	4	1	2	1		4	6	8	1	1
	38.5	50.0	27.3			21.4	66.7	33.3	40.9	25.0	30.0	80.0	50.0	100.0	100.0	QRqr	36.4	54.5	44.4	25.0	25.0
		DEde	de			Gg					LNolno										
3 Neither	3		1		1	2	1		3		3						2	1	1	1	1
	11.5		9.1		100.0	14.3	11.1		13.6		15.0						18.2	9.1	5.6	25.0	25.0
		Ee	Ee	Ee					j		lmno										
2 Somewhat disagree	5	2	3			3	1	1	4	1	4	1	1				3		3	1	1
	19.2	20.0	27.3			21.4	11.1	33.3	18.2	25.0	20.0	20.0	50.0			q	27.3		16.7	25.0	25.0
			de								NOno						r				
1 Strongly disagree	2	1		1		1		1	1	1	2					1		1	1	1	
	7.7	10.0		100.0		7.1		33.3	4.5	25.0	10.0					50.0		9.1	5.6	25.0	
		Dd	Dd	Ee																	
Agree (net)	16	7	7			8	7	1	14	2	11	4	1	2	1	1	6	9	13	1	2
	61.5	70.0	63.6			57.1	77.8	33.3	63.6	50.0	55.0	80.0	50.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	54.5	81.8	72.2	25.0	50.0
		DEde	DEde								NOno								t		
Disagree (net)	7	3	3	1		4	1	2	5	2	6	1	1			1	3	1	4	2	1
	26.9	30.0	27.3	100.0		28.6	11.1	66.7	22.7	50.0	30.0	20.0	50.0			50.0	27.3	9.1	22.2	50.0	25.0
		Dde	Dde	Ee			h				NOno										
Base for stats	26	10	11	1	1	14	9	3	22	4	20	5	2	2	1	2	11	11	18	4	4

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 129

Continued

Table 65-1
Q4. I was treated with respect throughout the appeal process
Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

											ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		*****ANY*****											
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	3.5	3.5	3.7	1.0	3.0	3.6	3.8	2.3	3.6	3.0	3.4	3.6	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.9	3.7 t	2.5	3.5
Std dev	1.25	1.28	1.21			1.35	0.79	1.25	1.15	1.58	1.32	0.80	1.00			2.00	1.08	1.08	1.19	1.12	1.12
Std err	0.24	0.41	0.37			0.36	0.26	0.72	0.25	0.79	0.29	0.36	0.71			1.41	0.32	0.33	0.28	0.56	0.56

Table 66-1

Q5. The individuals involved with the appeal process understand the needs of people with developmental disabilities

Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	28	11	12	1	1	16	9	3	24	4	22	5	2	2	1	2	11	13	19	5	4
No answer	2	1	1			2			2		2							2	1	1	
Total answering	26	10	11	1	1	14	9	3	22	4	20	5	2	2	1	2	11	11	18	4	4
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	5	1	3			3	1	1	4	1	4					1	1	3	5		
	19.2	10.0	27.3			21.4	11.1	33.3	18.2	25.0	20.0					50.0	9.1	27.3	27.8		
			de								LMNOlm								TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	5	2	2			2	3		4	1	2	3	1	2			1	4	5		
	19.2	20.0	18.2			14.3	33.3		18.2	25.0	10.0	60.0	50.0	100.0		Rr	9.1	36.4	27.8		
							h				LNln	o		Oo					TUtu		
3 Neither	2		1	1		1		1	1	1	2							1	1	1	
	7.7		9.1	100.0		7.1		33.3	4.5	25.0	10.0							9.1	5.6	25.0	
		Dd	Dd	Ee																	
2 Somewhat disagree	4	2	2			3	1		3	1	4						2	1	2	2	
	15.4	20.0	18.2			21.4	11.1		13.6	25.0	20.0						18.2	9.1	11.1	50.0	
						h					LMNOlm									u	
1 Strongly disagree	10	5	3		1	5	4	1	10		8	2	1		1	1	7	2	5	1	4
	38.5	50.0	27.3		100.0	35.7	44.4	33.3	45.5		40.0	40.0	50.0		100.0	50.0	63.6	18.2	27.8	25.0	100.0
		DEde	Ede	Ee					Jj		NOno	o		Oo			Rr		Uu	Uu	
Agree (net)	10	3	5			5	4	1	8	2	6	3	1	2		1	2	7	10		
	38.5	30.0	45.5			35.7	44.4	33.3	36.4	50.0	30.0	60.0	50.0	100.0		50.0	18.2	63.6	55.6		
		de	DEde								NOno	o		Oo			Rr		TUtu		
Disagree (net)	14	7	5		1	8	5	1	13	1	12	2	1		1	1	9	3	7	3	4
	53.8	70.0	45.5		100.0	57.1	55.6	33.3	59.1	25.0	60.0	40.0	50.0		100.0	50.0	81.8	27.3	38.9	75.0	100.0
		Dde	DEde	Ee							NOno	o		Oo			Rr		Uu		
Base for stats	26	10	11	1	1	14	9	3	22	4	20	5	2	2	1	2	11	11	18	4	4

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 131

Continued

Table 66-1
Q5. The individuals involved with the appeal process understand the needs of people with developmental disabilities
Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	2.7	2.2	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.6	2.6	3.0	2.5	3.5	2.5	2.8	2.5	4.0	1.0	3.0	1.8	3.5	3.2	2.0	1.0
																	Rr				
Std dev	1.59	1.47	1.60			1.59	1.57	1.63	1.62	1.12	1.57	1.47	1.50			2.00	1.34	1.44	1.61	0.71	
Std err	0.31	0.46	0.48			0.42	0.52	0.94	0.34	0.56	0.35	0.66	1.06			1.41	0.40	0.43	0.38	0.35	

Table 67-1

Q6. Overall, how satisfied are you with the appeals process?

Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	28	11	12	1	1	16	9	3	24	4	22	5	2	2	1	2	11	13	19	5	4
No answer	2	1	1			2			2		2							2	1	1	
Total answering	26 100.0	10 100.0	11 100.0	1 100.0	1 100.0	14 100.0	9 100.0	3 100.0	22 100.0	4 100.0	20 100.0	5 100.0	2 100.0	2 100.0	1 100.0	2 100.0	11 100.0	11 100.0	18 100.0	4 100.0	4 100.0
7 Very satisfied	5 19.2	2 20.0	3 27.3 de			4 28.6 Hh	1 11.1		4 18.2	1 25.0	4 20.0 LMNOlm no					1 50.0	1 9.1	3 27.3	5 27.8 TUt		
6	1 3.8						1 11.1			1 25.0		1 20.0	1 50.0					1 9.1	1 5.6		
5	3 11.5	2 20.0				2 14.3		1 33.3	3 13.6 j		3 15.0 lmno						1 9.1	2 18.2	3 16.7 tu		
4 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	2 7.7		2 18.2				2 22.2		2 9.1			2 40.0 Nln		2 100.0 Nn Oo				2 18.2	2 11.1		
3	4 15.4	2 20.0	1 9.1			3 21.4 h	1 11.1		4 18.2 Jj		4 20.0 LMNOlm no						2 18.2	1 9.1	2 11.1	2 50.0 u	
2	6 23.1	2 20.0 DEde	2 18.2 DEde	1 100.0	1 100.0	2 14.3	3 33.3	1 33.3	4 18.2	2 50.0	6 30.0 LMNOlm no						5 45.5 Qq Rr	1 9.1	4 22.2	1 25.0	1 25.0
1 Very dissatisfied	5 19.2	2 20.0	3 27.3 de			3 21.4	1 11.1	1 33.3	5 22.7 Jj		3 15.0 Ono	2 40.0 o	1 50.0		1 100.0 Oo	1 50.0	2 18.2	1 9.1	1 5.6 Uu	1 25.0	3 75.0

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 133
Continued

Table 67-1
Q6. Overall, how satisfied are you with the appeals process?

Base is respondents who have filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions

											ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
																MILD	MOD SEVERE		HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	
Satisfied (net)	6	2	3			4	2		4	2	4	1	1			1	1	4	6		
	23.1	20.0	27.3			28.6	22.2		18.2	50.0	20.0	20.0	50.0			50.0	9.1	36.4	33.3		
			de			Hh					NOnc								TUtu		
Neither (net)	9	4	3			5	3	1	9		7	2		2			3	5	7	2	
	34.6	40.0	27.3			35.7	33.3	33.3	40.9		35.0	40.0		100.0			27.3	45.5	38.9	50.0	
		DEde	de						Jj		MNOmno	Nn	Nn	Oo		Rqr			Uu	u	
Dissatisfied (net)	11	4	5	1	1	5	4	2	9	2	9	2	1		1	1	7	2	5	2	
	42.3	40.0	45.5	100.0	100.0	35.7	44.4	66.7	40.9	50.0	45.0	40.0	50.0		100.0	50.0	63.6	18.2	27.8	50.0	
		DEde	DEde								NOnc	o		Oo			Rr		Uu	u	
Base for stats	26	10	11	1	1	14	9	3	22	4	20	5	2	2	1	2	11	11	18	4	
Mean	3.6	3.6	3.5	2.0	2.0	3.9	3.4	2.7	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.2	3.5	4.0	1.0	4.0	2.7	4.6	4.4	2.3	
																	Rr		Utu	u	
Std dev	2.15	2.15	2.35			2.33	1.89	1.70	2.10	2.28	2.11	1.94	2.50			3.00	1.71	1.97	2.06	0.83	
Std err	0.42	0.68	0.71			0.62	0.63	0.98	0.45	1.14	0.47	0.87	1.77			2.12	0.52	0.59	0.49	0.41	

Table 68-1
Q1. Parent to parent training sessions
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY *****ANY*****					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	40	14	8	8	8	20	12	6	19	20	29	10	4	3	3	6	15	12	25	2	1
Total answering	610	283	97	116	83	314	210	78	365	236	482	114	48	45	27	102	252	217	500	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Very important	169	75	31	29	23	76	66	25	120	46	121	42	16	18	8	26	69	63	144	8	14
	27.7	26.5	32.0	25.0	27.7	24.2	31.4	32.1	32.9	19.5	25.1	36.8	33.3	40.0	29.6	25.5	27.4	29.0	28.8	13.3	30.4
						g			Jj		Lln								Tt	Uu	
4 Somewhat important	243	109	44	48	30	128	83	30	143	97	190	50	23	17	13	36	98	93	207	22	13
	39.8	38.5	45.4	41.4	36.1	40.8	39.5	38.5	39.2	41.1	39.4	43.9	47.9	37.8	48.1	35.3	38.9	42.9	41.4	36.7	28.3
																			u		
3 Neither	141	75	16	24	22	81	42	15	74	64	120	18	9	8	4	30	58	44	107	19	15
	23.1	26.5	16.5	20.7	26.5	25.8	20.0	19.2	20.3	27.1	24.9	15.8	18.8	17.8	14.8	29.4	23.0	20.3	21.4	31.7	32.6
		Cc							j		Ll					r					
2 Somewhat unimportant	42	20	5	10	4	23	13	5	25	17	37	3		1	2	4	20	15	32	8	2
	6.9	7.1	5.2	8.6	4.8	7.3	6.2	6.4	6.8	7.2	7.7	2.6		2.2	7.4	3.9	7.9	6.9	6.4	13.3	4.3
											LMNlmn	m							u		
1 Very unimportant	15	4	1	5	4	6	6	3	3	12	14	1		1		6	7	2	10	3	2
	2.5	1.4	1.0	4.3	4.8	1.9	2.9	3.8	0.8	5.1	2.9	0.9		2.2		5.9	2.8	0.9	2.0	5.0	4.3
									Jj		MOlmo					Rr					
Important (net)	412	184	75	77	53	204	149	55	263	143	311	92	39	35	21	62	167	156	351	30	27
	67.5	65.0	77.3	66.4	63.9	65.0	71.0	70.5	72.1	60.6	64.5	80.7	81.3	77.8	77.8	60.8	66.3	71.9	70.2	50.0	58.7
		Cc	Ede						Jj		LMNlmn					r			Tt		
Unimportant (net)	57	24	6	15	8	29	19	8	28	29	51	4		2	2	10	27	17	42	11	4
	9.3	8.5	6.2	12.9	9.6	9.2	9.0	10.3	7.7	12.3	10.6	3.5		4.4	7.4	9.8	10.7	7.8	8.4	18.3	8.7
			d						j		LMlmn	Mm							t		
Base for stats	610	283	97	116	83	314	210	78	365	236	482	114	48	45	27	102	252	217	500	60	46
Mean	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.4	3.8
		c	Dde						Jj		LMNlmn					r			Tt	u	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 135
Continued

Table 68-1
Q1. Parent to parent training sessions
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.99	0.95	0.88	1.06	1.06	0.96	1.00	1.05	0.94	1.04	1.01	0.83	0.71	0.92	0.86	1.07	1.02	0.92	0.96	1.04	1.07
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.14	0.17	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.13	0.16

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 136

Table 69-1
Q2. Training on finding and hiring staff
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME-WHAT (T)	NEITHER/NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	34	10	9	7	5	19	9	4	16	17	22	11	4	3	4	7	10	11	19	2	1
Total answering	616	287	96	117	86	315	213	80	368	239	489	113	48	45	26	101	257	218	506	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Very important	172	84	25	30	26	82	65	23	113	56	127	40	21	12	9	31	65	64	152	12	6
	27.9	29.3	26.0	25.6	30.2	26.0	30.5	28.8	30.7 Jj	23.4	26.0 Mlm	35.4	43.8 n	26.7	34.6	30.7	25.3	29.4	30.0 Utu	20.0	13.0
4 Somewhat important	241	109	45	43	30	118	89	31	146	90	186	51	18	22	13	38	93	93	197	24	19
	39.1	38.0	46.9 e	36.8	34.9	37.5	41.8	38.8	39.7	37.7	38.0	45.1	37.5	48.9	50.0	37.6	36.2	42.7	38.9	40.0	41.3
3 Neither	150	69	17	35	24	86	39	23	80	69	127	18	9	8	3	20	78	43	118	16	15
	24.4	24.0	17.7 Dd	29.9	27.9	27.3 Gg	18.3 h	28.8	21.7 j	28.9	26.0 LOLo	15.9	18.8	17.8	11.5	19.8 Qq	30.4 Rr	19.7	23.3	26.7	32.6
2 Somewhat unimportant	43	20	8	6	5	23	17	3	23	20	40	3		2	1	10	16	15	30	7	6
	7.0	7.0	8.3	5.1	5.8	7.3	8.0	3.8	6.3	8.4	8.2 LMlm	2.7 m		4.4	3.8	9.9	6.2	6.9	5.9	11.7	13.0
1 Very unimportant	10	5	1	3	1	6	3		6	4	9	1		1		2	5	3	9	1	
	1.6	1.7	1.0	2.6	1.2	1.9 Hh	1.4 h		1.6	1.7	1.8 MOmo	0.9		2.2		2.0	1.9	1.4	1.8 Uu	1.7	
Important (net)	413	193	70	73	56	200	154	54	259	146	313	91	39	34	22	69	158	157	349	36	25
	67.0	67.2	72.9	62.4	65.1	63.5 Gg	72.3	67.5	70.4 Jj	61.1	64.0 LMOlmo	80.5	81.3	75.6	84.6	68.3	61.5 Rr	72.0	69.0 u	60.0	54.3
Unimportant (net)	53	25	9	9	6	29	20	3	29	24	49	4		3	1	12	21	18	39	8	6
	8.6	8.7	9.4	7.7	7.0	9.2 Hh	9.4 h	3.8	7.9	10.0	10.0 LMlm	3.5 Mm		6.7 n	3.8	11.9	8.2	8.3	7.7	13.3	13.0
Base for stats	616	287	96	117	86	315	213	80	368	239	489	113	48	45	26	101	257	218	506	60	46

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 137
Continued

Table 69-1
Q2. Training on finding and hiring staff
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.8 LMlmo	4.1	4.3 n	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.8 r	3.9	3.9	3.7 Utu	3.5
Std dev	0.96	0.97	0.92	0.97	0.95	0.97	0.96	0.85	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.83	0.75	0.90	0.77	1.03	0.96	0.94	0.96	0.98	0.88
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.13	0.13

Table 70-1
Q3. Training on staff management (e.g. timesheets, performance, etc.)

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	38	11	10	8	5	19	11	5	21	16	25	12	3	5	4	7	13	13	22	2	2
Total answering	612	286	95	116	86	315	211	79	363	240	486	112	49	43	26	101	254	216	503	60	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Very important	130	64	16	24	20	54	51	24	76	52	84	42	25	8	11	23	49	51	113	9	5
	21.2	22.4	16.8	20.7	23.3	17.1 Hgh	24.2	30.4	20.9	21.7	17.3 LMOlmo	37.5 Nn	51.0 Nn	18.6 Oo	42.3	22.8	19.3	23.6	22.5 Uu	15.0	11.1
4 Somewhat important	219	100	36	46	25	111	79	26	126	87	178	37	15	15	8	35	91	77	187	18	13
	35.8	35.0	37.9	39.7	29.1	35.2	37.4	32.9	34.7	36.3	36.6	33.0	30.6	34.9	30.8	34.7	35.8	35.6	37.2	30.0	28.9
3 Neither	184	81	27	37	35	106	53	23	113	70	155	25	8	16	4	32	79	62	143	21	20
	30.1	28.3 Ee	28.4 e	31.9	40.7	33.7 Gg	25.1	29.1	31.1	29.2	31.9 LMOlmo	22.3 n	16.3 Nn	37.2 Oo	15.4	31.7	31.1	28.7	28.4 Uu	35.0	44.4
2 Somewhat unimportant	63	34	11	6	5	35	21	6	37	26	56	6	1	3	2	9	24	23	47	10	6
	10.3	11.9 Dde	11.6 d	5.2	5.8	11.1	10.0	7.6	10.2	10.8	11.5 LMlm	5.4	2.0	7.0	7.7	8.9	9.4	10.6	9.3	16.7	13.3
1 Very unimportant	16	7	5	3	1	9	7		11	5	13	2		1	1	2	11	3	13	2	1
	2.6	2.4	5.3	2.6	1.2	2.9 Hh	3.3 Hh		3.0	2.1	2.7 Mm	1.8		2.3	3.8	2.0	4.3 r	1.4	2.6	3.3	2.2
Important (net)	349	164	52	70	45	165	130	50	202	139	262	79	40	23	19	58	140	128	300	27	18
	57.0	57.3	54.7	60.3	52.3	52.4 Ggh	61.6	63.3	55.6	57.9	53.9 LMOlmo	70.5 n	81.6 Nn	53.5 o	73.1	57.4	55.1	59.3	59.6 TUtu	45.0	40.0
Unimportant (net)	79	41	16	9	6	44	28	6	48	31	69	8	1	4	3	11	35	26	60	12	7
	12.9	14.3 DEde	16.8 DEde	7.8	7.0	14.0 h	13.3	7.6	13.2	12.9	14.2 LMlm	7.1	2.0	9.3	11.5	10.9	13.8	12.0	11.9	20.0	15.6
Base for stats	612	286	95	116	86	315	211	79	363	240	486	112	49	43	26	101	254	216	503	60	45
Mean	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5 Hgh	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.5 LMOlmo	4.0 Nnn	4.3 Nn	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7 TUtu	3.4	3.3

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 139
Continued

Table 70-1
Q3. Training on staff management (e.g. timesheets, performance, etc.)
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.01	1.03	1.06	0.94	0.93	0.99	1.05	0.94	1.02	1.00	0.99	0.99	0.81	0.94	1.11	0.99	1.04	0.99	1.01	1.03	0.92
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.22	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.14

Table 71-1

Q4. Parent mentor program (benefit from experienced parents)

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	*****ANY*****					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
											WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)						
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	37	10	8	9	7	19	8	8	17	19	24	12	6	3	3	7	15	10	23	1	1
Total answering	613	287	97	115	84	315	214	76	367	237	487	112	46	45	27	101	252	219	502	61	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Very important	198	92	36	30	30	101	73	23	136	59	149	43	20	13	11	31	77	75	169	14	12
	32.3	32.1	37.1	26.1	35.7	32.1	34.1	30.3	37.1	24.9	30.6	38.4	43.5	28.9	40.7	30.7	30.6	34.2	33.7	23.0	26.1
			d						Jj		m								t		
4 Somewhat important	235	116	31	50	31	117	83	32	140	91	193	37	15	15	9	32	102	84	194	22	19
	38.3	40.4	32.0	43.5	36.9	37.1	38.8	42.1	38.1	38.4	39.6	33.0	32.6	33.3	33.3	31.7	40.5	38.4	38.6	36.1	41.3
			d																		
3 Neither	137	59	22	30	17	72	45	17	72	63	108	27	11	13	6	29	55	47	110	16	10
	22.3	20.6	22.7	26.1	20.2	22.9	21.0	22.4	19.6	26.6	22.2	24.1	23.9	28.9	22.2	28.7	21.8	21.5	21.9	26.2	21.7
									j												
2 Somewhat unimportant	33	18	6	2	4	20	9	3	13	20	29	3		2	1	8	13	9	23	6	4
	5.4	6.3	6.2	1.7	4.8	6.3	4.2	3.9	3.5	8.4	6.0	2.7		4.4	3.7	7.9	5.2	4.1	4.6	9.8	8.7
		Dd							Jj		Mlm	m									
1 Very unimportant	10	2	2	3	2	5	4	1	6	4	8	2		2		1	5	4	6	3	1
	1.6	0.7	2.1	2.6	2.4	1.6	1.9	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.8		4.4		1.0	2.0	1.8	1.2	4.9	2.2
											MOmo										
Important (net)	433	208	67	80	61	218	156	55	276	150	342	80	35	28	20	63	179	159	363	36	31
	70.6	72.5	69.1	69.6	72.6	69.2	72.9	72.4	75.2	63.3	70.2	71.4	76.1	62.2	74.1	62.4	71.0	72.6	72.3	59.0	67.4
									Jj							r			Tt		
Unimportant (net)	43	20	8	5	6	25	13	4	19	24	37	5		4	1	9	18	13	29	9	5
	7.0	7.0	8.2	4.3	7.1	7.9	6.1	5.3	5.2	10.1	7.6	4.5		8.9	3.7	8.9	7.1	5.9	5.8	14.8	10.9
									Jj		Mm	Mm	Nn						t		
Base for stats	613	287	97	115	84	315	214	76	367	237	487	112	46	45	27	101	252	219	502	61	46
Mean	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.8
									Jj		m		Nn						Tt		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 141

Continued

Table 71-1
Q4. Parent mentor program (benefit from experienced parents)
Base is all respondents

											ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER							
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Std dev	0.95	0.92	1.01	0.90	0.98	0.97	0.94	0.90	0.92	0.97	0.95	0.94	0.80	1.05	0.87	0.99	0.95	0.94	0.92	1.09	0.99
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.12	0.16	0.17	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.14	0.15

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 142

Table 72-1
Q5. Math/Financial/Accounting training

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	36	12	7	7	5	18	10	6	18	17	22	13	5	5	3	7	14	10	19	2	3
Total answering	614	285	98	117	86	316	212	78	366	239	489	111	47	43	27	101	253	219	506	60	44
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Very important	105	54	11	21	15	42	43	20	59	45	67	34	18	8	8	18	43	40	89	8	6
	17.1	18.9	11.2	17.9	17.4	13.3	20.3	25.6	16.1	18.8	13.7	30.6	38.3	18.6	29.6	17.8	17.0	18.3	17.6	13.3	13.6
		c				GHgh					LMlmo		Nn								
4 Somewhat important	149	62	30	30	19	62	60	24	82	64	115	33	12	16	6	21	62	52	131	12	6
	24.3	21.8	30.6	25.6	22.1	19.6	28.3	30.8	22.4	26.8	23.5	29.7	25.5	37.2	22.2	20.8	24.5	23.7	25.9	20.0	13.6
		c				Ggh					n								Uu		
3 Neither	239	109	35	46	38	138	73	24	143	92	202	33	16	14	8	44	99	85	193	23	21
	38.9	38.2	35.7	39.3	44.2	43.7	34.4	30.8	39.1	38.5	41.3	29.7	34.0	32.6	29.6	43.6	39.1	38.8	38.1	38.3	47.7
						GHgh					Ll										
2 Somewhat unimportant	73	39	10	13	8	45	22	6	50	23	64	6	1	3	2	13	28	25	57	9	7
	11.9	13.7	10.2	11.1	9.3	14.2	10.4	7.7	13.7	9.6	13.1	5.4	2.1	7.0	7.4	12.9	11.1	11.4	11.3	15.0	15.9
						h					LMlm										
1 Very unimportant	48	21	12	7	6	29	14	4	32	15	41	5		2	3	5	21	17	36	8	4
	7.8	7.4	12.2	6.0	7.0	9.2	6.6	5.1	8.7	6.3	8.4	4.5		4.7	11.1	5.0	8.3	7.8	7.1	13.3	9.1
											Mlm	Mm	o								
Important (net)	254	116	41	51	34	104	103	44	141	109	182	67	30	24	14	39	105	92	220	20	12
	41.4	40.7	41.8	43.6	39.5	32.9	48.6	56.4	38.5	45.6	37.2	60.4	63.8	55.8	51.9	38.6	41.5	42.0	43.5	33.3	27.3
						GHgh			j		LMNlmn								Uu		
Unimportant (net)	121	60	22	20	14	74	36	10	82	38	105	11	1	5	5	18	49	42	93	17	11
	19.7	21.1	22.4	17.1	16.3	23.4	17.0	12.8	22.4	15.9	21.5	9.9	2.1	11.6	18.5	17.8	19.4	19.2	18.4	28.3	25.0
						Hgh			Jj		LMlmn	Mm	Ono								
Base for stats	614	285	98	117	86	316	212	78	366	239	489	111	47	43	27	101	253	219	506	60	44
Mean	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.5	3.6	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.8	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.1	3.1
						GHgh			Jj		LMNlmn		Nno						Tt		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 143
Continued

Table 72-1
Q5. Math/Financial/Accounting training
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	
Std dev	1.12	1.14	1.15	1.08	1.08	1.10	1.12	1.10	1.14	1.09	1.10	1.08	0.90	1.02	1.29	1.06	1.13	1.13	1.11	1.19	1.10
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.25	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.15	0.17

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 144

Table 73-1
Q6. Assistance finding diagnosis-specific equipment catalogs

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	*****ANY*****					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
											WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)						
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	38	12	9	9	5	20	10	6	17	20	24	12	5	4	3	10	12	10	22	3	1
Total answering	612	285	96	115	86	314	212	78	367	236	487	112	47	44	27	98	255	219	503	59	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Very important	162	82	30	22	21	89	52	21	118	42	111	46	25	12	12	21	66	65	134	13	12
	26.5	28.8	31.3	19.1	24.4	28.3	24.5	26.9	32.2	17.8	22.8	41.1	53.2	27.3	44.4	21.4	25.9	29.7	26.6	22.0	26.1
		Dd	Dd						Jj		LMOLmo	n	Nn								
4 Somewhat important	190	82	32	44	25	99	73	16	124	64	153	34	10	17	7	22	72	84	157	21	12
	31.0	28.8	33.3	38.3	29.1	31.5	34.4	20.5	33.8	27.1	31.4	30.4	21.3	38.6	25.9	22.4	28.2	38.4	31.2	35.6	26.1
		d				Hh	Hh		j				n			Rr	Rr				
3 Neither	186	82	21	41	33	85	66	30	85	97	158	26	10	12	7	42	89	44	157	11	17
	30.4	28.8	21.9	35.7	38.4	27.1	31.1	38.5	23.2	41.1	32.4	23.2	21.3	27.3	25.9	42.9	34.9	20.1	31.2	18.6	37.0
			DEde			h			Jj		Llm					Rr	Rr		Tt	Uu	
2 Somewhat unimportant	55	27	10	6	7	26	19	10	30	24	47	6	2	3	1	11	19	20	40	10	5
	9.0	9.5	10.4	5.2	8.1	8.3	9.0	12.8	8.2	10.2	9.7	5.4	4.3	6.8	3.7	11.2	7.5	9.1	8.0	16.9	10.9
											lm								t		
1 Very unimportant	19	12	3	2		15	2	1	10	9	18					2	9	6	15	4	
	3.1	4.2	3.1	1.7		4.8	0.9	1.3	2.7	3.8	3.7					2.0	3.5	2.7	3.0	6.8	
		Ee	e			GHgh					LMNOlm	no							Uu	Uu	
Important (net)	352	164	62	66	46	188	125	37	242	106	264	80	35	29	19	43	138	149	291	34	24
	57.5	57.5	64.6	57.4	53.5	59.9	59.0	47.4	65.9	44.9	54.2	71.4	74.5	65.9	70.4	43.9	54.1	68.0	57.9	57.6	52.2
						Hh	h		Jj		LMlmo					Rqr	Rr				
Unimportant (net)	74	39	13	8	7	41	21	11	40	33	65	6	2	3	1	13	28	26	55	14	5
	12.1	13.7	13.5	7.0	8.1	13.1	9.9	14.1	10.9	14.0	13.3	5.4	4.3	6.8	3.7	13.3	11.0	11.9	10.9	23.7	10.9
		Dd									LMOlmo								Tt	u	
Base for stats	612	285	96	115	86	314	212	78	367	236	487	112	47	44	27	98	255	219	503	59	46

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 145

Continued

Table 73-1
Q6. Assistance finding diagnosis-specific equipment catalogs

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.8 Jj	3.4	3.6 LMOlmo	4.1	4.2 n	3.9	4.1	3.5 Rr	3.7 r	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.7
Std dev	1.05	1.11	1.09	0.90	0.93	1.11	0.96	1.06	1.05	1.02	1.05	0.92	0.93	0.89	0.92	1.01	1.05	1.04	1.04	1.20	0.98
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.14	0.13	0.18	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.16	0.14

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 146

Table 74-1

Q7. Support groups with parents of children that are of a similar age and disability

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	35	10	9	8	6	18	9	6	15	18	22	10	4	3	3	7	13	10	18	4	1
Total answering	615	287	96	116	85	316	213	78	369	238	489	114	48	45	27	101	254	219	507	58	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Very important	185	89	27	28	27	93	68	22	134	48	132	49	18	17	16	32	78	65	161	11	11
	30.1	31.0	28.1	24.1	31.8	29.4	31.9	28.2	36.3	20.2	27.0	43.0	37.5	37.8	59.3	31.7	30.7	29.7	31.8	19.0	23.9
									Jj		LOLo		o	o					Tt		
4 Somewhat important	223	102	41	45	26	117	72	31	138	81	180	40	21	14	6	36	88	81	182	22	19
	36.3	35.5	42.7	38.8	30.6	37.0	33.8	39.7	37.4	34.0	36.8	35.1	43.8	31.1	22.2	35.6	34.6	37.0	35.9	37.9	41.3
			e								o		Oo								
3 Neither	154	72	16	34	28	76	59	17	71	82	132	20	9	10	4	24	65	56	123	16	13
	25.0	25.1	16.7	29.3	32.9	24.1	27.7	21.8	19.2	34.5	27.0	17.5	18.8	22.2	14.8	23.8	25.6	25.6	24.3	27.6	28.3
		c	DEde						Jj		Llo										
2 Somewhat unimportant	44	20	9	8	4	24	13	7	21	23	37	4		3	1	7	18	15	37	4	3
	7.2	7.0	9.4	6.9	4.7	7.6	6.1	9.0	5.7	9.7	7.6	3.5		6.7	3.7	6.9	7.1	6.8	7.3	6.9	6.5
									j		Mlm	Mm	n								
1 Very unimportant	9	4	3	1		6	1	1	5	4	8	1		1		2	5	2	4	5	
	1.5	1.4	3.1	0.9		1.9	0.5	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.6	0.9		2.2		2.0	2.0	0.9	0.8	8.6	
		Ee	e								MOmo								TUtu	Uu	
Important (net)	408	191	68	73	53	210	140	53	272	129	312	89	39	31	22	68	166	146	343	33	30
	66.3	66.6	70.8	62.9	62.4	66.5	65.7	67.9	73.7	54.2	63.8	78.1	81.3	68.9	81.5	67.3	65.4	66.7	67.7	56.9	65.2
									Jj		LMOlmo										
Unimportant (net)	53	24	12	9	4	30	14	8	26	27	45	5		4	1	9	23	17	41	9	3
	8.6	8.4	12.5	7.8	4.7	9.5	6.6	10.3	7.0	11.3	9.2	4.4		8.9	3.7	8.9	9.1	7.8	8.1	15.5	6.5
			e						j		LMlm	Mm	Nn								
Base for stats	615	287	96	116	85	316	213	78	369	238	489	114	48	45	27	101	254	219	507	58	46
Mean	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.6	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.4	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.5	3.8
									Jj		LMOlmo			o					Tt		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
 Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
 Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 147
 Continued

Table 74-1
Q7. Support groups with parents of children that are of a similar age and disability
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)											
Std dev	0.97	0.97	1.04	0.92	0.91	0.99	0.93	0.98	0.95	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.73	1.03	0.87	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.96	1.13	0.87
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.15	0.17	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.15	0.13

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 148

Table 75-1
Q8. Support for individuals/parents of different cultures and languages

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	37	11	8	10	6	19	10	6	17	19	24	11	5	3	3	6	13	13	22	2	1
Total answering	613	286	97	114	85	315	212	78	367	237	487	113	47	45	27	102	254	216	503	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Very important	112	59	16	14	13	50	43	18	74	36	65	44	18	16	11	22	45	40	99	5	5
	18.3	20.6	16.5	12.3	15.3	15.9	20.3	23.1	20.2	15.2	13.3	38.9	38.3	35.6	40.7	21.6	17.7	18.5	19.7	8.3	10.9
		Dd									LMNOlm	no							Ttu		
4 Somewhat important	112	51	23	13	17	52	41	16	71	39	81	29	11	13	6	17	44	43	97	9	6
	18.3	17.8	23.7	11.4	20.0	16.5	19.3	20.5	19.3	16.5	16.6	25.7	23.4	28.9	22.2	16.7	17.3	19.9	19.3	15.0	13.0
		d	bd								Lln										
3 Neither	274	122	38	66	43	147	91	33	160	110	238	34	17	12	9	48	110	96	221	28	24
	44.7	42.7	39.2	57.9	50.6	46.7	42.9	42.3	43.6	46.4	48.9	30.1	36.2	26.7	33.3	47.1	43.3	44.4	43.9	46.7	52.2
		Dd	Dd								LNlmno										
2 Somewhat unimportant	60	32	8	12	5	34	18	8	29	30	53	4	1	2	1	9	29	18	49	6	5
	9.8	11.2	8.2	10.5	5.9	10.8	8.5	10.3	7.9	12.7	10.9	3.5	2.1	4.4	3.7	8.8	11.4	8.3	9.7	10.0	10.9
		e							j		LMlmno										
1 Very unimportant	55	22	12	9	7	32	19	3	33	22	50	2		2		6	26	19	37	12	6
	9.0	7.7	12.4	7.9	8.2	10.2	9.0	3.8	9.0	9.3	10.3	1.8		4.4		5.9	10.2	8.8	7.4	20.0	13.0
						Hh	h				LMOlmn	o							Tt		
Important (net)	224	110	39	27	30	102	84	34	145	75	146	73	29	29	17	39	89	83	196	14	11
	36.5	38.5	40.2	23.7	35.3	32.4	39.6	43.6	39.5	31.6	30.0	64.6	61.7	64.4	63.0	38.2	35.0	38.4	39.0	23.3	23.9
		Dd	Dd	e		gh			Jj		LMNOlm	no							TUtu		
Unimportant (net)	115	54	20	21	12	66	37	11	62	52	103	6	1	4	1	15	55	37	86	18	11
	18.8	18.9	20.6	18.4	14.1	21.0	17.5	14.1	16.9	21.9	21.1	5.3	2.1	8.9	3.7	14.7	21.7	17.1	17.1	30.0	23.9
											LMNOlm	no							Tt		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 149
Continued

Table 75-1
Q8. Support for individuals/parents of different cultures and languages
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Base for stats	613	286	97	114	85	315	212	78	367	237	487	113	47	45	27	102	254	216	503	60	46
Mean	3.3	3.3 d	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.2 Hh	3.3	3.5	3.3 j	3.2	3.1 LMNOLm no	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.3 TUTu	2.8	3.0
Std dev	1.14	1.15	1.19	1.01	1.06	1.13	1.16	1.07	1.15	1.12	1.10	0.99	0.91	1.09	0.94	1.10	1.17	1.13	1.12	1.16	1.09
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.15	0.16

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 150

Table 76-1
Q9. Training around diagnosis, treatment tools and methods

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	34	11	8	7	6	19	8	4	16	17	21	12	5	3	4	6	13	10	19	2	1
Total answering	616	286	97	117	85	315	214	80	368	239	490	112	47	45	26	102	254	219	506	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Very important	168	85	25	27	23	83	60	25	127	39	114	49	21	18	12	27	66	67	146	8	12
	27.3	29.7	25.8	23.1	27.1	26.3	28.0	31.3	34.5	16.3	23.3	43.8	44.7	40.0	46.2	26.5	26.0	30.6	28.9	13.3	26.1
									Jj		LMNOlm								Tt		
											no										
4 Somewhat important	192	80	35	38	29	103	66	19	123	66	160	30	13	9	9	27	83	63	158	23	11
	31.2	28.0	36.1	32.5	34.1	32.7	30.8	23.8	33.4	27.6	32.7	26.8	27.7	20.0	34.6	26.5	32.7	28.8	31.2	38.3	23.9
											Nn										
3 Neither	177	80	19	44	26	87	62	26	80	94	150	25	11	14	3	38	66	62	144	15	16
	28.7	28.0	19.6	37.6	30.6	27.6	29.0	32.5	21.7	39.3	30.6	22.3	23.4	31.1	11.5	37.3	26.0	28.3	28.5	25.0	34.8
		cd	Dde						Jj		Olo			Oo		Qq					
2 Somewhat unimportant	61	32	12	6	7	29	23	9	30	30	49	8	2	4	2	8	29	22	44	11	6
	9.9	11.2	12.4	5.1	8.2	9.2	10.7	11.3	8.2	12.6	10.0	7.1	4.3	8.9	7.7	7.8	11.4	10.0	8.7	18.3	13.0
		Dd	d						j		m								t		
1 Very unimportant	18	9	6	2		13	3	1	8	10	17					2	10	5	14	3	1
	2.9	3.1	6.2	1.7		4.1	1.4	1.3	2.2	4.2	3.5					2.0	3.9	2.3	2.8	5.0	2.2
		Ee	Ee			Ggh					LMNOlm										
											no										
Important (net)	360	165	60	65	52	186	126	44	250	105	274	79	34	27	21	54	149	130	304	31	23
	58.4	57.7	61.9	55.6	61.2	59.0	58.9	55.0	67.9	43.9	55.9	70.5	72.3	60.0	80.8	52.9	58.7	59.4	60.1	51.7	50.0
									Jj		LMOImo			o							
Unimportant (net)	79	41	18	8	7	42	26	10	38	40	66	8	2	4	2	10	39	27	58	14	7
	12.8	14.3	18.6	6.8	8.2	13.3	12.1	12.5	10.3	16.7	13.5	7.1	4.3	8.9	7.7	9.8	15.4	12.3	11.5	23.3	15.2
		Dde	DEde						Jj		LMIm								Tt		
Base for stats	616	286	97	117	85	315	214	80	368	239	490	112	47	45	26	102	254	219	506	60	46

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 151
Continued

Table 76-1
Q9. Training around diagnosis, treatment tools and methods

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.9 Jj	3.4	3.6 LM0lmn o	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7 Tt	3.4	3.6
Std dev	1.06	1.10	1.17	0.94	0.93	1.08	1.03	1.06	1.04	1.03	1.05	0.97	0.91	1.03	0.92	1.01	1.10	1.07	1.05	1.08	1.07
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.18	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.14	0.16

Table 77-1

Q1. Given all the considerations, how satisfied are you with your consumer directed support program?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	16	8	2	3	2	7	4	3	6	9	11	4	1	2	1	2	6	6			
Total answering	634	289	103	121	89	327	218	81	378	247	500	120	51	46	29	106	261	223	525	62	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
7 Very satisfied	322	143	44	78	46	153	115	48	190	129	249	65	33	17	20	53	131	120	322		
	50.8	49.5	42.7	64.5	51.7	46.8	52.8	59.3	50.3	52.2	49.8	54.2	64.7	37.0	69.0	50.0	50.2	53.8	61.3		
		Dd	Dd	e		Hh					MOmno	Nn	Nn	Oo					TUtu		
6	203	96	36	29	28	114	65	22	118	82	163	38	12	21	6	35	82	69	203		
	32.0	33.2	35.0	24.0	31.5	34.9	29.8	27.2	31.2	33.2	32.6	31.7	23.5	45.7	20.7	33.0	31.4	30.9	38.7		
		d	d								n		Nn	Oo					TUtu		
5	62	29	14	7	7	35	21	6	38	22	51	7	2	5		11	28	21		62	
	9.8	10.0	13.6	5.8	7.9	10.7	9.6	7.4	10.1	8.9	10.2	5.8	3.9	10.9		10.4	10.7	9.4		100.0	
			d								MOlmo	Oo		Oo					Tt	Uu	
4 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	20	10	3	3	3	13	6	1	14	6	16	4	3	1		3	11	6			20
	3.2	3.5	2.9	2.5	3.4	4.0	2.8	1.2	3.7	2.4	3.2	3.3	5.9	2.2		2.8	4.2	2.7			42.6
						h					Oo	Oo	o						Uu	Uu	
3	14	5	3	3	2	7	4	3	9	4	11	3	1	2		2	7	3			14
	2.2	1.7	2.9	2.5	2.2	2.1	1.8	3.7	2.4	1.6	2.2	2.5	2.0	4.3		1.9	2.7	1.3			29.8
											Oo	o							Uu	Uu	
2	11	5	2	1	3	4	6	1	8	3	9	2			2	1	1	4			11
	1.7	1.7	1.9	0.8	3.4	1.2	2.8	1.2	2.1	1.2	1.8	1.7			6.9	0.9	0.4	1.8			23.4
											MNmn								Uu	Uu	
1 Very dissatisfied	2	1	1			1	1		1	1	1	1			1	1	1				2
	0.3	0.3	1.0			0.3	0.5		0.3	0.4	0.2	0.8			3.4	0.9	0.4				4.3
Satisfied (net)	525	239	80	107	74	267	180	70	308	211	412	103	45	38	26	88	213	189	525		
	82.8	82.7	77.7	88.4	83.1	81.7	82.6	86.4	81.5	85.4	82.4	85.8	88.2	82.6	89.7	83.0	81.6	84.8	100.0		
			Dd																TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 153

Continued

Table 77-1
Q1. Given all the considerations, how satisfied are you with your consumer directed support program?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Neither (net)	96	44	20	13	12	55	31	10	61	32	78	14	6	8		16	46	30		62	34
	15.1	15.2	19.4	10.7	13.5	16.8	14.2	12.3	16.1	13.0	15.6	11.7	11.8	17.4		15.1	17.6	13.5	TUtu	100.0	72.3
			d								Oo	Oo	Oo	Oo						Uu	
Dissatisfied (net)	13	6	3	1	3	5	7	1	9	4	10	3			3	2	2	4			13
	2.1	2.1	2.9	0.8	3.4	1.5	3.2	1.2	2.4	1.6	2.0	2.5			10.3	1.9	0.8	1.8	Uu	Uu	27.7
											MNmnn	mn	o	o							
Base for stats	634	289	103	121	89	327	218	81	378	247	500	120	51	46	29	106	261	223	525	62	47
Mean	6.2	6.2	6.0	6.4	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.3	6.2	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.4	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.3	6.6	5.0	3.1
		Dd	Dd	e									n						Uu		
Std dev	1.12	1.10	1.22	0.99	1.20	1.08	1.19	1.07	1.16	1.04	1.11	1.17	0.95	0.97	1.61	1.11	1.07	1.03	0.49		0.90
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.12	0.09	0.13	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.30	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.02		0.13

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 154

Table 78-1

Q2. How likely would you be to recommend a consumer directed support program in your county to a friend in a similar situation?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	17	8	2	3	3	7	4	4	9	7	10	6	3	2	1	2	8	6	1		2
Total answering	633	289	103	121	88	327	218	80	375	249	501	118	49	46	29	106	259	223	524	62	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
7 Very likely	423	195	67	90	53	208	151	56	252	167	328	85	41	27	23	66	176	157	404	14	4
	66.8	67.5	65.0	74.4	60.2	63.6	69.3	70.0	67.2	67.1	65.5	72.0	83.7	58.7	79.3	62.3	68.0	70.4	77.1	22.6	8.9
				Ee							Mmo	m	Nn	o					TUtu	Uu	
6	135	62	23	21	18	78	41	16	75	58	109	24	5	17	2	26	51	46	111	20	4
	21.3	21.5	22.3	17.4	20.5	23.9	18.8	20.0	20.0	23.3	21.8	20.3	10.2	37.0	6.9	24.5	19.7	20.6	21.2	32.3	8.9
											MNOmno	NOmno	Nn	Oo					Utu	Uu	
5	36	17	8	3	7	18	15	3	22	12	32	2	2			7	17	8	6	22	7
	5.7	5.9	7.8	2.5	8.0	5.5	6.9	3.8	5.9	4.8	6.4	1.7	4.1			6.6	6.6	3.6	1.1	35.5	15.6
		d	d	e							LNOlno								TUtu	Uu	
4 Neither likely nor unlikely	22	10	2	3	6	12	6	4	15	6	19	3	1	1	1	4	9	7	2	6	14
	3.5	3.5	1.9	2.5	6.8	3.7	2.8	5.0	4.0	2.4	3.8	2.5	2.0	2.2	3.4	3.8	3.5	3.1	0.4	9.7	31.1
																			TUtu	Uu	
3	6	1	2	2	1	5	1		5	1	6						4	1			6
	0.9	0.3	1.9	1.7	1.1	1.5	0.5		1.3	0.4	1.2						1.5	0.4			13.3
						Hh					LMNOlm					Qq			Uu	Uu	
											no										
2	4	1		1	2	1	2	1	3	1	2	2		1	1	1		3			4
	0.6	0.3		0.8	2.3	0.3	0.9	1.3	0.8	0.4	0.4	1.7		2.2	3.4	0.9		1.3			8.9
																	r		Uu	Uu	
1 Very unlikely	7	3	1	1	1	5	2		3	4	5	2			2	2	2	1	1		6
	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.1	1.5	0.9		0.8	1.6	1.0	1.7			6.9	1.9	0.8	0.4	0.2		13.3
						Hh					MNmn								Uu	Uu	
Likely (net)	558	257	90	111	71	286	192	72	327	225	437	109	46	44	25	92	227	203	515	34	8
	88.2	88.9	87.4	91.7	80.7	87.5	88.1	90.0	87.2	90.4	87.2	92.4	93.9	95.7	86.2	86.8	87.6	91.0	98.3	54.8	17.8
		e		Ee							Nlmn								TUtu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 155

Continued

Table 78-1

Q2. How likely would you be to recommend a consumer directed support program in your county to a friend in a similar situation?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTRY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Neither (net)	64 10.1	28 9.7	12 11.7	8 6.6 Ee	14 15.9	35 10.7	22 10.1	7 8.8	42 11.2	19 7.6	57 11.4 LNolno	5 4.2	3 6.1	1 2.2	1 3.4	11 10.4	30 11.6 r	16 7.2	8 1.5 Tutu	28 45.2	27 60.0
Unlikely (net)	11 1.7	4 1.4	1 1.0	2 1.7	3 3.4	6 1.8	4 1.8	1 1.3	6 1.6	5 2.0	7 1.4 Mm	4 3.4 Mm		1 2.2	3 10.3	3 2.8	2 0.8	4 1.8	1 0.2 Uu		10 22.2
Base for stats	633	289	103	121	88	327	218	80	375	249	501	118	49	46	29	106	259	223	524	62	45
Mean	6.4	6.5 e	6.4	6.5 Ee	6.2	6.4	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.5	6.4 Mm	6.5 m	6.8 n	6.5	6.2	6.3	6.5	6.5	6.7 Tutu	5.7 Uu	3.9
Std dev	1.07	1.00	1.02	1.03	1.29	1.13	1.03	0.94	1.08	1.05	1.06	1.13	0.62	0.90	1.77	1.16	1.00	0.98	0.54	0.93	1.73
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.09	0.14	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.09	0.13	0.33	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.12	0.26

Table 79-1

Q3. Has the consumer directed support program met the expectations that were set for you by your case manager and county social services department?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINORITY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME-WHAT (T)	NETHR/NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	23	11	2	6	3	10	6	4	11	11	15	7	4	2	2	2	10	8	6	1	3
Total answering	627	286	103	118	88	324	216	80	373	245	496	117	48	46	28	106	257	221	519	61	44
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
7 Exceeded expectations	222	89	28	62	29	100	84	36	135	85	165	53	25	16	14	43	86	86	219	2	
	35.4	31.1	27.2	52.5	33.0	30.9	38.9	45.0	36.2	34.7	33.3	45.3	52.1	34.8	50.0	40.6	33.5	38.9	42.2	3.3	
		Dd	Dd	Ee		Hgh					LMlmo		n						TUtu		
6	172	86	27	31	24	95	52	24	110	62	142	27	9	14	6	23	74	67	165	7	
	27.4	30.1	26.2	26.3	27.3	29.3	24.1	30.0	29.5	25.3	28.6	23.1	18.8	30.4	21.4	21.7	28.8	30.3	31.8	11.5	
																r			TUtu	Uu	
5	62	25	14	9	9	35	20	7	34	26	53	6		5	1	9	27	17	48	12	2
	9.9	8.7	13.6	7.6	10.2	10.8	9.3	8.8	9.1	10.6	10.7	5.1		10.9	3.6	8.5	10.5	7.7	9.2	19.7	4.5
											LMlmo	Mn	Nn						Tt	Uu	
4 Met expectations	114	62	17	13	18	61	41	8	62	50	91	22	13	7	3	24	50	30	76	22	14
	18.2	21.7	16.5	11.0	20.5	18.8	19.0	10.0	16.6	20.4	18.3	18.8	27.1	15.2	10.7	22.6	19.5	13.6	14.6	36.1	31.8
		Dd		e		Hh	Hh						o			r	r		TUtu		
3	30	14	9	1	4	22	5	3	13	15	27	1		1		4	13	8	6	13	11
	4.8	4.9	8.7	0.8	4.5	6.8	2.3	3.8	3.5	6.1	5.4	0.9		2.2		3.8	5.1	3.6	1.2	21.3	25.0
		Dd	Dd			Gg					LMOlmo								TUtu		
2	13	7	1	2	1	6	5	2	8	4	9	4	1	1	2	1	5	5	3	2	8
	2.1	2.4	1.0	1.7	1.1	1.9	2.3	2.5	2.1	1.6	1.8	3.4	2.1	2.2	7.1	0.9	1.9	2.3	0.6	3.3	18.2
																			Uu	Uu	
1 Failed expectations	14	3	7		3	5	9		11	3	9	4		2	2	2	2	8	2	3	9
	2.2	1.0	6.8		3.4	1.5	4.2		2.9	1.2	1.8	3.4		4.3	7.1	1.9	0.8	3.6	0.4	4.9	20.5
		Ccd	Dd	e		Hgh	Hh				Mm	Mm					Rr		Uu	Uu	
Exceeded (net)	394	175	55	93	53	195	136	60	245	147	307	80	34	30	20	66	160	153	384	9	
	62.8	61.2	53.4	78.8	60.2	60.2	63.0	75.0	65.7	60.0	61.9	68.4	70.8	65.2	71.4	62.3	62.3	69.2	74.0	14.8	
		Dd	Dd	Ee		Hh	Hh												TUtu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 157

Continued

Table 79-1

Q3. Has the consumer directed support program met the expectations that were set for you by your case manager and county social services department?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Met (net)	206	101	40	23	31	118	66	18	109	91	171	29	13	13	4	37	90	55	130	47	27
	32.9	35.3	38.8	19.5	35.2	36.4	30.6	22.5	29.2	37.1	34.5	24.8	27.1	28.3	14.3	34.9	35.0	24.9	25.0	77.0	61.4
		Dd	Dd	Ee		Hh			Jj		LOLo					r	Rr		TUtu	u	
Failed (net)	27	10	8	2	4	11	14	2	19	7	18	8	1	3	4	3	7	13	5	5	17
	4.3	3.5	7.8	1.7	4.5	3.4	6.5	2.5	5.1	2.9	3.6	6.8	2.1	6.5	14.3	2.8	2.7	5.9	1.0	8.2	38.6
			Dd										o				r		TUtu	Uu	
Base for stats	627	286	103	118	88	324	216	80	373	245	496	117	48	46	28	106	257	221	519	61	44
Mean	5.6	5.5	5.2	6.1	5.5	5.5	5.5	6.0	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.7	5.9	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.7	6.0	4.1	2.8
		Dcd	Dd	Ec		Hh	Hh												TUtu	Uu	
Std dev	1.52	1.45	1.74	1.17	1.55	1.48	1.63	1.29	1.53	1.47	1.48	1.62	1.39	1.58	1.93	1.49	1.41	1.58	1.18	1.31	1.21
Std err	0.06	0.09	0.17	0.11	0.17	0.08	0.11	0.14	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.15	0.20	0.23	0.37	0.14	0.09	0.11	0.05	0.17	0.18

Table 80-1

Q1. I can (even if someone helps me because of my disability) get to where I want to go

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	19	5	6	3	4	11	4	2	12	6	16	3		2	1	4	8	6	8	1	
Total answering	631 100.0	292 100.0	99 100.0	121 100.0	87 100.0	323 100.0	218 100.0	82 100.0	372 100.0	250 100.0	495 100.0	121 100.0	52 100.0	46 100.0	29 100.0	104 100.0	259 100.0	223 100.0	517 100.0	61 100.0	47 100.0
5 Strongly agree	284 45.0	132 45.2 e	41 41.4 Ee	57 47.1	49 56.3	146 45.2	96 44.0	40 48.8	149 40.1 Jj	130 52.0	234 47.3	48 39.7	21 40.4	20 43.5	11 37.9	54 51.9	112 43.2	96 43.0	247 47.8 Tutu	18 29.5	15 31.9
4 Somewhat agree	256 40.6	119 40.8 e	44 44.4 Ee	47 38.8	26 29.9	130 40.2	90 41.3	31 37.8	157 42.2	96 38.4	194 39.2 o	50 41.3	19 36.5	17 37.0	16 55.2	31 29.8 QRqr	112 43.2	92 41.3	202 39.1 Tt	33 54.1	19 40.4
3 Neither	46 7.3	17 5.8	9 9.1	7 5.8	6 6.9	24 7.4	18 8.3	3 3.7	39 10.5 Jj	7 2.8	36 7.3 Oo	10 8.3 Oo	3 5.8 o	7 15.2 Oo		11 10.6	19 7.3	15 6.7	35 6.8	5 8.2	6 12.8
2 Somewhat disagree	24 3.8	14 4.8 Cc	1 1.0 Dd	7 5.8	2 2.3	11 3.4	8 3.7	5 6.1	12 3.2	11 4.4	18 3.6	5 4.1	2 3.8	1 2.2	2 6.9	5 4.8	8 3.1	10 4.5	19 3.7	3 4.9	2 4.3
1 Strongly disagree	21 3.3	10 3.4	4 4.0	3 2.5	4 4.6	12 3.7	6 2.8	3 3.7	15 4.0	6 2.4	13 2.6 MOlmo	8 6.6 Oo	7 13.5 NOo	1 2.2		3 2.9	8 3.1	10 4.5	14 2.7 u	2 3.3	5 10.6
Agree (net)	540 85.6	251 86.0	85 85.9	104 86.0	75 86.2	276 85.4	186 85.3	71 86.6	306 82.3 Jj	226 90.4	428 86.5	98 81.0 Oo	40 76.9 Oo	37 80.4 o	27 93.1	85 81.7	224 86.5	188 84.3	449 86.8 Uu	51 83.6	34 72.3
Disagree (net)	45 7.1	24 8.2	5 5.1	10 8.3	6 6.9	23 7.1	14 6.4	8 9.8	27 7.3	17 6.8	31 6.3 Mm	13 10.7	9 17.3 Nn	2 4.3	2 6.9	8 7.7	16 6.2	20 9.0	33 6.4	5 8.2	7 14.9
Base for stats	631	292	99	121	87	323	218	82	372	250	495	121	52	46	29	104	259	223	517	61	47
Mean	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1 Jj	4.3	4.2 Mlm	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.3 Utu	4.0	3.8

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 159

Continued

Table 80-1
Q1. I can (even if someone helps me because of my disability) get to where I want to go

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/			
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.97	0.99	0.94	0.97	1.02	0.98	0.94	1.02	0.99	0.91	0.93	1.11	1.34	0.92	0.77	1.01	0.93	1.03	0.93	0.93	1.24
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.19	0.14	0.14	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.12	0.18

Table 81-1
Q2. I have control over my daily schedule
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	22	5	7	5	4	11	5	3	15	6	19	3		2	1	5	9	7	10	2	
Total answering	628	292	98	119	87	323	217	81	369	250	492	121	52	46	29	103	258	222	515	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	132	60	14	24	26	64	46	20	62	68	98	32	10	14	9	39	53	31	112	8	9
	21.0	20.5	14.3	20.2	29.9	19.8	21.2	24.7	16.8	27.2	19.9	26.4	19.2	30.4	31.0	37.9	20.5	14.0	21.7	13.3	19.1
		e	Ee						Jj							QRqr	r		t		
4 Somewhat agree	244	106	43	49	33	131	81	28	127	113	195	42	17	17	10	34	112	74	202	24	16
	38.9	36.3	43.9	41.2	37.9	40.6	37.3	34.6	34.4	45.2	39.6	34.7	32.7	37.0	34.5	33.0	43.4	33.3	39.2	40.0	34.0
									Jj							q	Rr				
3 Neither	136	67	23	25	14	62	62	11	100	35	112	22	13	5	6	14	60	54	110	15	10
	21.7	22.9	23.5	21.0	16.1	19.2	28.6	13.6	27.1	14.0	22.8	18.2	25.0	10.9	20.7	13.6	23.3	24.3	21.4	25.0	21.3
						Gg	Hh		Jj		Nn		n			QRqr					
2 Somewhat disagree	72	40	7	13	9	43	15	14	51	20	59	10	5	4	2	13	25	32	57	7	8
	11.5	13.7	7.1	10.9	10.3	13.3	6.9	17.3	13.8	8.0	12.0	8.3	9.6	8.7	6.9	12.6	9.7	14.4	11.1	11.7	17.0
		Cc				Gg	Hh		Jj												
1 Strongly disagree	44	19	11	8	5	23	13	8	29	14	28	15	7	6	2	3	8	31	34	6	4
	7.0	6.5	11.2	6.7	5.7	7.1	6.0	9.9	7.9	5.6	5.7	12.4	13.5	13.0	6.9	2.9	3.1	14.0	6.6	10.0	8.5
											Ll					Rr	Rr				
Agree (net)	376	166	57	73	59	195	127	48	189	181	293	74	27	31	19	73	165	105	314	32	25
	59.9	56.8	58.2	61.3	67.8	60.4	58.5	59.3	51.2	72.4	59.6	61.2	51.9	67.4	65.5	70.9	64.0	47.3	61.0	53.3	53.2
		e							Jj							Rr	Rr				
Disagree (net)	116	59	18	21	14	66	28	22	80	34	87	25	12	10	4	16	33	63	91	13	12
	18.5	20.2	18.4	17.6	16.1	20.4	12.9	27.2	21.7	13.6	17.7	20.7	23.1	21.7	13.8	15.5	12.8	28.4	17.7	21.7	25.5
						Gg	Hh		Jj							Rr	Rr				
Base for stats	628	292	98	119	87	323	217	81	369	250	492	121	52	46	29	103	258	222	515	60	47
Mean	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.2	3.6	3.4	3.4
		e	e						Jj							Rqr	Rr				

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 161
Continued

Table 81-1
Q2. I have control over my daily schedule
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.15	1.15	1.16	1.13	1.15	1.16	1.08	1.30	1.15	1.09	1.11	1.30	1.27	1.34	1.16	1.13	1.00	1.25	1.14	1.15	1.21
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.14	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.12	0.18	0.20	0.22	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.15	0.18

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 162

Table 82-1
Q3. I have privacy to be alone or with people I choose
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	*****ANY*****					*****ANY*****			*****ANY*****		*****ANY*****					*****ANY*****			*****ANY*****		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	22	8	6	3	3	12	5	3	14	7	18	4		2	2	4	9	8	11	1	
Total answering	628	289	99	121	88	322	217	81	370	249	493	120	52	46	28	104	258	221	514	61	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	231	101	30	47	39	113	85	29	97	131	176	50	21	20	13	51	91	71	197	18	13
	36.8	34.9	30.3	38.8	44.3	35.1	39.2	35.8	26.2	52.6	35.7	41.7	40.4	43.5	46.4	49.0	35.3	32.1	38.3	29.5	27.7
			Ee						Jj							QRqr					
4 Somewhat agree	222	103	38	47	27	118	75	27	135	84	185	30	10	14	6	31	104	68	178	22	19
	35.4	35.6	38.4	38.8	30.7	36.6	34.6	33.3	36.5	33.7	37.5	25.0	19.2	30.4	21.4	29.8	40.3	30.8	34.6	36.1	40.4
											LMOLmo					q	Rr				
3 Neither	106	47	23	16	13	52	41	11	83	22	81	23	13	6	6	14	46	38	83	12	11
	16.9	16.3	23.2	13.2	14.8	16.1	18.9	13.6	22.4	8.8	16.4	19.2	25.0	13.0	21.4	13.5	17.8	17.2	16.1	19.7	23.4
			d						Jj												
2 Somewhat disagree	39	22	3	10	2	20	9	10	30	8	32	6	2	3	1	5	13	21	33	5	1
	6.2	7.6	3.0	8.3	2.3	6.2	4.1	12.3	8.1	3.2	6.5	5.0	3.8	6.5	3.6	4.8	5.0	9.5	6.4	8.2	2.1
		Ece	d	Ee			Rh		Jj							r			u		
1 Strongly disagree	30	16	5	1	7	19	7	4	25	4	19	11	6	3	2	3	4	23	23	4	3
	4.8	5.5	5.1	0.8	8.0	5.9	3.2	4.9	6.8	1.6	3.9	9.2	11.5	6.5	7.1	2.9	1.6	10.4	4.5	6.6	6.4
		Dd	d	Ee					Jj		lm					Rr	Rr				
Agree (net)	453	204	68	94	66	231	160	56	232	215	361	80	31	34	19	82	195	139	375	40	32
	72.1	70.6	68.7	77.7	75.0	71.7	73.7	69.1	62.7	86.3	73.2	66.7	59.6	73.9	67.9	78.8	75.6	62.9	73.0	65.6	68.1
									Jj		m					Rr	Rr				
Disagree (net)	69	38	8	11	9	39	16	14	55	12	51	17	8	6	3	8	17	44	56	9	4
	11.0	13.1	8.1	9.1	10.2	12.1	7.4	17.3	14.9	4.8	10.3	14.2	15.4	13.0	10.7	7.7	6.6	19.9	10.9	14.8	8.5
						g	Hh		Jj							Rr	Rr				
Base for stats	628	289	99	121	88	322	217	81	370	249	493	120	52	46	28	104	258	221	514	61	47
Mean	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.7	4.3	3.9	3.9	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.8
		d							Jj							Rr	Rr				

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 163
Continued

Table 82-1
Q3. I have privacy to be alone or with people I choose
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.10	1.14	1.04	0.96	1.18	1.13	1.02	1.18	1.15	0.88	1.06	1.27	1.33	1.19	1.21	1.02	0.93	1.30	1.10	1.16	1.06
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.12	0.18	0.18	0.23	0.10	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.15	0.16

Table 83-1

Q4. Only people who are supposed to know my personal information have access to it

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD SEVERE (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	23	8	7	4	2	15	4	2	13	9	16	5	1	2	2	5	8	8	11	2	
Total answering	627 100.0	289 100.0	98 100.0	120 100.0	89 100.0	319 100.0	218 100.0	82 100.0	371 100.0	247 100.0	495 100.0	119 100.0	51 100.0	46 100.0	28 100.0	103 100.0	259 100.0	221 100.0	514 100.0	60 100.0	47 100.0
5 Strongly agree	323 51.5	164 56.7	38 38.8	65 54.2	45 50.6	157 49.2	114 52.3	46 56.1	167 45.0	154 62.3	257 51.9	64 53.8	27 52.9	21 45.7	20 71.4	56 54.4	135 52.1	112 50.7	281 54.7	22 36.7	16 34.0
		Cc	Dd						Jj		Oo	o	o	Oo					TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	195 31.1	84 29.1	36 36.7	38 31.7	24 27.0	108 33.9	65 29.8	21 25.6	125 33.7	64 25.9	156 31.5	32 26.9	12 23.5	16 34.8	4 14.3	34 33.0	79 30.5	64 29.0	163 31.7	15 25.0	15 31.9
									Jj		Oo			Oo							
3 Neither	75 12.0	28 9.7	14 14.3	15 12.5	11 12.4	30 9.4	32 14.7	12 14.6	48 12.9	27 10.9	59 11.9	15 12.6	6 11.8	8 17.4	3 10.7	6 5.8	32 12.4	31 14.0	51 9.9	12 20.0	12 25.5
						g										QRqr			Utu		
2 Somewhat disagree	26 4.1	8 2.8	8 8.2	2 1.7	8 9.0	17 5.3	6 2.8	3 3.7	24 6.5	2 0.8	18 3.6	6 5.0	4 7.8	1 2.2	1 3.6	4 3.9	11 4.2	11 5.0	14 2.7	9 15.0	3 6.4
		ce	Dd	Ee					Jj										Tt		
1 Strongly disagree	8 1.3	5 1.7	2 2.0		1 1.1	7 2.2	1 0.5		7 1.9		5 1.0	2 1.7	2 3.9			3 2.9	2 0.8	3 1.4	5 1.0	2 3.3	1 2.1
		Dd				Hgh			Jj		NOno										
Agree (net)	518 82.6	248 85.8	74 75.5	103 85.8	69 77.5	265 83.1	179 82.1	67 81.7	292 78.7	218 88.3	413 83.4	96 80.7	39 76.5	37 80.4	24 85.7	90 87.4	214 82.6	176 79.6	444 86.4	37 61.7	31 66.0
		Cce	d						Jj							r			TUtu		
Disagree (net)	34 5.4	13 4.5	10 10.2	2 1.7	9 10.1	24 7.5	7 3.2	3 3.7	31 8.4	2 0.8	23 4.6	8 6.7	6 11.8	1 2.2	1 3.6	7 6.8	13 5.0	14 6.3	19 3.7	11 18.3	4 8.5
		cd	Dd	Ee		Gg			Jj			n							Tt		
Base for stats	627	289	98	120	89	319	218	82	371	247	495	119	51	46	28	103	259	221	514	60	47
Mean	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	3.8	3.9
		Cc	Dd	e					Jj				o						TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): {BCDE} {FGH} {IJ} {KLMNO} {PQR} {STU}

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 165

Continued

Table 83-1
Q4. Only people who are supposed to know my personal information have access to it
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.92	0.89	1.02	0.77	1.03	0.97	0.85	0.86	0.99	0.72	0.89	0.97	1.14	0.81	0.82	0.96	0.89	0.96	0.84	1.19	1.02
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.15	0.15

Table 84-1
Q5. I can set desired outcomes (goals) for myself
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	30	12	6	7	4	16	5	5	20	9	23	6	1	2	3	6	11	10	16	2	
Total answering	620	285	99	117	87	318	217	79	364	247	488	118	51	46	27	102	256	219	509	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	142	62	19	26	29	80	50	11	76	64	104	35	12	15	9	38	55	40	123	10	8
	22.9	21.8	19.2	22.2	33.3	25.2	23.0	13.9	20.9	25.9	21.3	29.7	23.5	32.6	33.3	37.3	21.5	18.3	24.2	16.7	17.0
		Ee	Ee	e		Hh	h				i					QRqr					
4 Somewhat agree	214	100	35	42	25	105	77	30	110	100	171	37	18	13	10	34	103	63	186	19	8
	34.5	35.1	35.4	35.9	28.7	33.0	35.5	38.0	30.2	40.5	35.0	31.4	35.3	28.3	37.0	33.3	40.2	28.8	36.5	31.7	17.0
									Jj								Rr		Uu	u	
3 Neither	147	63	28	28	20	70	60	15	104	42	122	22	8	11	3	21	61	53	109	20	17
	23.7	22.1	28.3	23.9	23.0	22.0	27.6	19.0	28.6	17.0	25.0	18.6	15.7	23.9	11.1	20.6	23.8	24.2	21.4	33.3	36.2
									Jj		Omo								Utu		
2 Somewhat disagree	59	35	5	10	6	32	13	13	37	21	47	11	6	3	2	7	20	26	45	5	8
	9.5	12.3	5.1	8.5	6.9	10.1	6.0	16.5	10.2	8.5	9.6	9.3	11.8	6.5	7.4	6.9	7.8	11.9	8.8	8.3	17.0
		Cc				g	Hh														
1 Strongly disagree	58	25	12	11	7	31	17	10	37	20	44	13	7	4	3	2	17	37	46	6	6
	9.4	8.8	12.1	9.4	8.0	9.7	7.8	12.7	10.2	8.1	9.0	11.0	13.7	8.7	11.1	2.0	6.6	16.9	9.0	10.0	12.8
																QRqr	Rr				
Agree (net)	356	162	54	68	54	185	127	41	186	164	275	72	30	28	19	72	158	103	309	29	16
	57.4	56.8	54.5	58.1	62.1	58.2	58.5	51.9	51.1	66.4	56.4	61.0	58.8	60.9	70.4	70.6	61.7	47.0	60.7	48.3	34.0
									Jj							Rr	Rr		Utu		
Disagree (net)	117	60	17	21	13	63	30	23	74	41	91	24	13	7	5	9	37	63	91	11	14
	18.9	21.1	17.2	17.9	14.9	19.8	13.8	29.1	20.3	16.6	18.6	20.3	25.5	15.2	18.5	8.8	14.5	28.8	17.9	18.3	29.8
						gh	Hh									Rr	Rr		u		
Base for stats	620	285	99	117	87	318	217	79	364	247	488	118	51	46	27	102	256	219	509	60	47
Mean	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.2	3.4	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.4	3.1
						h	Hh		Jj							QRqr	Rr		Uu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 167
Continued

Table 84-1
Q5. I can set desired outcomes (goals) for myself
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
	=====				=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====			
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.21	1.21	1.21	1.20	1.22	1.24	1.14	1.24	1.21	1.18	1.19	1.30	1.33	1.23	1.29	1.01	1.10	1.33	1.20	1.15	1.23
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.14	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.12	0.19	0.18	0.25	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.15	0.18

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 168

Table 85-1
Q6. I can decide about how I spend my money

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	27	8	7	7	3	15	4	5	16	10	21	5	1	2	2	6	10	9	15	2	
Total answering	623	289	98	117	88	319	218	79	368	246	490	119	51	46	28	102	257	220	510	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	135	55	18	27	26	63	45	24	68	65	90	44	17	18	11	36	49	43	118	9	6
	21.7	19.0	18.4	23.1	29.5	19.7	20.6	30.4	18.5	26.4	18.4	37.0	33.3	39.1	39.3	35.3	19.1	19.5	23.1	15.0	12.8
		e	e			h	h		Jj		LMNolm					QRqr			Uu		
4 Somewhat agree	183	89	25	34	28	92	68	23	79	101	157	20	6	10	5	35	84	47	159	16	7
	29.4	30.8	25.5	29.1	31.8	28.8	31.2	29.1	21.5	41.1	32.0	16.8	11.8	21.7	17.9	34.3	32.7	21.4	31.2	26.7	14.9
									Jj		LMlmo					Rr	Rr		Uu		
3 Neither	157	68	31	33	17	86	59	10	120	35	126	27	13	9	7	21	66	59	122	18	14
	25.2	23.5	31.6	28.2	19.3	27.0	27.1	12.7	32.6	14.2	25.7	22.7	25.5	19.6	25.0	20.6	25.7	26.8	23.9	30.0	29.8
			e			Hh	Hh		Jj												
2 Somewhat disagree	69	39	6	12	8	34	24	9	42	26	56	11	7	2	2	7	38	19	48	10	11
	11.1	13.5	6.1	10.3	9.1	10.7	11.0	11.4	11.4	10.6	11.4	9.2	13.7	4.3	7.1	6.9	14.8	8.6	9.4	16.7	23.4
		Cc									Nn					Qq	Rr		Uu		
1 Strongly disagree	79	38	18	11	9	44	22	13	59	19	61	17	8	7	3	3	20	52	63	7	9
	12.7	13.1	18.4	9.4	10.2	13.8	10.1	16.5	16.0	7.7	12.4	14.3	15.7	15.2	10.7	2.9	7.8	23.6	12.4	11.7	19.1
			d						Jj							QRqr	Rr				
Agree (net)	318	144	43	61	54	155	113	47	147	166	247	64	23	28	16	71	133	90	277	25	13
	51.0	49.8	43.9	52.1	61.4	48.6	51.8	59.5	39.9	67.5	50.4	53.8	45.1	60.9	57.1	69.6	51.8	40.9	54.3	41.7	27.7
		e	Ee			h			Jj							QRqr	Rr		Utu		
Disagree (net)	148	77	24	23	17	78	46	22	101	45	117	28	15	9	5	10	58	71	111	17	20
	23.8	26.6	24.5	19.7	19.3	24.5	21.1	27.8	27.4	18.3	23.9	23.5	29.4	19.6	17.9	9.8	22.6	32.3	21.8	28.3	42.6
									Jj							QRqr	Rr		Uu		
Base for stats	623	289	98	117	88	319	218	79	368	246	490	119	51	46	28	102	257	220	510	60	47

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 169
Continued

Table 85-1
Q6. I can decide about how I spend my money
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.1	3.7	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.4	3.0	3.4	3.2	2.8
		Ee	Ee						Jj		n					QRqr	Rr		Uu		
Std dev	1.28	1.28	1.32	1.22	1.27	1.28	1.22	1.44	1.30	1.19	1.25	1.43	1.45	1.42	1.34	1.04	1.18	1.42	1.28	1.21	1.27
Std err	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.07	0.08	0.16	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.13	0.20	0.21	0.25	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.16	0.19

Table 86-1

Q7. I can make decisions that will affect my future

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	25	9	6	5	4	15	5	2	16	8	20	5	1	2	2	6	9	8	11	2	1
Total answering	625	288	99	119	87	319	217	82	368	248	491	119	51	46	28	102	258	221	514	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	142	59	23	23	27	70	49	20	78	62	95	46	19	18	11	33	60	41	123	11	7
	22.7	20.5	23.2	19.3	31.0	21.9	22.6	24.4	21.2	25.0	19.3	38.7	37.3	39.1	39.3	32.4	23.3	18.6	23.9	18.3	15.2
		e		e							LMNOLm no					Rqr					
4 Somewhat agree	179	84	21	42	25	92	65	21	84	92	154	18	5	6	8	33	82	47	155	14	9
	28.6	29.2	21.2	35.3	28.7	28.8	30.0	25.6	22.8	37.1	31.4	15.1	9.8	13.0	28.6	32.4	31.8	21.3	30.2	23.3	19.6
			Dd						Jj		LMNlmm		o			Rr	Rr		u		
3 Neither	175	76	34	33	21	86	68	18	119	54	142	30	14	15	3	23	74	65	133	22	18
	28.0	26.4	34.3	27.7	24.1	27.0	31.3	22.0	32.3	21.8	28.9	25.2	27.5	32.6	10.7	22.5	28.7	29.4	25.9	36.7	39.1
							h		Jj		Oo	Oo	o	Oo					tu		
2 Somewhat disagree	52	29	6	10	6	27	13	12	29	22	41	9	6	1	2	9	21	20	39	6	6
	8.3	10.1	6.1	8.4	6.9	8.5	6.0	14.6	7.9	8.9	8.4	7.6	11.8	2.2	7.1	8.8	8.1	9.0	7.6	10.0	13.0
							Hh				Nn	n	n								
1 Strongly disagree	77	40	15	11	8	44	22	11	58	18	59	16	7	6	4	4	21	48	64	7	6
	12.3	13.9	15.2	9.2	9.2	13.8	10.1	13.4	15.8	7.3	12.0	13.4	13.7	13.0	14.3	3.9	8.1	21.7	12.5	11.7	13.0
									Jj							Rr	Rr				
Agree (net)	321	143	44	65	52	162	114	41	162	154	249	64	24	24	19	66	142	88	278	25	16
	51.4	49.7	44.4	54.6	59.8	50.8	52.5	50.0	44.0	62.1	50.7	53.8	47.1	52.2	67.9	64.7	55.0	39.8	54.1	41.7	34.8
		e	Ee						Jj		o		o			Rqr	Rr		Utu		
Disagree (net)	129	69	21	21	14	71	35	23	87	40	100	25	13	7	6	13	42	68	103	13	12
	20.6	24.0	21.2	17.6	16.1	22.3	16.1	28.0	23.6	16.1	20.4	21.0	25.5	15.2	21.4	12.7	16.3	30.8	20.0	21.7	26.1
		e				g	Hh		Jj							Rr	Rr				
Base for stats	625	288	99	119	87	319	217	82	368	248	491	119	51	46	28	102	258	221	514	60	46

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 171

Continued

Table 86-1
Q7. I can make decisions that will affect my future
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Mean	3.4	3.3 Ee	3.3 e	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.3 Jj	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8 Rqr	3.5 Rr	3.1	3.5 u	3.3	3.1
Std dev	1.27	1.29	1.31	1.17	1.24	1.29	1.20	1.34	1.31	1.16	1.23	1.41	1.43	1.36	1.41	1.10	1.17	1.38	1.27	1.21	1.20
Std err	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.15	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.13	0.20	0.20	0.27	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.16	0.18

Table 87-1
Q8. I am satisfied with my current level of independence

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	23	7	7	3	5	15	4	2	14	8	19	4	1	2	1	5	9	8	11	2	
Total answering	627	290	98	121	86	319	218	82	370	248	492	120	51	46	29	103	258	221	514	60	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	185	82	26	36	32	97	61	23	85	96	143	41	13	16	14	43	87	41	165	9	8
	29.5	28.3	26.5	29.8	37.2	30.4	28.0	28.0	23.0	38.7	29.1	34.2	25.5	34.8	48.3	41.7	33.7	18.6	32.1	15.0	17.0
									Jj		Oo		Oo			Rr	Rr		TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	213	94	35	46	26	101	80	29	117	94	174	32	15	14	3	30	88	77	176	24	12
	34.0	32.4	35.7	38.0	30.2	31.7	36.7	35.4	31.6	37.9	35.4	26.7	29.4	30.4	10.3	29.1	34.1	34.8	34.2	40.0	25.5
											Olo	Oo	Oo	Oo							
3 Neither	133	64	25	20	17	70	49	13	93	38	105	26	12	10	7	19	49	55	102	14	16
	21.2	22.1	25.5	16.5	19.8	21.9	22.5	15.9	25.1	15.3	21.3	21.7	23.5	21.7	24.1	18.4	19.0	24.9	19.8	23.3	34.0
									Jj										Uu		
2 Somewhat disagree	55	30	7	12	3	29	15	11	40	14	42	10	5	5	1	6	25	23	40	8	6
	8.8	10.3	7.1	9.9	3.5	9.1	6.9	13.4	10.8	5.6	8.5	8.3	9.8	10.9	3.4	5.8	9.7	10.4	7.8	13.3	12.8
		Ee		e					Jj												
1 Strongly disagree	41	20	5	7	8	22	13	6	35	6	28	11	6	1	4	5	9	25	31	5	5
	6.5	6.9	5.1	5.8	9.3	6.9	6.0	7.3	9.5	2.4	5.7	9.2	11.8	2.2	13.8	4.9	3.5	11.3	6.0	8.3	10.6
									Jj			Nn	n	o		Rr	Rr				
Agree (net)	398	176	61	82	58	198	141	52	202	190	317	73	28	30	17	73	175	118	341	33	20
	63.5	60.7	62.2	67.8	67.4	62.1	64.7	63.4	54.6	76.6	64.4	60.8	54.9	65.2	58.6	70.9	67.8	53.4	66.3	55.0	42.6
									Jj							Rr	Rr		Utu		
Disagree (net)	96	50	12	19	11	51	28	17	75	20	70	21	11	6	5	11	34	48	71	13	11
	15.3	17.2	12.2	15.7	12.8	16.0	12.8	20.7	20.3	8.1	14.2	17.5	21.6	13.0	17.2	10.7	13.2	21.7	13.8	21.7	23.4
									Jj							Rr	Rr				
Base for stats	627	290	98	121	86	319	218	82	370	248	492	120	51	46	29	103	258	221	514	60	47
Mean	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.4	3.8	3.4	3.3
									Jj							Rr	Rr		TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 173

Continued

Table 87-1
Q8. I am satisfied with my current level of independence
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	ONLY (K)	MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	WHAT (T)	NOT (U)
Std dev	1.17	1.19	1.09	1.15	1.23	1.19	1.12	1.22	1.22	0.99	1.13	1.27	1.29	1.08	1.43	1.13	1.10	1.22	1.15	1.14	1.19
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.14	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.12	0.18	0.16	0.27	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.15	0.17

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 174

Table 88-1
Q1. I have an appropriate amount of responsibility at home or at work
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME-WHAT (T)	NETHR/NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	34	12	9	4	7	19	8	5	22	12	26	7	2	1	4	6	13	14	27	4	1
Total answering	616	285	96	120	84	315	214	79	362	244	485	117	50	47	26	102	254	215	498	58	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	188	86	28	36	28	92	64	29	89	95	138	46	18	20	9	51	72	49	156	15	10
	30.5	30.2	29.2	30.0	33.3	29.2	29.9	36.7	24.6 Jj	38.9	28.5 Lln	39.3	36.0	42.6	34.6	50.0 QRqr	28.3	22.8	31.3	25.9	21.7
4 Somewhat agree	268	122	39	53	41	137	96	32	151	113	225	36	18	13	8	39	131	78	216	28	20
	43.5	42.8	40.6	44.2	48.8	43.5	44.9	40.5	41.7	46.3	46.4 LNLno	30.8	36.0	27.7	30.8	38.2 Qq	51.6 Rr	36.3	43.4	48.3	43.5
3 Neither	105	47	19	21	12	57	37	9	76	28	85	18	4	11	3	7	35	57	80	10	12
	17.0	16.5	19.8	17.5	14.3	18.1	17.3	11.4	21.0 Jj	11.5	17.5 Mm	15.4	8.0 Nn	23.4	11.5	6.9 QRqr	13.8 Rr	26.5	16.1	17.2	26.1
2 Somewhat disagree	35	18	6	9	1	20	9	6	32	3	26	8	4	2	3	4	12	17	31	3	1
	5.7	6.3 Ee	6.3 e	7.5 Ee	1.2	6.3	4.2	7.6	8.8 Jj	1.2	5.4	6.8	8.0	4.3	11.5	3.9	4.7	7.9	6.2 u	5.2	2.2
1 Strongly disagree	20	12	4	1	2	9	8	3	14	5	11	9	6	1	3	1	4	14	15	2	3
	3.2	4.2 Dd	4.2	0.8	2.4	2.9	3.7	3.8	3.9	2.0	2.3 LMlm	7.7 n	12.0 n	2.1	11.5	1.0 Rr	1.6 Rr	6.5	3.0	3.4	6.5
Agree (net)	456	208	67	89	69	229	160	61	240	208	363	82	36	33	17	90	203	127	372	43	30
	74.0	73.0 e	69.8 e	74.2	82.1	72.7	74.8	77.2	66.3 Jj	85.2	74.8	70.1	72.0	70.2	65.4	88.2 QRqr	79.9 Rr	59.1	74.7	74.1	65.2
Disagree (net)	55	30	10	10	3	29	17	9	46	8	37	17	10	3	6	5	16	31	46	5	4
	8.9	10.5 Ee	10.4 e	8.3	3.6	9.2	7.9	11.4	12.7 Jj	3.3	7.6 LMlmo	14.5 n	20.0 Nn	6.4 o	23.1	4.9 Rr	6.3 Rr	14.4	9.2	8.6	8.7
Base for stats	616	285	96	120	84	315	214	79	362	244	485	117	50	47	26	102	254	215	498	58	46
Mean	3.9	3.9 e	3.8 e	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.7 Jj	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.7	4.3 QRqr	4.0 Rr	3.6	3.9	3.9	3.7

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 175
Continued

Table 88-1

Q1. I have an appropriate amount of responsibility at home or at work

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.99	1.04	1.04	0.92	0.85	0.99	0.99	1.06	1.04	0.84	0.93	1.22	1.34	1.01	1.36	0.84	0.87	1.11	1.00	0.97	1.04
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.11	0.19	0.15	0.27	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.13	0.15

Table 89-1
Q2. I have been improving my skills at home or at work
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	37	13	8	7	7	19	11	5	22	15	27	9	4	1	4	7	14	15	29	4	2
Total answering	613	284	97	117	84	315	211	79	362	241	484	115	48	47	26	101	253	214	496	58	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	246	109	47	48	31	123	87	33	144	97	194	49	20	23	10	60	99	69	207	22	10
	40.1	38.4	48.5	41.0	36.9	39.0	41.2	41.8	39.8	40.2	40.1	42.6	41.7	48.9	38.5	59.4	39.1	32.2	41.7	37.9	22.2
		c														QRqr			Uu	u	
4 Somewhat agree	293	138	41	56	40	153	100	35	167	122	239	44	19	14	13	31	135	104	230	31	27
	47.8	48.6	42.3	47.9	47.6	48.6	47.4	44.3	46.1	50.6	49.4	38.3	39.6	29.8	50.0	30.7	53.4	48.6	46.4	53.4	60.0
											LNln			o		QRqr			u		
3 Neither	50	22	7	8	11	28	19	3	35	15	39	10	4	5	1	8	15	24	40	4	5
	8.2	7.7	7.2	6.8	13.1	8.9	9.0	3.8	9.7	6.2	8.1	8.7	8.3	10.6	3.8	7.9	5.9	11.2	8.1	6.9	11.1
						h	h										Rr				
2 Somewhat disagree	14	11		3		6	3	5	9	4	8	6	2	3	1	1	2	10	10	1	2
	2.3	3.9		2.6		1.9	1.4	6.3	2.5	1.7	1.7	5.2	4.2	6.4	3.8	1.0	0.8	4.7	2.0	1.7	4.4
		CEce	d	e			h				l					Rr	Rr				
1 Strongly disagree	10	4	2	2	2	5	2	3	7	3	4	6	3	2	1	1	2	7	9		1
	1.6	1.4	2.1	1.7	2.4	1.6	0.9	3.8	1.9	1.2	0.8	5.2	6.3	4.3	3.8	1.0	0.8	3.3	1.8		2.2
											Ll						r		Tt		
Agree (net)	539	247	88	104	71	276	187	68	311	219	433	93	39	37	23	91	234	173	437	53	37
	87.9	87.0	90.7	88.9	84.5	87.6	88.6	86.1	85.9	90.9	89.5	80.9	81.3	78.7	88.5	90.1	92.5	80.8	88.1	91.4	82.2
									j		Lln					Rr	Rr				
Disagree (net)	24	15	2	5	2	11	5	8	16	7	12	12	5	5	2	2	4	17	19	1	3
	3.9	5.3	2.1	4.3	2.4	3.5	2.4	10.1	4.4	2.9	2.5	10.4	10.4	10.6	7.7	2.0	1.6	7.9	3.8	1.7	6.7
						h	Hh				Llmn					Rr	Rr				
Base for stats	613	284	97	117	84	315	211	79	362	241	484	115	48	47	26	101	253	214	496	58	45
Mean	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.0
		c									l					QRqr	Rr		Uu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 177
Continued

Table 89-1
Q2. I have been improving my skills at home or at work
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.82	0.84	0.79	0.82	0.83	0.81	0.76	1.02	0.85	0.76	0.74	1.09	1.11	1.10	0.95	0.77	0.68	0.96	0.83	0.66	0.84
Std err	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.10	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.13

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 178

Table 90-1

Q3. I receive recognition for the things that I do

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	34	12	9	7	5	18	10	3	21	12	25	8	2	2	4	5	12	15	26	5	1
Total answering	616	285	96	117	86	316	212	81	363	244	486	116	50	46	26	103	255	214	499	57	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	367	164	65	63	58	188	127	47	212	150	302	59	28	22	13	66	150	125	300	29	27
	59.6	57.5	67.7	53.8	67.4	59.5	59.9	58.0	58.4	61.5	62.1	50.9	56.0	47.8	50.0	64.1	58.8	58.4	60.1	50.9	58.7
		ce	Dd	Ee							Lln										
4 Somewhat agree	207	102	23	47	23	104	73	28	121	82	157	42	17	16	11	31	91	69	168	25	13
	33.6	35.8	24.0	40.2	26.7	32.9	34.4	34.6	33.3	33.6	32.3	36.2	34.0	34.8	42.3	30.1	35.7	32.2	33.7	43.9	28.3
		Cc	Dd	Ee																u	
3 Neither	33	14	5	6	5	18	11	4	25	8	25	8	3	4	1	5	11	16	24	3	5
	5.4	4.9	5.2	5.1	5.8	5.7	5.2	4.9	6.9	3.3	5.1	6.9	6.0	8.7	3.8	4.9	4.3	7.5	4.8	5.3	10.9
									Jj												
2 Somewhat disagree	6	3	2	1		4		2	4	2	2	4	1	3			3	3	4		1
	1.0	1.1	2.1	0.9		1.3		2.5	1.1	0.8	0.4	3.4	2.0	6.5			1.2	1.4	0.8		2.2
		e				Gg					ln	Oo		o		qr			Tt		
1 Strongly disagree	3	2	1			2	1		1	2		3	1	1	1	1		1	3		
	0.5	0.7	1.0			0.6	0.5		0.3	0.8		2.6	2.0	2.2	3.8	1.0		0.5	0.6		
											1								tu		
Agree (net)	574	266	88	110	81	292	200	75	333	232	459	101	45	38	24	97	241	194	468	54	40
	93.2	93.3	91.7	94.0	94.2	92.4	94.3	92.6	91.7	95.1	94.4	87.1	90.0	82.6	92.3	94.2	94.5	90.7	93.8	94.7	87.0
									j		LNln										
Disagree (net)	9	5	3	1		6	1	2	5	4	2	7	2	4	1	1	3	4	7		1
	1.5	1.8	3.1	0.9		1.9	0.5	2.5	1.4	1.6	0.4	6.0	4.0	8.7	3.8	1.0	1.2	1.9	1.4		2.2
		Ee	e								LNln								Tt		
Base for stats	616	285	96	117	86	316	212	81	363	244	486	116	50	46	26	103	255	214	499	57	46
Mean	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
		e		e							LNln										

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 179

Continued

Table 90-1
Q3. I receive recognition for the things that I do
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.69	0.70	0.78	0.63	0.59	0.72	0.64	0.70	0.70	0.68	0.61	0.93	0.85	0.99	0.87	0.68	0.64	0.73	0.68	0.59	0.77
Std err	0.03	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.17	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.11

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 180

Table 91-1

Q4. I have access to assistive products and technology that supports my productivity

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	36	13	8	6	7	21	8	5	20	16	26	9	3	1	5	9	14	12	30	4	1
Total answering	614	284	97	118	84	313	214	79	364	240	485	115	49	47	25	99	253	217	495	58	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	195	86	36	45	24	102	67	24	122	71	155	37	17	17	8	36	71	76	166	13	10
	31.8	30.3	37.1	38.1	28.6	32.6	31.3	30.4	33.5	29.6	32.0	32.2	34.7	36.2	32.0	36.4	28.1	35.0	33.5	22.4	21.7
																			tu		
4 Somewhat agree	265	120	44	47	34	129	99	32	164	96	211	49	17	22	11	35	117	92	212	31	16
	43.2	42.3	45.4	39.8	40.5	41.2	46.3	40.5	45.1	40.0	43.5	42.6	34.7	46.8	44.0	35.4	46.2	42.4	42.8	53.4	34.8
																q				u	
3 Neither	118	58	13	18	22	67	36	14	55	61	100	15	8	5	2	24	53	30	94	10	12
	19.2	20.4	13.4	15.3	26.2	21.4	16.8	17.7	15.1	25.4	20.6	13.0	16.3	10.6	8.0	24.2	20.9	13.8	19.0	17.2	26.1
		c	Ee	e					Jj		LNolno					Rr	Rr				
2 Somewhat disagree	25	12	3	6	4	10	7	8	17	7	15	7	3	1	3	3	6	15	16	3	5
	4.1	4.2	3.1	5.1	4.8	3.2	3.3	10.1	4.7	2.9	3.1	6.1	6.1	2.1	12.0	3.0	2.4	6.9	3.2	5.2	10.9
						h	h										Rr				
1 Strongly disagree	11	8	1	2		5	5	1	6	5	4	7	4	2	1	1	6	4	7	1	3
	1.8	2.8	1.0	1.7		1.6	2.3	1.3	1.6	2.1	1.0	6.1	8.2	4.3	4.0	1.0	2.4	1.8	1.4	1.7	6.5
		Ee									Llm										
Agree (net)	460	206	80	92	58	231	166	56	286	167	366	86	34	39	19	71	188	168	378	44	26
	74.9	72.5	82.5	78.0	69.0	73.8	77.6	70.9	78.6	69.6	75.5	74.8	69.4	83.0	76.0	71.7	74.3	77.4	76.4	75.9	56.5
		Cc	Ee						Jj										Uu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	36	20	4	8	4	15	12	9	23	12	19	14	7	3	4	4	12	19	23	4	8
	5.9	7.0	4.1	6.8	4.8	4.8	5.6	11.4	6.3	5.0	3.9	12.2	14.3	6.4	16.0	4.0	4.7	8.8	4.6	6.9	17.4
						h					LMlm					r	r		Uu		
Base for stats	614	284	97	118	84	313	214	79	364	240	485	115	49	47	25	99	253	217	495	58	46
Mean	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.5
		c	e																Uu	u	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 181

Continued

Table 91-1

Q4. I have access to assistive products and technology that supports my productivity

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.91	0.96	0.84	0.94	0.86	0.90	0.91	0.99	0.91	0.92	0.85	1.11	1.21	0.96	1.11	0.90	0.89	0.96	0.88	0.86	1.14
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.14	0.22	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.17

Table 92-1
Q5. The system I am currently working under is easy to function within and supports my productivity

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	36	14	9	6	5	21	8	4	25	11	28	7	2	1	4	8	13	14	30	4	1
Total answering	614	283	96	118	86	313	214	80	359	245	483	117	50	47	26	100	254	215	495	58	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	216	102	31	42	33	105	79	30	102	111	169	45	18	19	10	44	92	64	193	11	4
	35.2	36.0	32.3	35.6	38.4	33.5	36.9	37.5	28.4 Jj	45.3	35.0	38.5	36.0	40.4	38.5	44.0 Rr	36.2	29.8	39.0 TUtu	19.0	8.7
4 Somewhat agree	252	115	41	49	34	132	83	32	151	98	202	41	18	15	12	41	104	87	202	31	15
	41.0	40.6	42.7	41.5	39.5	42.2	38.8	40.0	42.1	40.0	41.8	35.0	36.0	31.9	46.2	41.0	40.9	40.5	40.8 t	53.4 Uu	32.6
3 Neither	115	51	18	21	15	63	38	14	87	26	94	20	10	8	2	12	46	50	82	12	18
	18.7	18.0	18.8	17.8	17.4	20.1	17.8	17.5	24.2 Jj	10.6	19.5 Oo	17.1	20.0	17.0	7.7	12.0 Rr	18.1	23.3	16.6 Uu	20.7 Uu	39.1
2 Somewhat disagree	19	9	2	5	3	7	8	4	13	4	12	6	2	4		2	8	8	11	3	5
	3.1	3.2	2.1	4.2	3.5	2.2	3.7	5.0	3.6	1.6	2.5 Oo	5.1 Oo	4.0	8.5 Oo		2.0	3.1	3.7	2.2 u	5.2	10.9
1 Strongly disagree	12	6	4	1	1	6	6		6	6	6	5	2	1	2	1	4	6	7	1	4
	2.0	2.1	4.2	0.8	1.2	1.9 Hh	2.8 Hh		1.7	2.4	1.2	4.3	4.0	2.1	7.7	1.0	1.6	2.8	1.4 u	1.7	8.7
Agree (net)	468	217	72	91	67	237	162	62	253	209	371	86	36	34	22	85	196	151	395	42	19
	76.2	76.7	75.0	77.1	77.9	75.7	75.7	77.5	70.5 Jj	85.3	76.8	73.5	72.0	72.3	84.6	85.0 Rqr	77.2 r	70.2	79.8 Uu	72.4 Uu	41.3
Disagree (net)	31	15	6	6	4	13	14	4	19	10	18	11	4	5	2	3	12	14	18	4	9
	5.0	5.3	6.3	5.1	4.7	4.2	6.5	5.0	5.3	4.1	3.7 Ll	9.4	8.0	10.6	7.7	3.0	4.7	6.5	3.6 Uu	6.9 u	19.6
Base for stats	614	283	96	118	86	313	214	80	359	245	483	117	50	47	26	100	254	215	495	58	46
Mean	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.9 Jj	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.3 Rqr	4.1 r	3.9	4.1 TUtu	3.8 Uu	3.2

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 183
Continued

Table 92-1
Q5. The system I am currently working under is easy to function within and supports my productivity
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
	=====				=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====			
										WHITE	ETHNIC					MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME-	NETHR/
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTRY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)					(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.91	0.93	0.98	0.88	0.89	0.89	0.97	0.86	0.90	0.89	0.87	1.07	1.04	1.05	1.07	0.82	0.90	0.96	0.87	0.85	1.04
Std err	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.21	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.15

Table 93-1
Q6. I am satisfied with my current level of productivity
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	40	14	9	7	8	22	10	5	26	14	31	7	2	1	4	8	14	17	32	4	2
Total answering	610	283	96	117	83	312	212	79	358	242	480	117	50	47	26	100	253	212	493	58	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	172	77	28	33	29	87	58	26	72	96	134	37	16	15	8	40	70	48	149	14	5
	28.2	27.2	29.2	28.2	34.9	27.9	27.4	32.9	20.1	39.7	27.9	31.6	32.0	31.9	30.8	40.0	27.7	22.6	30.2	24.1	11.1
									Jj							QRqr			Uu	u	
4 Somewhat agree	279	135	41	52	34	132	108	33	167	109	222	48	21	17	12	45	120	90	229	27	16
	45.7	47.7	42.7	44.4	41.0	42.3	50.9	41.8	46.6	45.0	46.3	41.0	42.0	36.2	46.2	45.0	47.4	42.5	46.5	46.6	35.6
						g															
3 Neither	114	51	20	20	15	69	36	9	88	23	91	22	9	11	3	10	47	52	85	13	13
	18.7	18.0	20.8	17.1	18.1	22.1	17.0	11.4	24.6	9.5	19.0	18.8	18.0	23.4	11.5	10.0	18.6	24.5	17.2	22.4	28.9
						Hh			Jj							QRqr			u		
2 Somewhat disagree	32	10	6	12	3	16	8	8	22	10	26	4	1	2	2	4	12	16	24	2	6
	5.2	3.5	6.3	10.3	3.6	5.1	3.8	10.1	6.1	4.1	5.4	3.4	2.0	4.3	7.7	4.0	4.7	7.5	4.9	3.4	13.3
		Dd		e			h													u	
1 Strongly disagree	13	10	1		2	8	2	3	9	4	7	6	3	2	1	1	4	6	6	2	5
	2.1	3.5	1.0		2.4	2.6	0.9	3.8	2.5	1.7	1.5	5.1	6.0	4.3	3.8	1.0	1.6	2.8	1.2	3.4	11.1
		Dd									l					Uu			Uu		
Agree (net)	451	212	69	85	63	219	166	59	239	205	356	85	37	32	20	85	190	138	378	41	21
	73.9	74.9	71.9	72.6	75.9	70.2	78.3	74.7	66.8	84.7	74.2	72.6	74.0	68.1	76.9	85.0	75.1	65.1	76.7	70.7	46.7
						Gg			Jj							QRqr	Rr		Uu	Uu	
Disagree (net)	45	20	7	12	5	24	10	11	31	14	33	10	4	4	3	5	16	22	30	4	11
	7.4	7.1	7.3	10.3	6.0	7.7	4.7	13.9	8.7	5.8	6.9	8.5	8.0	8.5	11.5	5.0	6.3	10.4	6.1	6.9	24.4
						Hh										r			Uu	Uu	
Base for stats	610	283	96	117	83	312	212	79	358	242	480	117	50	47	26	100	253	212	493	58	45
Mean	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.2
									Jj							QRqr	Rr		Uu	Uu	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 185
Continued

Table 93-1
Q6. I am satisfied with my current level of productivity

Base is all respondents

											ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
	-----				-----			-----		-----					-----			-----			
Std dev	0.93	0.95	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.82	1.09	0.93	0.88	0.90	1.05	1.06	1.04	1.03	0.84	0.89	0.98	0.88	0.94	1.15
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.20	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.12	0.17

Table 94-1

Q1. Resources that I need are available in my community

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	*****ANY*****					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
											WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)						
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	29	15	5	4	4	17	6	4	15	14	20	8	3	1	4	5	13	9	22	3	3
Total answering	621	282	100	120	87	317	216	80	369	242	491	116	49	47	26	103	254	220	503	59	44
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	189	81	31	36	36	98	59	29	102	83	148	40	19	12	11	40	80	58	168	10	6
	30.4	28.7	31.0	30.0	41.4	30.9	27.3	36.3	27.6	34.3	30.1	34.5	38.8	25.5	42.3	38.8	31.5	26.4	33.4	16.9	13.6
		Ee		e					j							Rr			TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	313	150	53	61	30	153	115	40	185	123	254	52	21	23	12	43	127	117	257	30	17
	50.4	53.2	53.0	50.8	34.5	48.3	53.2	50.0	50.1	50.8	51.7	44.8	42.9	48.9	46.2	41.7	50.0	53.2	51.1	50.8	38.6
		Ee	Ee	Ee												r					
3 Neither	48	18	10	8	10	24	21	3	30	18	37	10	3	6	1	10	18	18	31	7	9
	7.7	6.4	10.0	6.7	11.5	7.6	9.7	3.8	8.1	7.4	7.5	8.6	6.1	12.8	3.8	9.7	7.1	8.2	6.2	11.9	20.5
							Hh												Uu		
2 Somewhat disagree	53	21	4	13	9	31	19	3	38	14	43	7	1	4	2	8	24	18	38	10	5
	8.5	7.4	4.0	10.8	10.3	9.8	8.8	3.8	10.3	5.8	8.8	6.0	2.0	8.5	7.7	7.8	9.4	8.2	7.6	16.9	11.4
			Dde			Hh	h		Jj		Mm								t		
1 Strongly disagree	18	12	2	2	2	11	2	5	14	4	9	7	5	2		2	5	9	9	2	7
	2.9	4.3	2.0	1.7	2.3	3.5	0.9	6.3	3.8	1.7	1.8	6.0	10.2	4.3		1.9	2.0	4.1	1.8	3.4	15.9
						Gg	h		j		Olmo	Oo	Oo						Uu	Uu	
Agree (net)	502	231	84	97	66	251	174	69	287	206	402	92	40	35	23	83	207	175	425	40	23
	80.8	81.9	84.0	80.8	75.9	79.2	80.6	86.3	77.8	85.1	81.9	79.3	81.6	74.5	88.5	80.6	81.5	79.5	84.5	67.8	52.3
									Jj										TUtu		
Disagree (net)	71	33	6	15	11	42	21	8	52	18	52	14	6	6	2	10	29	27	47	12	12
	11.4	11.7	6.0	12.5	12.6	13.2	9.7	10.0	14.1	7.4	10.6	12.1	12.2	12.8	7.7	9.7	11.4	12.3	9.3	20.3	27.3
		c	d						Jj										TUtu		
Base for stats	621	282	100	120	87	317	216	80	369	242	491	116	49	47	26	103	254	220	503	59	44
Mean	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.6	3.2
									Jj					o					TUtu	u	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 187

Continued

Table 94-1

Q1. Resources that I need are available in my community

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	0.99	1.01	0.86	0.97	1.07	1.04	0.90	1.05	1.05	0.89	0.94	1.10	1.20	1.04	0.85	0.98	0.97	1.01	0.92	1.06	1.28
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.14	0.19

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 188

Table 95-1

Q2. The personal support I require is available in my community

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	*****ANY*****					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
											WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)						
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	27	13	4	5	4	16	6	3	14	13	17	9	3	2	4	4	13	9	20	4	2
Total answering	623	284	101	119	87	318	216	81	370	243	494	115	49	46	26	104	254	220	505	58	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	214	96	34	41	38	109	68	34	107	102	176	37	16	13	10	40	95	64	195	7	5
	34.3	33.8	33.7	34.5	43.7	34.3	31.5	42.0	28.9	42.0	35.6	32.2	32.7	28.3	38.5	38.5	37.4	29.1	38.6	12.1	11.1
							h		Jj							r	r		TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	291	142	56	47	27	143	111	32	181	107	224	58	23	26	13	45	114	109	233	33	18
	46.7	50.0	55.4	39.5	31.0	45.0	51.4	39.5	48.9	44.0	45.3	50.4	46.9	56.5	50.0	43.3	44.9	49.5	46.1	56.9	40.0
		Ede	DEde				h													u	
3 Neither	44	16	4	12	9	22	14	8	29	15	38	6	3	2	1	8	17	17	29	4	10
	7.1	5.6	4.0	10.1	10.3	6.9	6.5	9.9	7.8	6.2	7.7	5.2	6.1	4.3	3.8	7.7	6.7	7.7	5.7	6.9	22.2
			de																Uu	Uu	
2 Somewhat disagree	59	20	5	18	11	35	21	3	41	16	48	8	2	4	2	8	25	22	40	12	7
	9.5	7.0	5.0	15.1	12.6	11.0	9.7	3.7	11.1	6.6	9.7	7.0	4.1	8.7	7.7	7.7	9.8	10.0	7.9	20.7	15.6
		Dd	Dde			Hh	Hh		Jj		m								Tt		
1 Strongly disagree	15	10	2	1	2	9	2	4	12	3	8	6	5	1		3	3	8	8	2	5
	2.4	3.5	2.0	0.8	2.3	2.8	0.9	4.9	3.2	1.2	1.6	5.2	10.2	2.2		2.9	1.2	3.6	1.6	3.4	11.1
		d				g			j		Olmo	Oo	Ono				r		Uu		
Agree (net)	505	238	90	88	65	252	179	66	288	209	400	95	39	39	23	85	209	173	428	40	23
	81.1	83.8	89.1	73.9	74.7	79.2	82.9	81.5	77.8	86.0	81.0	82.6	79.6	84.8	88.5	81.7	82.3	78.6	84.8	69.0	51.1
		Dde	DEde						Jj										TUtu	u	
Disagree (net)	74	30	7	19	13	44	23	7	53	19	56	14	7	5	2	11	28	30	48	14	12
	11.9	10.6	6.9	16.0	14.9	13.8	10.6	8.6	14.3	7.8	11.3	12.2	14.3	10.9	7.7	10.6	11.0	13.6	9.5	24.1	26.7
			Dde						Jj										TUtu		
Base for stats	623	284	101	119	87	318	216	81	370	243	494	115	49	46	26	104	254	220	505	58	45
Mean	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1	3.5	3.2
			d						Jj								r		TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 189

Continued

Table 95-1
Q2. The personal support I require is available in my community
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.00	1.00	0.86	1.06	1.12	1.05	0.92	1.05	1.04	0.91	0.98	1.06	1.21	0.93	0.83	1.01	0.97	1.04	0.94	1.05	1.18
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.14	0.18

Table 96-1

Q3. I have opportunities to do things with people my age

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	*****ANY*****					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
											WHITE (K)	MINRTRY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)						
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	30	14	5	4	6	16	9	3	18	12	21	8	3	1	4	3	15	11	23	4	2
Total answering	620	283	100	120	85	318	213	81	366	244	490	116	49	47	26	105	252	218	502	58	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	214	104	34	38	31	110	71	30	105	105	172	38	20	10	11	38	98	62	187	14	7
	34.5	36.7	34.0	31.7	36.5	34.6	33.3	37.0	28.7 Jj	43.0	35.1 Nn	32.8	40.8 Nn	21.3 o	42.3	36.2	38.9 Rr	28.4	37.3 TUtU	24.1	15.6
4 Somewhat agree	263	118	46	45	36	136	94	29	166	95	211	46	18	22	8	46	107	90	212	27	20
	42.4	41.7	46.0	37.5	42.4	42.8	44.1	35.8	45.4	38.9	43.1	39.7	36.7	46.8	30.8	43.8	42.5	41.3	42.2	46.6	44.4
3 Neither	55	23	8	12	9	32	15	7	37	17	44	10	2	8		7	18	25	34	6	10
	8.9	8.1	8.0	10.0	10.6	10.1	7.0	8.6	10.1	7.0	9.0 Oo	8.6 Oo	4.1 Nn	17.0 Oo		6.7	7.1	11.5	6.8 Uu	10.3	22.2
2 Somewhat disagree	73	27	10	24	8	31	28	14	47	23	57	13	4	6	3	11	27	34	57	11	5
	11.8	9.5 Dd	10.0 Dd	20.0 Ee	9.4	9.7 h	13.1	17.3	12.8	9.4	11.6	11.2	8.2	12.8	11.5	10.5	10.7	15.6	11.4	19.0	11.1
1 Strongly disagree	15	11	2	1	1	9	5	1	11	4	6	9	5	1	4	3	2	7	12		3
	2.4	3.9 Dde	2.0	0.8	1.2	2.8	2.3	1.2	3.0	1.6	1.2 LMOlmo	7.8 n	10.2 n	2.1 o	15.4	2.9	0.8 r	3.2	2.4 Tt		6.7 u
Agree (net)	477	222	80	83	67	246	165	59	271	200	383	84	38	32	19	84	205	152	399	41	27
	76.9	78.4 d	80.0 d	69.2	78.8	77.4	77.5	72.8	74.0 Jj	82.0	78.2	72.4	77.6	68.1	73.1	80.0 Rr	81.3 Rr	69.7	79.5 Uu	70.7	60.0
Disagree (net)	88	38	12	25	9	40	33	15	58	27	63	22	9	7	7	14	29	41	69	11	8
	14.2	13.4 d	12.0 d	20.8 Ee	10.6	12.6	15.5	18.5	15.8 j	11.1	12.9	19.0	18.4	14.9	26.9	13.3	11.5 Rr	18.8	13.7	19.0	17.8
Base for stats	620	283	100	120	85	318	213	81	366	244	490	116	49	47	26	105	252	218	502	58	45
Mean	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8 Jj	4.1	4.0 ln	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	4.0 r	4.1 Rr	3.8	4.0 Utu	3.8	3.5

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 191

Continued

Table 96-1
Q3. I have opportunities to do things with people my age
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.06	1.09	1.00	1.12	0.98	1.04	1.07	1.12	1.07	1.00	1.01	1.23	1.30	1.00	1.48	1.05	0.98	1.12	1.05	1.02	1.09
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.19	0.15	0.29	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.16

Table 97-1

Q4. My community acknowledges that I have different needs because of my developmental disability

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY *****ANY*****					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	30	13	5	4	7	18	7	3	17	13	21	8	3	1	4	4	16	9	24	3	2
Total answering	620	284	100	120	84	316	215	81	367	243	490	116	49	47	26	104	251	220	501	59	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	190	90	29	35	27	102	57	27	90	97	150	38	17	12	12	33	69	70	169	10	8
	30.6	31.7	29.0	29.2	32.1	32.3	26.5	33.3	24.5	39.9	30.6	32.8	34.7	25.5	46.2	31.7	27.5	31.8	33.7	16.9	17.8
									Jj					o					TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	264	120	43	55	31	123	105	33	157	102	211	47	18	23	9	40	109	96	216	24	17
	42.6	42.3	43.0	45.8	36.9	38.9	48.8	40.7	42.8	42.0	43.1	40.5	36.7	48.9	34.6	38.5	43.4	43.6	43.1	40.7	37.8
						Gg															
3 Neither	86	38	15	16	13	50	24	12	62	24	67	17	7	8	2	14	44	21	58	12	12
	13.9	13.4	15.0	13.3	15.5	15.8	11.2	14.8	16.9	9.9	13.7	14.7	14.3	17.0	7.7	13.5	17.5	9.5	11.6	20.3	26.7
									Jj								Rr		Uu		
2 Somewhat disagree	70	28	13	14	11	36	27	6	49	19	59	7	1	4	2	16	27	26	50	13	6
	11.3	9.9	13.0	11.7	13.1	11.4	12.6	7.4	13.4	7.8	12.0	6.0	2.0	8.5	7.7	15.4	10.8	11.8	10.0	22.0	13.3
									Jj		LMlm								Tt		
1 Strongly disagree	10	8			2	5	2	3	9	1	3	7	6		1	1	2	7	8		2
	1.6	2.8			2.4	1.6	0.9	3.7	2.5	0.4	0.6	6.0	12.2		3.8	1.0	0.8	3.2	1.6		4.4
		CDcd							Jj		LMLmn	Nn	Nn				r		Tt		
Agree (net)	454	210	72	90	58	225	162	60	247	199	361	85	35	35	21	73	178	166	385	34	25
	73.2	73.9	72.0	75.0	69.0	71.2	75.3	74.1	67.3	81.9	73.7	73.3	71.4	74.5	80.8	70.2	70.9	75.5	76.8	57.6	55.6
									Jj										TUtu		
Disagree (net)	80	36	13	14	13	41	29	9	58	20	62	14	7	4	3	17	29	33	58	13	8
	12.9	12.7	13.0	11.7	15.5	13.0	13.5	11.1	15.8	8.2	12.7	12.1	14.3	8.5	11.5	16.3	11.6	15.0	11.6	22.0	17.8
									Jj										t		
Base for stats	620	284	100	120	84	316	215	81	367	243	490	116	49	47	26	104	251	220	501	59	45
Mean	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.7	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.5	3.5
									Jj										TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 193

Continued

Table 97-1
Q4. My community acknowledges that I have different needs because of my developmental disability
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT		
	TOTAL {A}	HENN {B}	RAMSEY {C}	DAKOTA {D}	OTHER {E}	<1 {F}	1-2 {G}	>2 {H}	<19 {I}	19+ {J}	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.02	1.04	0.97	0.94	1.09	1.03	0.97	1.05	1.05	0.91	0.99	1.12	1.28	0.87	1.09	1.06	0.97	1.08	1.00	1.01	1.07
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.18	0.13	0.21	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.16

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 194

Table 98-1

Q5. My rights to equality are acknowledged by my community

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	*****ANY*****					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
											WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)						
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	33	14	7	4	6	18	10	3	20	13	21	11	5	1	5	5	15	12	26	4	2
Total answering	617	283	98	120	85	316	212	81	364	243	490	113	47	47	25	103	252	217	499	58	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	170	80	24	31	28	93	45	30	85	82	137	31	16	7	10	33	69	55	153	6	7
	27.6	28.3	24.5	25.8	32.9	29.4	21.2	37.0	23.4	33.7	28.0	27.4	34.0	14.9	40.0	32.0	27.4	25.3	30.7	10.3	15.6
						Gg	Hh		Jj		Nn	n	Nn	Oo					TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	252	121	42	46	28	122	95	30	147	102	203	43	14	25	7	41	97	90	206	21	16
	40.8	42.8	42.9	38.3	32.9	38.6	44.8	37.0	40.4	42.0	41.4	38.1	29.8	53.2	28.0	39.8	38.5	41.5	41.3	36.2	35.6
		e									m	n	Nn	Oo							
3 Neither	118	50	18	24	20	66	37	14	77	40	93	24	10	12	3	15	58	40	87	19	10
	19.1	17.7	18.4	20.0	23.5	20.9	17.5	17.3	21.2	16.5	19.0	21.2	21.3	25.5	12.0	14.6	23.0	18.4	17.4	32.8	22.2
															q				Tt		
2 Somewhat disagree	66	23	13	18	9	29	34	3	46	18	53	9	2	3	4	13	26	24	45	12	9
	10.7	8.1	13.3	15.0	10.6	9.2	16.0	3.7	12.6	7.4	10.8	8.0	4.3	6.4	16.0	12.6	10.3	11.1	9.0	20.7	20.0
		d				GHgh	Hh		Jj		Mm								Ttu		
1 Strongly disagree	11	9	1	1		6	1	4	9	1	4	6	5		1	1	2	8	8		3
	1.8	3.2	1.0	0.8		1.9	0.5	4.9	2.5	0.4	0.8	5.3	10.6		4.0	1.0	0.8	3.7	1.6		6.7
		Ede					h		Jj		LMNlmn	Nn	Nn			r	Rr		Tt	u	
Agree (net)	422	201	66	77	56	215	140	60	232	184	340	74	30	32	17	74	166	145	359	27	23
	68.4	71.0	67.3	64.2	65.9	68.0	66.0	74.1	63.7	75.7	69.4	65.5	63.8	68.1	68.0	71.8	65.9	66.8	71.9	46.6	51.1
									Jj										TUtu		
Disagree (net)	77	32	14	19	9	35	35	7	55	19	57	15	7	3	5	14	28	32	53	12	12
	12.5	11.3	14.3	15.8	10.6	11.1	16.5	8.6	15.1	7.8	11.6	13.3	14.9	6.4	20.0	13.6	11.1	14.7	10.6	20.7	26.7
						g	h		Jj										Utu		
Base for stats	617	283	98	120	85	316	212	81	364	243	490	113	47	47	25	103	252	217	499	58	45
Mean	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.7	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.4	3.3
							Hh		Jj										TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 195

Continued

Table 98-1
Q5. My rights to equality are acknowledged by my community
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY *****ANY*****					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.01	1.02	1.00	1.03	0.99	1.01	0.99	1.07	1.04	0.91	0.98	1.10	1.27	0.78	1.22	1.02	0.98	1.07	0.99	0.92	1.15
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.18	0.11	0.24	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.12	0.17

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 196

Table 99-1

Q6. I have opportunities to develop meaningful relationships with people who do not have developmental disabilities

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	27	13	5	4	4	15	7	3	15	12	18	8	3	1	4	3	13	10	20	4	2
Total answering	623	284	100	120	87	319	215	81	369	244	493	116	49	47	26	105	254	219	505	58	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	190	90	29	28	34	94	66	28	93	94	148	38	21	10	9	37	78	60	166	7	9
	30.5	31.7	29.0	23.3	39.1	29.5	30.7	34.6	25.2	38.5	30.0	32.8	42.9	21.3	34.6	35.2	30.7	27.4	32.9	12.1	20.0
		d		Ee					Jj		m		Nn						TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	274	118	53	55	32	141	96	33	173	96	221	47	16	24	11	45	111	98	219	30	21
	44.0	41.5	53.0	45.8	36.8	44.2	44.7	40.7	46.9	39.3	44.8	40.5	32.7	51.1	42.3	42.9	43.7	44.7	43.4	51.7	46.7
		Cc	Ee						j		m		n								
3 Neither	70	30	9	14	14	43	21	5	48	21	55	14	5	8	1	9	30	24	52	9	7
	11.2	10.6	9.0	11.7	16.1	13.5	9.8	6.2	13.0	8.6	11.2	12.1	10.2	17.0	3.8	8.6	11.8	11.0	10.3	15.5	15.6
						Hh			j		o	o		o							
2 Somewhat disagree	63	29	7	19	5	30	22	10	35	28	51	9	1	5	3	9	30	23	49	10	3
	10.1	10.2	7.0	15.8	5.7	9.4	10.2	12.3	9.5	11.5	10.3	7.8	2.0	10.6	11.5	8.6	11.8	10.5	9.7	17.2	6.7
			Dd	Ee							Mm	m	n							u	
1 Strongly disagree	26	17	2	4	2	11	10	5	20	5	18	8	6		2	5	5	14	19	2	5
	4.2	6.0	2.0	3.3	2.3	3.4	4.7	6.2	5.4	2.0	3.7	6.9	12.2		7.7	4.8	2.0	6.4	3.8	3.4	11.1
		Cce							Jj		Nnn	Nn	Nn				Rr				
Agree (net)	464	208	82	83	66	235	162	61	266	190	369	85	37	34	20	82	189	158	385	37	30
	74.5	73.2	82.0	69.2	75.9	73.7	75.3	75.3	72.1	77.9	74.8	73.3	75.5	72.3	76.9	78.1	74.4	72.1	76.2	63.8	66.7
		c	Dd																t		
Disagree (net)	89	46	9	23	7	41	32	15	55	33	69	17	7	5	5	14	35	37	68	12	8
	14.3	16.2	9.0	19.2	8.0	12.9	14.9	18.5	14.9	13.5	14.0	14.7	14.3	10.6	19.2	13.3	13.8	16.9	13.5	20.7	17.8
		CEce	Dd	Ee																	
Base for stats	623	284	100	120	87	319	215	81	369	244	493	116	49	47	26	105	254	219	505	58	45
Mean	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.5	3.6
			Dd	Ee					Jj										TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 197

Continued

Table 99-1
Q6. I have opportunities to develop meaningful relationships with people who do not have developmental disabilities

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	*****ANY*****																				
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	SOME- HIGH (S)	WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Std dev	1.09	1.16	0.92	1.09	0.99	1.05	1.10	1.20	1.09	1.06	1.07	1.16	1.31	0.88	1.23	1.10	1.03	1.15	1.07	1.02	1.20
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.19	0.13	0.24	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.18

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 198

Table 100-1

Q7. I feel comfortable going outside of my immediate community

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	29	13	4	5	6	16	8	3	18	11	20	8	3	1	4	4	15	9	22	4	2
Total answering	621	284	101	119	85	318	214	81	366	245	491	116	49	47	26	104	252	220	503	58	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	204	94	31	40	33	92	75	36	117	85	159	40	23	12	8	38	73	79	180	7	11
	32.9	33.1	30.7	33.6	38.8	28.9	35.0	44.4	32.0	34.7	32.4	34.5	46.9	25.5	30.8	36.5	29.0	35.9	35.8	12.1	24.4
						Hh					m		Nn						Ttu		
4 Somewhat agree	248	111	44	47	30	135	80	29	137	110	192	50	16	23	14	45	105	79	194	31	16
	39.9	39.1	43.6	39.5	35.3	42.5	37.4	35.8	37.4	44.9	39.1	43.1	32.7	48.9	53.8	43.3	41.7	35.9	38.6	53.4	35.6
									j				o						Tt	u	
3 Neither	93	42	14	15	16	47	34	11	70	21	78	14	6	5	3	12	41	35	73	8	11
	15.0	14.8	13.9	12.6	18.8	14.8	15.9	13.6	19.1	8.6	15.9	12.1	12.2	10.6	11.5	11.5	16.3	15.9	14.5	13.8	24.4
									Jj												
2 Somewhat disagree	58	27	9	13	5	30	21	5	31	23	50	6	1	5		6	29	18	44	8	5
	9.3	9.5	8.9	10.9	5.9	9.4	9.8	6.2	8.5	9.4	10.2	5.2	2.0	10.6		5.8	11.5	8.2	8.7	13.8	11.1
											LMOLmo	Oo	n	Oo		q					
1 Strongly disagree	18	10	3	4	1	14	4		11	6	12	6	3	2	1	3	4	9	12	4	2
	2.9	3.5	3.0	3.4	1.2	4.4	1.9		3.0	2.4	2.4	5.2	6.1	4.3	3.8	2.9	1.6	4.1	2.4	6.9	4.4
						Hqh	Hh														
Agree (net)	452	205	75	87	63	227	155	65	254	195	351	90	39	35	22	83	178	158	374	38	27
	72.8	72.2	74.3	73.1	74.1	71.4	72.4	80.2	69.4	79.6	71.5	77.6	79.6	74.5	84.6	79.8	70.6	71.8	74.4	65.5	60.0
						h			Jj		o					q			u		
Disagree (net)	76	37	12	17	6	44	25	5	42	29	62	12	4	7	1	9	33	27	56	12	7
	12.2	13.0	11.9	14.3	7.1	13.8	11.7	6.2	11.5	11.8	12.6	10.3	8.2	14.9	3.8	8.7	13.1	12.3	11.1	20.7	15.6
		e		e		Hh					Oo			o					t		
Base for stats	621	284	101	119	85	318	214	81	366	245	491	116	49	47	26	104	252	220	503	58	45
Mean	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.9	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.8	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.5	3.6
						Hh	h									q			TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 199

Continued

Table 100-1
Q7. I feel comfortable going outside of my immediate community

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	
Std dev	1.05	1.08	1.03	1.09	0.96	1.09	1.03	0.89	1.05	1.01	1.05	1.07	1.10	1.06	0.87	0.98	1.02	1.10	1.03	1.09	1.10
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.14	0.16

Table 101-1
Q8. I am satisfied with my current level of integration

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	=====					=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	30	13	6	4	5	16	9	3	18	12	20	9	4	1	4	4	15	10	23	4	2
Total answering	620	284	99	120	86	318	213	81	366	244	491	115	48	47	26	104	252	219	502	58	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	174	79	23	38	29	88	56	29	82	89	143	30	16	7	8	32	81	52	156	7	4
	28.1	27.8	23.2	31.7	33.7	27.7	26.3	35.8	22.4	36.5	29.1	26.1	33.3	14.9	30.8	30.8	32.1	23.7	31.1	12.1	8.9
									Jj		Nn	n	Nn				Rr		TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	269	131	48	45	29	131	95	36	154	112	210	51	16	28	9	50	96	96	219	26	18
	43.4	46.1	48.5	37.5	33.7	41.2	44.6	44.4	42.1	45.9	42.8	44.3	33.3	59.6	34.6	48.1	38.1	43.8	43.6	44.8	40.0
		Ee	Ee								Nn	n	Nn	Oo		q					
3 Neither	96	38	19	19	15	52	36	8	73	22	72	23	11	9	5	11	42	38	69	11	14
	15.5	13.4	19.2	15.8	17.4	16.4	16.9	9.9	19.9	9.0	14.7	20.0	22.9	19.1	19.2	10.6	16.7	17.4	13.7	19.0	31.1
						h	h		Jj							r			Uu		
2 Somewhat disagree	65	25	9	16	11	38	22	5	47	16	54	7	2	3	3	8	30	25	49	13	3
	10.5	8.8	9.1	13.3	12.8	11.9	10.3	6.2	12.8	6.6	11.0	6.1	4.2	6.4	11.5	7.7	11.9	11.4	9.8	22.4	6.7
						h			Jj		Mlm								Tt	Uu	
1 Strongly disagree	16	11		2	2	9	4	3	10	5	12	4	3		1	3	3	8	9	1	6
	2.6	3.9		1.7	2.3	2.8	1.9	3.7	2.7	2.0	2.4	3.5	6.3		3.8	2.9	1.2	3.7	1.8	1.7	13.3
		Cc									Nn	Nn	n			r			Uu	Uu	
Agree (net)	443	210	71	83	58	219	151	65	236	201	353	81	32	35	17	82	177	148	375	33	22
	71.5	73.9	71.7	69.2	67.4	68.9	70.9	80.2	64.5	82.4	71.9	70.4	66.7	74.5	65.4	78.8	70.2	67.6	74.7	56.9	48.9
						Hh	h		Jj							Rqr			TUtu		
Disagree (net)	81	36	9	18	13	47	26	8	57	21	66	11	5	3	4	11	33	33	58	14	9
	13.1	12.7	9.1	15.0	15.1	14.8	12.2	9.9	15.6	8.6	13.4	9.6	10.4	6.4	15.4	10.6	13.1	15.1	11.6	24.1	20.0
									Jj		n								Tt		
Base for stats	620	284	99	120	86	318	213	81	366	244	491	115	48	47	26	104	252	219	502	58	45
Mean	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.7	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.4	3.2
						h			Jj							r			TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
 Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
 Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 201
 Continued

Table 101-1
Q8. I am satisfied with my current level of integration
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.03	1.04	0.88	1.06	1.10	1.06	0.99	1.02	1.04	0.95	1.04	1.00	1.12	0.75	1.12	0.99	1.03	1.06	1.00	1.02	1.14
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.11	0.22	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.17

Table 102-1
Q1. Community organizations make an effort to include me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY *****ANY*****					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	32	11	9	7	4	21	6	3	18	14	24	7	1	2	4	8	14	9	26	3	1
Total answering	618	286	96	117	87	313	216	81	366	242	487	117	51	46	26	100	253	220	499	59	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	114	56	19	13	18	63	34	16	54	57	89	24	12	8	5	25	53	26	100	8	4
	18.4	19.6	19.8	11.1	20.7	20.1	15.7	19.8	14.8	23.6	18.3	20.5	23.5	17.4	19.2	25.0	20.9	11.8	20.0	13.6	8.7
		Dd	d	e					Jj							Rr	Rr		Uu		
4 Somewhat agree	266	122	40	56	34	123	101	35	161	103	211	52	20	24	13	42	99	101	224	22	11
	43.0	42.7	41.7	47.9	39.1	39.3	46.8	43.2	44.0	42.6	43.3	44.4	39.2	52.2	50.0	42.0	39.1	45.9	44.9	37.3	23.9
						g													Uu		
3 Neither	120	48	25	24	20	66	39	15	81	38	96	19	8	7	4	15	52	48	87	14	18
	19.4	16.8	26.0	20.5	23.0	21.1	18.1	18.5	22.1	15.7	19.7	16.2	15.7	15.2	15.4	15.0	20.6	21.8	17.4	23.7	39.1
		c							Jj										Uu	u	
2 Somewhat disagree	85	41	10	19	9	44	32	9	49	35	70	12	5	5	2	12	41	27	65	9	9
	13.8	14.3	10.4	16.2	10.3	14.1	14.8	11.1	13.4	14.5	14.4	10.3	9.8	10.9	7.7	12.0	16.2	12.3	13.0	15.3	19.6
1 Strongly disagree	33	19	2	5	6	17	10	6	21	9	21	10	6	2	2	6	8	18	23	6	4
	5.3	6.6	2.1	4.3	6.9	5.4	4.6	7.4	5.7	3.7	4.3	8.5	11.8	4.3	7.7	6.0	3.2	8.2	4.6	10.2	8.7
		Cc															Rr				
Agree (net)	380	178	59	69	52	186	135	51	215	160	300	76	32	32	18	67	152	127	324	30	15
	61.5	62.2	61.5	59.0	59.8	59.4	62.5	63.0	58.7	66.1	61.6	65.0	62.7	69.6	69.2	67.0	60.1	57.7	64.9	50.8	32.6
									j										TUtu	u	
Disagree (net)	118	60	12	24	15	61	42	15	70	44	91	22	11	7	4	18	49	45	88	15	13
	19.1	21.0	12.5	20.5	17.2	19.5	19.4	18.5	19.1	18.2	18.7	18.8	21.6	15.2	15.4	18.0	19.4	20.5	17.6	25.4	28.3
		Cc																			
Base for stats	618	286	96	117	87	313	216	81	366	242	487	117	51	46	26	100	253	220	499	59	46
Mean	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.3	3.0
									Jj							Rr	r		TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 203
Continued

Table 102-1
Q1. Community organizations make an effort to include me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	-----					-----			-----		*****ANY*****					-----			-----		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.10	1.15	0.98	1.03	1.13	1.12	1.07	1.14	1.08	1.10	1.08	1.17	1.27	1.02	1.11	1.15	1.08	1.10	1.08	1.18	1.06
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.18	0.15	0.22	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.15	0.16

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 204

Table 103-1
Q2. People without a disability treat me as an equal
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	30	9	9	5	6	17	7	4	17	13	24	5	1	1	3	6	14	9	25	2	1
Total answering	620 100.0	288 100.0	96 100.0	119 100.0	85 100.0	317 100.0	215 100.0	80 100.0	367 100.0	243 100.0	487 100.0	119 100.0	51 100.0	47 100.0	27 100.0	102 100.0	253 100.0	220 100.0	500 100.0	60 100.0	46 100.0
5 Strongly agree	110 17.7	59 20.5 Dd	16 16.7	12 10.1 e	17 20.0	61 19.2 g	29 13.5 h	19 23.8	45 12.3 Jj	62 25.5	77 15.8 LMlm	29 24.4	15 29.4	11 23.4	4 14.8	24 23.5 r	42 16.6	32 14.5	94 18.8	7 11.7	7 15.2
4 Somewhat agree	260 41.9	113 39.2	42 43.8	49 41.2	39 45.9	125 39.4	98 45.6	32 40.0	151 41.1	105 43.2	203 41.7	51 42.9	23 45.1	21 44.7	11 40.7	40 39.2	117 46.2 r	83 37.7	214 42.8	21 35.0	15 32.6
3 Neither	91 14.7	37 12.8	18 18.8	18 15.1	13 15.3	46 14.5	33 15.3	11 13.8	66 18.0 Jj	25 10.3	73 15.0 Mm	16 13.4 Mm	2 3.9 Nn	11 23.4	4 14.8	13 12.7	39 15.4	35 15.9	67 13.4	12 20.0	10 21.7
2 Somewhat disagree	122 19.7	57 19.8 Dd	14 14.6 Dd	35 29.4 Ee	12 14.1	72 22.7 Hh	39 18.1	10 12.5	79 21.5	42 17.3	108 22.2 LMNlmn	14 11.8	6 11.8	3 6.4	5 18.5	20 19.6	47 18.6	47 21.4	97 19.4	16 26.7	9 19.6
1 Strongly disagree	37 6.0	22 7.6	6 6.3	5 4.2	4 4.7	13 4.1 h	16 7.4	8 10.0	26 7.1 j	9 3.7	26 5.3	9 7.6 n	5 9.8	1 2.1	3 11.1	5 4.9 r	8 3.2 Rr	23 10.5	28 5.6	4 6.7	5 10.9
Agree (net)	370 59.7	172 59.7	58 60.4	61 51.3 Ee	56 65.9	186 58.7	127 59.1	51 63.8	196 53.4 Jj	167 68.7	280 57.5 LMlm	80 67.2	38 74.5 o	32 68.1	15 55.6	64 62.7 r	159 62.8 Rr	115 52.3	308 61.6 Ttu	28 46.7	22 47.8
Disagree (net)	159 25.6	79 27.4 e	20 20.8 Dd	40 33.6 Ee	16 18.8	85 26.8	55 25.6	18 22.5	105 28.6 Jj	51 21.0	134 27.5 LNln	23 19.3 Nn	11 21.6 n	4 8.5 Oo	8 29.6	25 24.5 Rr	55 21.7 Rr	70 31.8	125 25.0	20 33.3	14 30.4
Base for stats	620	288	96	119	85	317	215	80	367	243	487	119	51	47	27	102	253	220	500	60	46
Mean	3.5	3.5 d	3.5 d	3.2 Ee	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.3 Jj	3.7	3.4 LNlmn	3.6	3.7	3.8 o	3.3	3.6 Rr	3.5 Rr	3.2	3.5 Tt	3.2	3.2

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 205
Continued

Table 103-1
Q2. People without a disability treat me as an equal

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	=====					=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTRY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.16	1.23	1.12	1.11	1.10	1.16	1.15	1.25	1.14	1.14	1.15	1.19	1.27	0.94	1.24	1.18	1.07	1.24	1.16	1.15	1.23
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.08	0.14	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.18	0.14	0.24	0.12	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.15	0.18

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 206

Table 104-1
Q3. People see beyond my disability and try to get to know me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	*****ANY*****					MILD	MOD	SEVERE	SOME- NETHR/		
											ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER				HIGH	WHAT	NOT
											(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)				(F)	(G)	(H)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	33	10	9	5	8	20	7	4	19	14	27	5	1	1	3	6	15	11	28	2	1
Total answering	617	287	96	119	83	314	215	80	365	242	484	119	51	47	27	102	252	218	497	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	119	59	18	17	15	64	32	21	54	62	89	26	17	5	7	23	48	37	102	5	7
	19.3	20.6	18.8	14.3	18.1	20.4	14.9	26.3	14.8	25.6	18.4	21.8	33.3	10.6	25.9	22.5	19.0	17.0	20.5	8.3	15.2
						g	Hh		Jj		Mm	n	Nn						Tt		
4 Somewhat agree	265	125	49	38	39	133	100	29	155	106	210	50	21	23	8	43	109	89	222	23	17
	42.9	43.6	51.0	31.9	47.0	42.4	46.5	36.3	42.5	43.8	43.4	42.0	41.2	48.9	29.6	42.2	43.3	40.8	44.7	38.3	37.0
		Dd	Dd	Ee										o							
3 Neither	87	35	12	21	16	45	28	12	56	31	67	18	3	10	6	13	37	33	62	12	8
	14.1	12.2	12.5	17.6	19.3	14.3	13.0	15.0	15.3	12.8	13.8	15.1	5.9	21.3	22.2	12.7	14.7	15.1	12.5	20.0	17.4
											Mm	Mm	Nno								
2 Somewhat disagree	120	51	14	39	11	62	46	11	78	40	102	16	5	8	3	17	53	44	93	16	10
	19.4	17.8	14.6	32.8	13.3	19.7	21.4	13.8	21.4	16.5	21.1	13.4	9.8	17.0	11.1	16.7	21.0	20.2	18.7	26.7	21.7
		Dd	Dd	Ee							LMlm										
1 Strongly disagree	26	17	3	4	2	10	9	7	22	3	16	9	5	1	3	6	5	15	18	4	4
	4.2	5.9	3.1	3.4	2.4	3.2	4.2	8.8	6.0	1.2	3.3	7.6	9.8	2.1	11.1	5.9	2.0	6.9	3.6	6.7	8.7
						h			Jj		l	n					Rr				
Agree (net)	384	184	67	55	54	197	132	50	209	168	299	76	38	28	15	66	157	126	324	28	24
	62.2	64.1	69.8	46.2	65.1	62.7	61.4	62.5	57.3	69.4	61.8	63.9	74.5	59.6	55.6	64.7	62.3	57.8	65.2	46.7	52.2
		Dd	Dd	Ee					Jj		m		o						Ttu		
Disagree (net)	146	68	17	43	13	72	55	18	100	43	118	25	10	9	6	23	58	59	111	20	14
	23.7	23.7	17.7	36.1	15.7	22.9	25.6	22.5	27.4	17.8	24.4	21.0	19.6	19.1	22.2	22.5	23.0	27.1	22.3	33.3	30.4
		Dde	Dd	Ee					Jj										t		
Base for stats	617	287	96	119	83	314	215	80	365	242	484	119	51	47	27	102	252	218	497	60	46
Mean	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.2	3.3
		Dd	Dd	Ee					Jj										Ttu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 207
Continued

Table 104-1
Q3. People see beyond my disability and try to get to know me
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE	ETHNIC					MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME-	NETHR/
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER					WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.13	1.17	1.04	1.14	1.00	1.11	1.11	1.25	1.15	1.05	1.11	1.19	1.27	0.96	1.29	1.17	1.08	1.18	1.11	1.11	1.21
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.14	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.18	0.14	0.25	0.12	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.14	0.18

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 208

Table 105-1
Q4. People treat me with respect

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	29	11	6	6	5	17	7	3	16	13	22	6	1	2	3	7	14	7	24	3	1
Total answering	621	286	99	118	86	317	215	81	368	243	489	118	51	46	27	101	253	222	501	59	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	192	95	27	27	30	105	53	30	91	98	146	41	26	8	10	38	71	67	168	8	10
	30.9	33.2	27.3	22.9	34.9	33.1	24.7	37.0	24.7	40.3	29.9	34.7	51.0	17.4	37.0	37.6	28.1	30.2	33.5	13.6	21.7
		Dd		e		Gg	Hh		Jj		MNmnn	Nmnn	Nn	o		q			Ttu		
4 Somewhat agree	292	131	52	57	38	145	110	33	181	107	230	58	18	33	10	42	129	98	229	29	27
	47.0	45.8	52.5	48.3	44.2	45.7	51.2	40.7	49.2	44.0	47.0	49.2	35.3	71.7	37.0	41.6	51.0	44.1	45.7	49.2	58.7
											Nmn	Nmn	Nn	Oo					u		
3 Neither	79	34	13	19	10	39	33	7	57	21	68	9	3	4	2	10	34	31	60	12	5
	12.7	11.9	13.1	16.1	11.6	12.3	15.3	8.6	15.5	8.6	13.9	7.6	5.9	8.7	7.4	9.9	13.4	14.0	12.0	20.3	10.9
							h		Jj		LMlm										
2 Somewhat disagree	47	18	7	13	7	24	15	8	31	15	40	5		1	4	8	19	18	36	9	2
	7.6	6.3	7.1	11.0	8.1	7.6	7.0	9.9	8.4	6.2	8.2	4.2		2.2	14.8	7.9	7.5	8.1	7.2	15.3	4.3
											MNlmn	Mm	Oo	o					t	u	
1 Strongly disagree	11	8		2	1	4	4	3	8	2	5	5	4		1	3		8	8	1	2
	1.8	2.8		1.7	1.2	1.3	1.9	3.7	2.2	0.8	1.0	4.2	7.8		3.7	3.0		3.6	1.6	1.7	4.3
		Cc									Nlmn	Nn	Nn			q	Rr				
Agree (net)	484	226	79	84	68	250	163	63	272	205	376	99	44	41	20	80	200	165	397	37	37
	77.9	79.0	79.8	71.2	79.1	78.9	75.8	77.8	73.9	84.4	76.9	83.9	86.3	89.1	74.1	79.2	79.1	74.3	79.2	62.7	80.4
									Jj		Nlmn								Tt	Uu	
Disagree (net)	58	26	7	15	8	28	19	11	39	17	45	10	4	1	5	11	19	26	44	10	4
	9.3	9.1	7.1	12.7	9.3	8.8	8.8	13.6	10.6	7.0	9.2	8.5	7.8	2.2	18.5	10.9	7.5	11.7	8.8	16.9	8.7
											Nn	n		Oo							
Base for stats	621	286	99	118	86	317	215	81	368	243	489	118	51	46	27	101	253	222	501	59	46
Mean	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.9
		d		e					Jj										Tt	u	

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 209

Continued

Table 105-1
Q4. People treat me with respect
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL		
											*****ANY*****								SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Std dev	0.95	0.98	0.83	0.97	0.95	0.93	0.91	1.09	0.96	0.88	0.93	0.99	1.11	0.59	1.17	1.03	0.85	1.04	0.94	0.96	0.94
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.16	0.09	0.22	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.14

Table 106-1
Q5. I am included in conversations
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	29	9	7	6	6	15	8	4	16	13	21	7	1	3	3	5	14	9	22	4	1
Total answering	621	288	98	118	85	319	214	80	368	243	490	117	51	45	27	103	253	220	503	58	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	145	71	17	29	20	67	47	28	65	78	112	31	17	7	8	31	58	46	126	9	5
	23.3	24.7	17.3	24.6	23.5	21.0 Hh	22.0 Hh	35.0	17.7 Jj	32.1	22.9	26.5	33.3 Nn	15.6	29.6	30.1 r	22.9	20.9	25.0 Utu	15.5	10.9
4 Somewhat agree	279	135	52	36	41	155	90	30	159	114	223	49	24	21	9	43	128	86	220	29	25
	44.9	46.9 Dd	53.1 Dd	30.5 Ee	48.2	48.6 h	42.1	37.5	43.2	46.9	45.5	41.9	47.1	46.7	33.3	41.7	50.6 Rr	39.1	43.7	50.0	54.3
3 Neither	91	30	17	24	14	49	33	9	68	23	69	20	5	10	5	16	35	34	73	8	7
	14.7	10.4 Dd	17.3	20.3	16.5	15.4	15.4	11.3	18.5 Jj	9.5	14.1	17.1	9.8 n	22.2	18.5	15.5	13.8	15.5	14.5	13.8	15.2
2 Somewhat disagree	83	35	12	25	8	36	37	9	58	25	67	14	3	7	4	10	28	38	66	9	7
	13.4	12.2 Dd	12.2 d	21.2 Ee	9.4	11.3 g	17.3	11.3	15.8 Jj	10.3	13.7 Mm	12.0	5.9	15.6	14.8	9.7 r	11.1 r	17.3	13.1	15.5	15.2
1 Strongly disagree	23	17		4	2	12	7	4	18	3	19	3	2		1	3	4	16	18	3	2
	3.7	5.9 Cc		3.4 Dd	2.4	3.8	3.3	5.0	4.9 Jj	1.2	3.9 Nn	2.6 n	3.9		3.7	2.9 r	1.6 Rr	7.3	3.6	5.2	4.3
Agree (net)	424	206	69	65	61	222	137	58	224	192	335	80	41	28	17	74	186	132	346	38	30
	68.3	71.5 Dd	70.4 Dd	55.1 Ee	71.8	69.6	64.0	72.5	60.9 Jj	79.0	68.4 Mm	68.4 m	80.4 Nn	62.2	63.0	71.8 Rr	73.5 Rr	60.0	68.8	65.5	65.2
Disagree (net)	106	52	12	29	10	48	44	13	76	28	86	17	5	7	5	13	32	54	84	12	9
	17.1	18.1	12.2 Dd	24.6 Ee	11.8	15.0	20.6	16.3	20.7 Jj	11.5	17.6 m	14.5	9.8	15.6	18.5	12.6 Rr	12.6 Rr	24.5	16.7	20.7	19.6
Base for stats	621	288	98	118	85	319	214	80	368	243	490	117	51	45	27	103	253	220	503	58	46
Mean	3.7	3.7	3.8 d	3.5 e	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.5 Jj	4.0	3.7 m	3.8	4.0 n	3.6	3.7	3.9 Rr	3.8 Rr	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.5

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 211
Continued

Table 106-1
Q5. I am included in conversations

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	=====					=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.08	1.14	0.88	1.17	0.98	1.04	1.10	1.16	1.10	0.97	1.08	1.05	1.01	0.93	1.15	1.04	0.96	1.20	1.08	1.09	1.02
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.13	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.22	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.14	0.15

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 212

Table 107-1
Q6. I have friends who do not have developmental disabilities
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	29	10	8	5	5	16	8	3	17	12	22	6	1	1	4	4	14	10	24	3	1
Total answering	621	287	97	119	86	318	214	81	367	244	489	118	51	47	26	104	253	219	501	59	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	189	85	27	31	35	94	59	34	96	91	150	34	20	7	10	39	67	71	166	9	10
	30.4	29.6	27.8	26.1	40.7	29.6	27.6	42.0	26.2	37.3	30.7	28.8	39.2	14.9	38.5	37.5	26.5	32.4	33.1	15.3	21.7
		e	e	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj		Nn	Nn	Nn	Oo		Qq			Ttu		
4 Somewhat agree	237	107	48	41	31	121	85	26	146	85	185	48	18	25	8	39	98	82	184	25	20
	38.2	37.3	49.5	34.5	36.0	38.1	39.7	32.1	39.8	34.8	37.8	40.7	35.3	53.2	30.8	37.5	38.7	37.4	36.7	42.4	43.5
		Cc	Dde								Nn		n	o							
3 Neither	77	38	8	18	9	40	25	11	42	35	61	14	5	6	3	11	37	20	61	7	6
	12.4	13.2	8.2	15.1	10.5	12.6	11.7	13.6	11.4	14.3	12.5	11.9	9.8	12.8	11.5	10.6	14.6	9.1	12.2	11.9	13.0
																	r				
2 Somewhat disagree	86	39	12	19	10	46	33	7	61	25	68	17	6	8	3	10	43	28	67	11	8
	13.8	13.6	12.4	16.0	11.6	14.5	15.4	8.6	16.6	10.2	13.9	14.4	11.8	17.0	11.5	9.6	17.0	12.8	13.4	18.6	17.4
							h		Jj							Qq					
1 Strongly disagree	32	18	2	10	1	17	12	3	22	8	25	5	2	1	2	5	8	18	23	7	2
	5.2	6.3	2.1	8.4	1.2	5.3	5.6	3.7	6.0	3.3	5.1	4.2	3.9	2.1	7.7	4.8	3.2	8.2	4.6	11.9	4.3
		CEce	Dd	Ee													Rr		t		
Agree (net)	426	192	75	72	66	215	144	60	242	176	335	82	38	32	18	78	165	153	350	34	30
	68.6	66.9	77.3	60.5	76.7	67.6	67.3	74.1	65.9	72.1	68.5	69.5	74.5	68.1	69.2	75.0	65.2	69.9	69.9	57.6	65.2
		Cce	Dd	Ee												q			t		
Disagree (net)	118	57	14	29	11	63	45	10	83	33	93	22	8	9	5	15	51	46	90	18	10
	19.0	19.9	14.4	24.4	12.8	19.8	21.0	12.3	22.6	13.5	19.0	18.6	15.7	19.1	19.2	14.4	20.2	21.0	18.0	30.5	21.7
			d	Ee		h	h		Jj										Tt		
Base for stats	621	287	97	119	86	318	214	81	367	244	489	118	51	47	26	104	253	219	501	59	46
Mean	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.5	4.0	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.3	3.6
		Ee	Dd	Ee		h	Hh		Jj							q			Tt		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 213
Continued

Table 107-1
Q6. I have friends who do not have developmental disabilities
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.18	1.20	1.01	1.26	1.04	1.18	1.19	1.11	1.20	1.10	1.18	1.14	1.14	1.00	1.27	1.14	1.13	1.26	1.17	1.27	1.13
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.16	0.15	0.25	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.16	0.17

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 214

Table 108-1
Q7. I am satisfied with my level of inclusion in society
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	33	12	9	6	5	20	8	3	19	14	24	8	1	2	5	7	14	11	28	3	1
Total answering	617	285	96	118	86	314	214	81	365	242	487	116	51	46	25	101	253	218	497	59	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	124	60	12	20	23	60	38	23	49	72	101	21	12	3	6	24	47	40	110	5	4
	20.1	21.1	12.5	16.9	26.7	19.1	17.8	28.4	13.4	29.8	20.7	18.1	23.5	6.5	24.0	23.8	18.6	18.3	22.1	8.5	8.7
		Cc	Ee	e		h	h		Jj		Nn	Nn	Nn	o					TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	272	120	51	52	37	143	91	35	157	111	216	51	21	25	6	47	119	83	219	26	20
	44.1	42.1	53.1	44.1	43.0	45.5	42.5	43.2	43.0	45.9	44.4	44.0	41.2	54.3	24.0	46.5	47.0	38.1	44.1	44.1	43.5
		c									Oo	Oo		Oo			r				
3 Neither	104	46	16	20	15	49	44	10	75	28	82	20	10	8	6	11	46	42	79	12	10
	16.9	16.1	16.7	16.9	17.4	15.6	20.6	12.3	20.5	11.6	16.8	17.2	19.6	17.4	24.0	10.9	18.2	19.3	15.9	20.3	21.7
							h		Jj							Rqr					
2 Somewhat disagree	86	37	16	21	9	48	31	6	60	26	67	15	3	10	3	12	36	36	69	11	6
	13.9	13.0	16.7	17.8	10.5	15.3	14.5	7.4	16.4	10.7	13.8	12.9	5.9	21.7	12.0	11.9	14.2	16.5	13.9	18.6	13.0
						Hh	h		Jj		Mm		Nn								
1 Strongly disagree	31	22	1	5	2	14	10	7	24	5	21	9	5		4	7	5	17	20	5	6
	5.0	7.7	1.0	4.2	2.3	4.5	4.7	8.6	6.6	2.1	4.3	7.8	9.8		16.0	6.9	2.0	7.8	4.0	8.5	13.0
		CEce							Jj		Nn	Nn	Nn	Oo		q	Rr		u		
Agree (net)	396	180	63	72	60	203	129	58	206	183	317	72	33	28	12	71	166	123	329	31	24
	64.2	63.2	65.6	61.0	69.8	64.6	60.3	71.6	56.4	75.6	65.1	62.1	64.7	60.9	48.0	70.3	65.6	56.4	66.2	52.5	52.2
							h		Jj		o					Rr	Rr		Ttu		
Disagree (net)	117	59	17	26	11	62	41	13	84	31	88	24	8	10	7	19	41	53	89	16	12
	19.0	20.7	17.7	22.0	12.8	19.7	19.2	16.0	23.0	12.8	18.1	20.7	15.7	21.7	28.0	18.8	16.2	24.3	17.9	27.1	26.1
		e		e					Jj								Rr				
Base for stats	617	285	96	118	86	314	214	81	365	242	487	116	51	46	25	101	253	218	497	59	46
Mean	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.2
		e		e					Jj							r	Rr		TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 215
Continued

Table 108-1
Q7. I am satisfied with my level of inclusion in society

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL {A}	HENN {B}	RAMSEY {C}	DAKOTA {D}	OTHER {E}	<1 {F}	1-2 {G}	>2 {H}	<19 {I}	19+ {J}	WHITE ONLY {K}	ETHNIC MINRTY {L}	BLACK {M}	ASTAN {N}	OTHER {O}	MILD {P}	MOD SEVERE {Q}	{R}	HIGH {S}	SOME- WHAT {T}	NETHR/ NOT {U}
Std dev	1.11	1.18	0.94	1.09	1.02	1.09	1.08	1.19	1.11	1.01	1.09	1.16	1.19	0.90	1.37	1.16	1.00	1.19	1.09	1.11	1.18
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.17	0.13	0.27	0.12	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.14	0.17

Table 109-1

Q1. I have the freedom necessary to make important decisions and choices based upon my needs

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NEHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	41	17	10	6	7	24	9	5	29	12	33	7	1	2	4	7	16	16	34	4	1
Total answering	609	280	95	118	84	310	213	79	355	244	478	117	51	46	26	101	251	213	491	58	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	116 19.0	41 14.6 Ede	20 21.1	27 22.9	21 25.0	65 21.0 Gg	29 13.6 Hh	21 26.6	50 14.1 Jj	64 26.2	87 18.2	27 23.1	12 23.5	10 21.7	5 19.2	29 28.7 Rqr	47 18.7	35 16.4	102 20.8 Uu	8 13.8 u	2 4.3
4 Somewhat agree	225 36.9	110 39.3 c	28 29.5	45 38.1	27 32.1	95 30.6 Gg	97 45.5	29 36.7	109 30.7 Jj	113 46.3	176 36.8	44 37.6	15 29.4 n	21 45.7	10 38.5	44 43.6 Rr	106 42.2 Rr	56 26.3	190 38.7 u	20 34.5	12 26.1
3 Neither	172 28.2	78 27.9	34 35.8	32 27.1	22 26.2	98 31.6 Hh	61 28.6 Hh	12 15.2	128 36.1 Jj	42 17.2	141 29.5	29 24.8	14 27.5	10 21.7	8 30.8	19 18.8 Rr	66 26.3 r	74 34.7	135 27.5	17 29.3	16 34.8
2 Somewhat disagree	49 8.0	26 9.3	6 6.3	8 6.8	8 9.5	26 8.4	16 7.5	7 8.9	32 9.0	17 7.0	39 8.2	8 6.8	3 5.9	4 8.7	2 7.7	5 5.0	24 9.6	16 7.5	33 6.7 Uu	5 8.6	9 19.6
1 Strongly disagree	47 7.7	25 8.9	7 7.4	6 5.1	6 7.1	26 8.4 g	10 4.7 Hh	10 12.7	36 10.1 Jj	8 3.3	35 7.3 Nn	9 7.7 n	7 13.7 Nn	1 2.2	1 3.8	4 4.0 Rr	8 3.2 Rr	32 15.0	31 6.3	8 13.8	7 15.2
Agree (net)	341 56.0	151 53.9	48 50.5	72 61.0	48 57.1	160 51.6 gh	126 59.2	50 63.3	159 44.8 Jj	177 72.5	263 55.0 n	71 60.7	27 52.9	31 67.4	15 57.7	73 72.3 QRqr	153 61.0 Rr	91 42.7	292 59.5 Uu	28 48.3 u	14 30.4
Disagree (net)	96 15.8	51 18.2 d	13 13.7	14 11.9	14 16.7	52 16.8	26 12.2 h	17 21.5	68 19.2 Jj	25 10.2	74 15.5	17 14.5	10 19.6	5 10.9	3 11.5	9 8.9 Rr	32 12.7 Rr	48 22.5	64 13.0 Uu	13 22.4	16 34.8
Base for stats	609	280	95	118	84	310	213	79	355	244	478	117	51	46	26	101	251	213	491	58	46
Mean	3.5	3.4 Dd	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.3 Jj	3.9	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.9 QRqr	3.6 Rr	3.2	3.6 Tutu	3.3 u	2.8

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 217

Continued

Table 109-1
Q1. I have the freedom necessary to make important decisions and choices based upon my needs
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE	ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME-	NETHR/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	WHAT	NOT
Std dev	1.12	1.12	1.11	1.06	1.17	1.16	0.98	1.31	1.13	0.99	1.10	1.14	1.29	0.96	1.00	1.01	0.99	1.24	1.08	1.21	1.10
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.15	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.18	0.14	0.20	0.10	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.16	0.16

Table 110-1
Q2. I have the authority to control resources and make decisions
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	42	17	10	7	6	23	10	6	29	13	34	7	1	2	4	7	16	17	33	5	2
Total answering	608	280	95	117	85	311	212	78	355	243	477	117	51	46	26	101	251	212	492	57	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	74	25	8	18	17	41	14	18	35	38	54	20	8	9	3	17	27	25	69	2	1
	12.2	8.9	8.4	15.4	20.0	13.2	6.6	23.1	9.9	15.6	11.3	17.1	15.7	19.6	11.5	16.8	10.8	11.8	14.0	3.5	2.2
		Ede	Ee			Ggh	Hh		Jj										TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	194	96	26	38	26	90	80	22	94	95	153	36	14	13	11	38	93	48	158	21	11
	31.9	34.3	27.4	32.5	30.6	28.9	37.7	28.2	26.5	39.1	32.1	30.8	27.5	28.3	42.3	37.6	37.1	22.6	32.1	36.8	24.4
						Gg			Jj							Rr	Rr				
3 Neither	201	85	42	38	27	101	79	19	137	63	159	40	19	15	10	25	80	82	162	19	15
	33.1	30.4	44.2	32.5	31.8	32.5	37.3	24.4	38.6	25.9	33.3	34.2	37.3	32.6	38.5	24.8	31.9	38.7	32.9	33.3	33.3
		Cc	de				Hh		Jj							Rr					
2 Somewhat disagree	77	44	10	11	7	42	26	7	45	31	61	11	4	6	1	16	34	20	56	8	10
	12.7	15.7	10.5	9.4	8.2	13.5	12.3	9.0	12.7	12.8	12.8	9.4	7.8	13.0	3.8	15.8	13.5	9.4	11.4	14.0	22.2
		Ede								Oo									u		
1 Strongly disagree	62	30	9	12	8	37	13	12	44	16	50	10	6	3	1	5	17	37	47	7	8
	10.2	10.7	9.5	10.3	9.4	11.9	6.1	15.4	12.4	6.6	10.5	8.5	11.8	6.5	3.8	5.0	6.8	17.5	9.6	12.3	17.8
						Gg	Hh		Jj							Rr	Rr				
Agree (net)	268	121	34	56	43	131	94	40	129	133	207	56	22	22	14	55	120	73	227	23	12
	44.1	43.2	35.8	47.9	50.6	42.1	44.3	51.3	36.3	54.7	43.4	47.9	43.1	47.8	53.8	54.5	47.8	34.4	46.1	40.4	26.7
			Ede						Jj							Rr	Rr		Uu		
Disagree (net)	139	74	19	23	15	79	39	19	89	47	111	21	10	9	2	21	51	57	103	15	18
	22.9	26.4	20.0	19.7	17.6	25.4	18.4	24.4	25.1	19.3	23.3	17.9	19.6	19.6	7.7	20.8	20.3	26.9	20.9	26.3	40.0
		e				g			j		Oo						r		Uu		
Base for stats	608	280	95	117	85	311	212	78	355	243	477	117	51	46	26	101	251	212	492	57	45
Mean	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.1	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.1	2.7
		Ee	e						Jj							Rr	Rr		Uu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 219
Continued

Table 110-1
Q2. I have the authority to control resources and make decisions
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	=====					=====			=====		*****ANY*****					=====			=====		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1~2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.13	1.12	1.04	1.15	1.17	1.18	0.97	1.34	1.13	1.10	1.13	1.13	1.17	1.13	0.89	1.09	1.05	1.22	1.14	1.07	1.09
Std err	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.15	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.14	0.16

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 220

Table 111-1
Q3. I have the support I need to live the kind of life I choose
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	SOME- HIGH	NETHR/ WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	46	19	13	6	7	28	11	4	33	13	37	8	1	2	5	9	16	18	37	5	2
Total answering	604	278	92	118	84	306	211	80	351	243	474	116	51	46	25	99	251	211	488	57	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	185	80	26	39	32	89	62	32	82	99	152	32	12	10	11	37	77	57	164	10	6
	30.6	28.8	28.3	33.1	38.1	29.1	29.4	40.0	23.4	40.7	32.1	27.6	23.5	21.7	44.0	37.4	30.7	27.0	33.6	17.5	13.3
						h	h		Jj				o	o		r			TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	229	108	35	47	28	121	82	23	129	96	177	47	23	19	8	37	104	74	183	24	18
	37.9	38.8	38.0	39.8	33.3	39.5	38.9	28.8	36.8	39.5	37.3	40.5	45.1	41.3	32.0	37.4	41.4	35.1	37.5	42.1	40.0
						h	h														
3 Neither	127	50	26	21	19	67	47	12	97	30	98	27	10	14	5	20	49	48	102	14	9
	21.0	18.0	28.3	17.8	22.6	21.9	22.3	15.0	27.6	12.3	20.7	23.3	19.6	30.4	20.0	20.2	19.5	22.7	20.9	24.6	20.0
		c	d						Jj												
2 Somewhat disagree	39	24	3	6	4	17	13	8	26	12	31	5	3	2		3	16	16	21	7	8
	6.5	8.6	3.3	5.1	4.8	5.6	6.2	10.0	7.4	4.9	6.5	4.3	5.9	4.3		3.0	6.4	7.6	4.3	12.3	17.8
		Cc									Oo	Oo	o			r			Utu		
1 Strongly disagree	24	16	2	5	1	12	7	5	17	6	16	5	3	1	1	2	5	16	18	2	4
	4.0	5.8	2.2	4.2	1.2	3.9	3.3	6.3	4.8	2.5	3.4	4.3	5.9	2.2	4.0	2.0	2.0	7.6	3.7	3.5	8.9
		Ece														Rr	Rr				
Agree (net)	414	188	61	86	60	210	144	55	211	195	329	79	35	29	19	74	181	131	347	34	24
	68.5	67.6	66.3	72.9	71.4	68.6	68.2	68.8	60.1	80.2	69.4	68.1	68.6	63.0	76.0	74.7	72.1	62.1	71.1	59.6	53.3
									Jj							Rr	Rr		Utu		
Disagree (net)	63	40	5	11	5	29	20	13	43	18	47	10	6	3	1	5	21	32	39	9	12
	10.4	14.4	5.4	9.3	6.0	9.5	9.5	16.3	12.3	7.4	9.9	8.6	11.8	6.5	4.0	5.1	8.4	15.2	8.0	15.8	26.7
		CEce							Jj							Rr	Rr		Uu		
Base for stats	604	278	92	118	84	306	211	80	351	243	474	116	51	46	25	99	251	211	488	57	45
Mean	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.7	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.3
		Ee							Jj							Rr	Rr		TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 221
Continued

Table 111-1
Q3. I have the support I need to live the kind of life I choose
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC								SOME- NETHR/		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	WHAT	NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.05	1.13	0.94	1.04	0.95	1.03	1.02	1.22	1.06	0.97	1.04	1.02	1.06	0.91	0.99	0.94	0.96	1.17	1.02	1.03	1.17
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.14	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.15	0.13	0.20	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.14	0.17

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 222

Table 112-1

Q4. I have the opportunity to be responsible and accountable for the decisions I make

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	44	19	10	6	8	25	11	5	32	12	36	7	1	2	4	8	16	18	36	4	2
Total answering	606	278	95	118	83	309	211	79	352	244	475	117	51	46	26	100	251	211	489	58	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 Strongly agree	110	41	17	29	19	58	33	18	54	55	83	26	10	11	5	27	45	32	99	4	4
	18.2	14.7	17.9	24.6	22.9	18.8	15.6	22.8	15.3	22.5	17.5	22.2	19.6	23.9	19.2	27.0	17.9	15.2	20.2	6.9	8.9
		Dd							Jj							Rqr			TUtu		
4 Somewhat agree	225	116	33	36	27	109	85	29	110	110	178	39	15	16	11	41	106	58	186	22	12
	37.1	41.7	34.7	30.5	32.5	35.3	40.3	36.7	31.3	45.1	37.5	33.3	29.4	34.8	42.3	41.0	42.2	27.5	38.0	37.9	26.7
		Dd							Jj							Rr	Rr				
3 Neither	188	78	32	37	29	95	71	19	135	51	145	41	19	16	9	23	76	74	146	21	17
	31.0	28.1	33.7	31.4	34.9	30.7	33.6	24.1	38.4	20.9	30.5	35.0	37.3	34.8	34.6	23.0	30.3	35.1	29.9	36.2	37.8
							h		Jj							Rr					
2 Somewhat disagree	41	19	7	10	5	21	12	7	24	17	37	3	2	1		5	15	20	25	6	8
	6.8	6.8	7.4	8.5	6.0	6.8	5.7	8.9	6.8	7.0	7.8	2.6	3.9	2.2		5.0	6.0	9.5	5.1	10.3	17.8
											LNOLno	o							Uu		
1 Strongly disagree	42	24	6	6	3	26	10	6	29	11	32	8	5	2	1	4	9	27	33	5	4
	6.9	8.6	6.3	5.1	3.6	8.4	4.7	7.6	8.2	4.5	6.7	6.8	9.8	4.3	3.8	4.0	3.6	12.8	6.7	8.6	8.9
		e				g			j							Rr	Rr				
Agree (net)	335	157	50	65	46	167	118	47	164	165	261	65	25	27	16	68	151	90	285	26	16
	55.3	56.5	52.6	55.1	55.4	54.0	55.9	59.5	46.6	67.6	54.9	55.6	49.0	58.7	61.5	68.0	60.2	42.7	58.3	44.8	35.6
									Jj							Rr	Rr		Utu		
Disagree (net)	83	43	13	16	8	47	22	13	53	28	69	11	7	3	1	9	24	47	58	11	12
	13.7	15.5	13.7	13.6	9.6	15.2	10.4	16.5	15.1	11.5	14.5	9.4	13.7	6.5	3.8	9.0	9.6	22.3	11.9	19.0	26.7
											NOno					Rr	Rr		Uu		
Base for stats	606	278	95	118	83	309	211	79	352	244	475	117	51	46	26	100	251	211	489	58	45
Mean	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.1
									Jj							Rr	Rr		TUtu		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 223

Continued

Table 112-1
Q4. I have the opportunity to be responsible and accountable for the decisions I make
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION			
										*****ANY*****											
										WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.08	1.09	1.06	1.10	1.01	1.13	0.98	1.15	1.08	1.03	1.08	1.07	1.14	0.99	0.90	1.01	0.96	1.20	1.07	1.02	1.07
Std err	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.16

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 224

Table 113-1
Q5. I am satisfied with my current level of self-determination
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	43	19	11	7	5	25	11	4	30	13	35	7	1	2	4	7	16	18	36	4	1
Total answering	607 100.0	278 100.0	94 100.0	117 100.0	86 100.0	309 100.0	211 100.0	80 100.0	354 100.0	243 100.0	476 100.0	117 100.0	51 100.0	46 100.0	26 100.0	101 100.0	251 100.0	211 100.0	489 100.0	58 100.0	46 100.0
5 Strongly agree	146 24.1	56 20.1 Ede	22 23.4	33 28.2	27 31.4	71 23.0 h	47 22.3 h	27 33.8	63 17.8 Jj	80 32.9	117 24.6	27 23.1	10 19.6	9 19.6	9 34.6	33 32.7 Rr	60 23.9	41 19.4	128 26.2 TUTu	9 15.5	3 6.5
4 Somewhat agree	220 36.2	111 39.9 e	31 33.0	40 34.2	26 30.2	107 34.6	83 39.3	25 31.3	119 33.6 j	98 40.3	173 36.3	42 35.9	17 33.3	19 41.3	7 26.9	37 36.6	102 40.6 Rr	66 31.3	187 38.2 Tt	15 25.9	16 34.8
3 Neither	176 29.0	77 27.7	32 34.0	35 29.9	25 29.1	97 31.4 h	61 28.9	18 22.5	127 35.9 Jj	48 19.8	140 29.4	33 28.2	17 33.3	13 28.3	7 26.9	22 21.8 Rr	69 27.5	72 34.1	137 28.0	19 32.8	17 37.0
2 Somewhat disagree	41 6.8	21 7.6	5 5.3	7 6.0	5 5.8	19 6.1	14 6.6	7 8.8	27 7.6	13 5.3	29 6.1	9 7.7	3 5.9	5 10.9	1 3.8	7 6.9	14 5.6	18 8.5	24 4.9 Tt	10 17.2	4 8.7
1 Strongly disagree	24 4.0	13 4.7 d	4 4.3	2 1.7	3 3.5	15 4.9	6 2.8	3 3.8	18 5.1 Jj	4 1.6	17 3.6 Nn	6 5.1 Nn	4 7.8 Nn		2 7.7	2 2.0 Rr	6 2.4 Rr	14 6.6	13 2.7 Uu	5 8.6	6 13.0
Agree (net)	366 60.3	167 60.1	53 66.4	73 62.4	53 61.6	178 57.6	130 61.6	52 65.0	182 51.4 Jj	178 73.3	290 60.9	69 59.0	27 52.9	28 60.9	16 61.5	70 69.3 Rr	162 64.5 Rr	107 50.7	315 64.4 TUTu	24 41.4	19 41.3
Disagree (net)	65 10.7	34 12.2	9 9.6	9 7.7	8 9.3	34 11.0	20 9.5	10 12.5	45 12.7 Jj	17 7.0	46 9.7	15 12.8	7 13.7	5 10.9	3 11.5	9 8.9 r	20 8.0 Rr	32 15.2	37 7.6 TUTu	15 25.9	10 21.7
Base for stats	607	278	94	117	86	309	211	80	354	243	476	117	51	46	26	101	251	211	489	58	46
Mean	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.5 Jj	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.9 Rr	3.8 Rr	3.5	3.8 TUTu	3.2	3.1

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 225
Continued

Table 113-1
Q5. I am satisfied with my current level of self-determination
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT				YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY						DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	=====				=====			=====		*****ANY*****						=====			=====		
										WHITE	ETHNIC										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	ONLY	MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME-	NETHR/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	WHAT	NOT
																				(T)	(U)
Std dev	1.03	1.03	1.03	0.97	1.05	1.05	0.98	1.10	1.03	0.94	1.01	1.07	1.11	0.91	1.19	1.00	0.95	1.10	0.97	1.16	1.10
Std err	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.16	0.13	0.23	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.15	0.16

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 226

Table 114-1
Q1. Where do you (the person with a developmental disability) primarily live?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	29	10	5	6	6	12	7	7	14	15	18	10	4	3	4	5	12	10	25	2	1
Total answering	621	287	100	118	85	322	215	77	370	241	493	114	48	45	26	103	255	219	500	60	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
With parents or relatives	563	269	97	107	64	300	199	57	362	193	446	105	45	42	23	81	238	207	455	52	43
	90.7	93.7	97.0	90.7	75.3	93.2	92.6	74.0	97.8	80.1	90.5	92.1	93.8	93.3	88.5	78.6	93.3	94.5	91.0	86.7	93.5
		Ee	DEde	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj							QRqr					
Group home	20	2		3	13	2	3	15	2	18	16	4	1	2	1	7	5	4	15	2	2
	3.2	0.7		2.5	15.3	0.6	1.4	19.5	0.5	7.5	3.2	3.5	2.1	4.4	3.8	6.8	2.0	1.8	3.0	3.3	4.3
		Ee	Ede	Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj							qr					
Own apartment or house, less than 24 hours supervision	10	5	1	1	2	6	4		1	8	6	2	2			4	5	1	7	3	
	1.6	1.7	1.0	0.8	2.4	1.9	1.9		0.3	3.3	1.2	1.8	4.2			3.9	2.0	0.5	1.4	5.0	
						Hh	Hh		Jj		NOno					r			Uu	u	
Own apartment or house, 24 hour supervision	2	1			1	1		1		2	2					1	1		2		
	0.3	0.3			1.2	0.3		1.3		0.8	0.4					1.0	0.4		0.4		
Other	26	10	2	7	5	13	9	4	5	20	23	3		1	2	10	6	7	21	3	1
	4.2	3.5	2.0	5.9	5.9	4.0	4.2	5.2	1.4	8.3	4.7	2.6		2.2	7.7	9.7	2.4	3.2	4.2	5.0	2.2
									Jj		Mm	m				QRqr					

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 227

Table 115-1
Q2. How long have you lived in Minnesota?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	12	3	1	2	3	8	3	1	6	5	6	2		1	1	2	3	4	9	3	
Total answering	638	294	104	122	88	326	219	83	378	251	505	122	52	47	29	106	264	225	516	59	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 years or less	102	40	19	13	22	61	36	5	92	8	75	25	12	6	8	15	42	40	79	11	9
	16.0	13.6	18.3	10.7	25.0	18.7	16.4	6.0	24.3	3.2	14.9	20.5	23.1	12.8	27.6	14.2	15.9	17.8	15.3	18.6	19.1
		Ee		Ee		Hh	Hh		Jj												
6-10 years	163	74	35	34	10	92	51	18	137	25	116	45	14	24	9	17	71	63	132	16	12
	25.5	25.2	33.7	27.9	11.4	28.2	23.3	21.7	36.2	10.0	23.0	36.9	26.9	51.1	31.0	16.0	26.9	28.0	25.6	27.1	25.5
		Ee	Ee	Ee					Jj		LNln	n	Nn	o		QRqr					
11-15 years	121	55	20	29	13	60	40	18	104	15	96	25	14	4	8	21	48	45	103	9	8
	19.0	18.7	19.2	23.8	14.8	18.4	18.3	21.7	27.5	6.0	19.0	20.5	26.9	8.5	27.6	19.8	18.2	20.0	20.0	15.3	17.0
				e					Jj		Nn	Nn	Nn	Oo							
16-20 years	77	36	12	13	15	36	33	7	37	39	65	9	3	6		15	29	28	62	8	6
	12.1	12.2	11.5	10.7	17.0	11.0	15.1	8.4	9.8	15.5	12.9	7.4	5.8	12.8		14.2	11.0	12.4	12.0	13.6	12.8
							h		Jj		MOlmo	Oo	o	Oo							
21-30 years	105	54	14	20	14	46	35	21	4	99	90	11	6	5	2	18	46	30	85	9	7
	16.5	18.4	13.5	16.4	15.9	14.1	16.0	25.3	1.1	39.4	17.8	9.0	11.5	10.6	6.9	17.0	17.4	13.3	16.5	15.3	14.9
						Hh	h		Jj		LOlo										
Over 30 years	70	35	4	13	14	31	24	14	4	65	63	7	3	2	2	20	28	19	55	6	5
	11.0	11.9	3.8	10.7	15.9	9.5	11.0	16.9	1.1	25.9	12.5	5.7	5.8	4.3	6.9	18.9	10.6	8.4	10.7	10.2	10.6
		Cc	DEde			h			Jj		LNlmn					Rqr					
Base for stats	638	294	104	122	88	326	219	83	378	251	505	122	52	47	29	106	264	225	516	59	47
Mean	16.1	16.7	13.0	16.2	18.1	14.7	16.4	20.4	9.6	25.6	17.0	12.2	12.5	12.0	12.2	19.7	16.2	14.3	16.0	15.6	15.4
		Cc	DEde			Hh	Rh		Jj		LMNOlm					QRqr	r				
											no										
Median	13.0	13.0	10.0	13.0	15.0	11.0	13.0	16.0	9.0	23.0	14.0	9.5	10.5	8.0	8.0	15.5	12.5	11.0	13.0	11.0	11.0

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 228
Continued

Table 115-1
Q2. How long have you lived in Minnesota?
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER						
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	MILD (P)	MOD SEVERE (Q)	(R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Std dev	12.28	12.41	9.10	11.23	15.25	11.43	12.84	13.27	5.53	12.87	12.71	9.71	9.09	8.56	12.08	15.25	12.17	10.56	11.92	13.08	12.08
Std err	0.49	0.72	0.89	1.02	1.63	0.63	0.87	1.46	0.28	0.81	0.57	0.88	1.26	1.25	2.24	1.48	0.75	0.70	0.52	1.70	1.76

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 229

Table 116-1
Q3. Is English your native language?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	4	1	1			2	2		2	2		1		1			1	2	4		
Total answering	646	296	104	124	91	332	220	84	382	254	511	123	52	47	30	108	266	227	521	62	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	597	269	92	122	89	303	206	79	352	237	503	82	45	18	25	100	252	203	480	58	46
	92.4	90.9	88.5	98.4	97.8	91.3	93.6	94.0	92.1	93.3	98.4	66.7	86.5	38.3	83.3	92.6	94.7	89.4	92.1	93.5	97.9
		DEde	DEde								LMNOlm	MNOmno	Nn	Oo			Rr		Uu		
											no										
No	49	27	12	2	2	29	14	5	30	17	8	41	7	29	5	8	14	24	41	4	1
	7.6	9.1	11.5	1.6	2.2	8.7	6.4	6.0	7.9	6.7	1.6	33.3	13.5	61.7	16.7	7.4	5.3	10.6	7.9	6.5	2.1
		DEde	DEde								LMNOlm	MNOmno	Nn	Oo			Rr		Uu		
											no										

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 230

Table 117-1
Q4. Mark the box that includes your total household income. This number should include any government support you receive.
Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	42	17	6	8	9	24	11	6	21	19	32	4	3	1	1	7	13	16	33	2	4
Total answering	608	280	99	116	82	310	211	78	363	237	479	120	49	47	29	101	254	213	492	60	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under \$35,000	279	133	36	47	42	124	107	43	117	156	199	74	36	28	14	61	112	87	224	28	20
	45.9	47.5	36.4	40.5	51.2	40.0	50.7	55.1	32.2	65.8	41.5	61.7	73.5	59.6	48.3	60.4	44.1	40.8	45.5	46.7	46.5
		c	Ee			GHgh			Jj		LMNlmn		Oo			QRqr					
\$36,000 - \$50,000	120	58	19	22	16	65	42	13	85	35	98	22	9	9	4	20	56	39	99	10	8
	19.7	20.7	19.2	19.0	19.5	21.0	19.9	16.7	23.4	14.8	20.5	18.3	18.4	19.1	13.8	19.8	22.0	18.3	20.1	16.7	18.6
									Jj												
\$51,000 - \$75,000	107	44	23	23	15	66	26	12	80	25	89	18	4	6	9	12	37	49	90	7	8
	17.6	15.7	23.2	19.8	18.3	21.3	12.3	15.4	22.0	10.5	18.6	15.0	8.2	12.8	31.0	11.9	14.6	23.0	18.3	11.7	18.6
						Gg			Jj		Mm	o	Oo	o		Rr	Rr				
\$76,000 - \$100,000	70	29	16	18	5	36	25	8	56	14	63	5		3	2	5	36	25	55	10	4
	11.5	10.4	16.2	15.5	6.1	11.6	11.8	10.3	15.4	5.9	13.2	4.2		6.4	6.9	5.0	14.2	11.7	11.2	16.7	9.3
			Ee	Ee					Jj		LMlmn	Mm	n			QRqr					
Over \$100,000	32	16	5	6	4	19	11	2	25	7	30	1		1		3	13	13	24	5	3
	5.3	5.7	5.1	5.2	4.9	6.1	5.2	2.6	6.9	3.0	6.3	0.8		2.1		3.0	5.1	6.1	4.9	8.3	7.0
									Jj		LMOlmn										
											o										

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 231

Table 118-1

Q5. Mark the box that reflects the race of the person with a developmental disability.

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	15	7	3	2		6	5	4	5	9						3	5	5	10	4	
Total answering	635	290	102	122	91	328	217	80	379	247	511	124	52	48	30	105	262	224	515	58	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
White	535	239	80	114	85	275	186	65	313	216	511	24	9	7	12	91	224	183	430	52	41
	84.3	82.4	78.4	93.4	93.4	83.8	85.7	81.3	82.6	87.4	100.0	19.4	17.3	14.6	40.0	86.7	85.5	81.7	83.5	89.7	87.2
		DEde	DEde						j		LMNOlm	Oo	Oo	Oo							
											no										
Black or African American	52	32	9	4	2	24	18	9	35	17		52	52	1	5	7	20	24	45	2	4
	8.2	11.0	8.8	3.3	2.2	7.3	8.3	11.3	9.2	6.9		41.9	100.0	2.1	16.7	6.7	7.6	10.7	8.7	3.4	8.5
		DEde	Ede								LMOlmo	MNOmno	NOno	Oo					t		
American Indian and Alaska Native	16	9	3	2		7	8		12	4		16	4		16	4	5	4	15		1
	2.5	3.1	2.9	1.6		2.1	3.7		3.2	1.6		12.9	7.7		53.3	3.8	1.9	1.8	2.9		2.1
		Be	e			Hh	Hh				LMOlmo	NOno	NOno	Oo					Tt		
Asian Indian	5	3	1		1	2		3	3	1		5		5			2	3	5		
	0.8	1.0	1.0		1.1	0.6		3.8	0.8	0.4		4.0		10.4			0.8	1.3	1.0		
		d					h				LNln	MOmo	Nn	Oo		r			Tutu		
Chinese	4	1	1	2		2		2	3	1		4		4				4	3		1
	0.6	0.3	1.0	1.6		0.6		2.5	0.8	0.4		3.2		8.3				1.8	0.6		2.1
											LNln	MOmo	Nn	Oo		Rr	Rr		t		
Filipino	2	1	1			2			1	1		2		2			2		1		1
	0.3	0.3	1.0			0.6			0.3	0.4		1.6		4.2			0.8		0.2		2.1
Hmong	13	5	6			9	3	1	8	5		13		13		2	3	7	8	3	
	2.0	1.7	5.9			2.7	1.4	1.3	2.1	2.0		10.5		27.1		1.9	1.1	3.1	1.6	5.2	
		DEcde	DEde								LNln	MNOmno	Nn	Oo					Uu	u	
Japanese	2	1		1			2		2			2		2		1		1	2		
	0.3	0.3		0.8			0.9		0.5			1.6		4.2		1.0		0.4	0.4		

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 232

Continued

Table 118-1

Q5. Mark the box that reflects the race of the person with a developmental disability.

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Korean	5 0.8	1 0.3	2 2.0	1 0.8		1 0.3	4 1.8 Hh		3 0.8	2 0.8		5 4.0 LNln	1 1.9 n	5 10.4 Oo			1 0.4 r	3 1.3	4 0.8 Uu	1 1.7	
Vietnamese	4 0.6	3 1.0 cd			1 1.1	2 0.6	1 0.5	1 1.3	2 0.5	1 0.4		4 3.2 LNln		4 8.3 Oo		1 1.0	2 0.8		4 0.8 TUtu		
Other Asian	15 2.4	5 1.7	4 3.9	2 1.6	1 1.1	6 1.8	8 3.7	1 1.3	12 3.2 j	3 1.2		15 12.1 LNln		15 31.3 Oo		5 4.8	4 1.5	6 2.7	13 2.5	1 1.7	1 2.1
Mexican	7 1.1	3 1.0 e	3 2.9 e	1 0.8		2 0.6	3 1.4 h		5 1.3	2 0.8		7 5.6 LOlo	1 1.9 Oo		7 23.3 Oo		3 1.1 Rqr	4 1.8	5 1.0 Tt		1 2.1
Puerto Rican	1 0.2		1 1.0				1 0.5		1 0.3			1 0.8			1 3.3			1 0.4			1 2.1
Other Hispanic or Latino	8 1.3	5 1.7	1 1.0	1 0.8	1 1.1	5 1.5 Hh	2 0.9		5 1.3	2 0.8		8 6.5 LOlo			8 26.7 Oo	1 1.0	3 1.1	4 1.8	6 1.2 Tt		1 2.1
Net White only	511 80.5	226 77.9 DEde	74 72.5 DEde	109 89.3	85 93.4	269 82.0	172 79.3	63 78.8	296 78.1 Jj	209 84.6	511 100.0 LMNOlm no					85 81.0	219 83.6 Rr	170 75.9	412 80.0 t	51 87.9	37 78.7
Net ethnic minority	124 19.5	64 22.1 DEde	28 27.5 DEde	13 10.7	6 6.6	59 18.0	45 20.7	17 21.3	83 21.9 Jj	38 15.4		124 100.0 LMNOlm no	52 100.0	48 100.0	30 100.0	20 19.0	43 16.4 Rr	54 24.1	103 20.0 t	7 12.1	10 21.3
Net Asian	48 7.6	18 6.2 Cc	15 14.7 DEde	6 4.9	3 3.3	23 7.0	17 7.8	8 10.0	32 8.4	14 5.7		48 38.7 LNln	1 1.9 Nn	48 100.0 Oo		9 8.6	14 5.3 r	22 9.8	38 7.4	5 8.6	3 6.4

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 233

Continued

Table 118-1
Q5. Mark the box that reflects the race of the person with a developmental disability.

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Net Hispanic	14 2.2	8 2.8	4 3.9	1 0.8	1 1.1	7 2.1 Hh	5 2.3 Hh		9 2.4	4 1.6	14 11.3 LOLO	1 1.9 MNOMno			14 46.7 Oo	1 1.0	6 2.3	7 3.1	11 2.1 Tt		2 4.3
Net other	16 2.5	9 3.1 Ee	3 2.9 e	2 1.6		7 2.1 Hh	8 3.7 Hh		12 3.2	4 1.6	16 12.9 LMOLmo	4 7.7 NONo			16 53.3 Oo	4 3.8	5 1.9	4 1.8	15 2.9 Tt		1 2.1

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 234

Table 119-1
Q6. Is the person with a developmental disability a graduate of the Partners in Policymaking Program?
Q7. If someone else is completing this survey questionnaire, are you a graduate of the Partners in Policymaking Program?

Base is all respondents

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL		
											*****ANY*****								SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	
Total sample	650	297	105	124	91	334	222	84	384	256	511	124	52	48	30	108	267	229	525	62	47
No answer	11	7	1		1	3	2	4	3	8	4	2		1	1	3	5	2	10		
Total answering	639	290	104	124	90	331	220	80	381	248	507	122	52	47	29	105	262	227	515	62	47
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	48	21	12	8	3	20	17	10	26	22	27	20	10	9	1	4	11	29	41	4	3
	7.5	7.2	11.5	6.5	3.3	6.0	7.7	12.5	6.8	8.9	5.3	16.4	19.2	19.1	3.4	3.8	4.2	12.8	8.0	6.5	6.4
			Ee								LMNlmn	Oo	Oo	Oo		Rr	Rr				
No	591	269	92	116	87	311	203	70	355	226	480	102	42	38	28	101	251	198	474	58	44
	92.5	92.8	88.5	93.5	96.7	94.0	92.3	87.5	93.2	91.1	94.7	83.6	80.8	80.9	96.6	96.2	95.8	87.2	92.0	93.5	93.6
			Ee								LMNlmn	Oo	Oo	Oo		Rr	Rr				

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER						
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME-WHAT (T)	NETHR/NOT (U)
QUALITY OF LIFE																					
Q1. My life routine has become more typical because of supports available under this program	4.3	4.2 CDcd	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4 TUtu	4.1 Uu	3.4
Q2. I feel more empowered	4.1	4.0 CDcd	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.7	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2 TUtu	3.9 Uu	3.2
Q3. This program has reduced my (or my family's) overall stress level--life is easier	4.4	4.3 Cc	4.5 e	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5 TUtu	4.1 Uu	3.2
ENVIRONMENT																					
Q4. I have been able to live where I choose	3.9	3.8 Ede	3.9 e	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9 n	4.0	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0 Uu	3.8	3.6
Q5. I have been able to make necessary adaptations to my home	3.8	3.7 CDcd	4.1 Ee	4.1 Ee	3.7	3.8 Hh	3.8 h	4.0	3.9 Jj	3.7	3.8 o	3.8	3.9	4.1 Oo	3.4	3.7 Rr	3.7 Rr	4.0	3.9 Uu	3.8 Uu	2.8
FLEXIBILITY																					
Q6. This program has given me more flexibility to meet my specific needs	4.4	4.4 Dd	4.5 d	4.7 Ee	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6 TUtu	4.1 Uu	3.2
STAFFING																					
Q7. I am better able to select who works with me	4.4	4.4 Cc	4.7 DEde	4.4	4.2	4.5 Hh	4.4 Hh	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.5	4.2 Rqr	4.4	4.5	4.5 TUtu	4.0 u	3.6
Q8. I have been able to staff more personal care hours	4.3	4.3 Cc	4.6 DEde	4.3	4.1	4.3 Hh	4.4 Hh	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4 Uu	4.1 Uu	3.3

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 236
Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME-WHAT (T)	NEITHER/NOT (U)
Q9. I have been able to retain staff longer	4.3	4.3 Cc	4.6 DEde	4.2	4.1	4.3 Hh	4.3 h	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.1 Rqr	4.3	4.4	4.4 Tutu	4.1 Uu	3.3
Q10. I have been able to obtain higher quality staff (more knowledgeable, reliable, competent)	4.3	4.4 Cc	4.6 DEde	4.2	4.2	4.4 Hh	4.4 Hh	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2 Rr	4.3	4.4	4.5 Tutu	3.9	3.5
Q11. I have been able to obtain more respite care	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.3 o	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.2 Tutu	3.8 Uu	3.2
INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT																					
Q12. I have developed skills more quickly because of resources available under the program	4.0	4.0 Cc	4.2 d	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1 r	4.0 r	3.9	4.1 Tutu	3.6 u	3.3
Q13. I have been able to get out into the community more	4.4	4.5 e	4.5 Ee	4.5 e	4.3	4.4 Gg	4.5	4.3	4.4 j	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5 Tutu	4.0	3.7
Q14. I have more interaction and improved relationships with my siblings and other family members	4.1	4.2 Ee	4.2 Ee	4.1 e	3.9	4.1 g	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1 Mlm	4.2	4.4 o	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2 Tutu	3.8	3.5
Q15. I have been able to spend more time with people my age who do not have a developmental disability	3.7	3.7 c	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7 Mm	3.8 m	4.1 Ono	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.9 Tutu	3.3	3.0
Q16. I have been able to obtain equipment to help me integrate into the community	3.7	3.6 CDcd	4.0 Ee	3.9 Ee	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.9 Jj	3.5	3.7 Nn	3.8 Nn	3.8	4.1 Oo	3.4	3.5 QRqr	3.7 Rr	4.0	3.8 Tutu	3.6 Uu	3.0

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 237
Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	ONLY (K)	MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
THE SYSTEM																					
Q17. Money is being allocated better within the system	4.2	4.1 Dcd	4.3 Dde	4.6 Ee	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2 j	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.4 Tutu	3.9 Uu	2.8
Q18. I believe the overall cost to administer my services and supports has decreased under this program	3.8	3.6 Dde	3.8 Dd	4.1	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.7 Rr	3.6 Rr	4.0	3.8 Tutu	3.5	3.2
COUNTY																					
Q3. Information about consumer directed supports is available in a format I can understand	4.0	3.9 Dd	3.8 Dd	4.3 Ee	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.2 Tutu	3.3 Uu	2.8
Q4. I know whom to contact at county social services if I have questions	4.5	4.5 CDcd	4.2 DEde	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.7 Nn	4.3	4.6	4.6 Qq	4.5	4.5	4.6 Tutu	4.0 Uu	3.5
Q5. Dealing with county social services is not intimidating or overwhelming	3.9	3.9 CDcd	3.5 DEde	4.3 e	4.0	3.9 Hh	3.9 Hh	4.4	3.9 j	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.2 Tutu	3.0 u	2.5
Q6. County social services people are responsive to my needs and take appropriate action when necessary	4.2	4.3 CDcd	3.7 DEde	4.5	4.4	4.2 Hh	4.2 Hh	4.5	4.1 Jj	4.3	4.2 m	4.2 Mm	4.5 Nno	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4 Tutu	3.4 u	2.9
Q7. The county social services people I deal with treat me with respect	4.6	4.7 Cc	4.3 DEde	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5 h	4.7	4.5 Jj	4.7	4.6 n	4.5 m	4.7 Nn	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7 Tutu	4.0	3.9

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 238
Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	SOME- NETHR/ WHAT NOT		
																			HIGH (S)	WHAT (T)	NOT (U)
Q8. County social services understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities	4.3	4.4 Ccd	3.7 DEde	4.6 Ee	4.3	4.3 Hh	4.2 Hh	4.6	4.2 Jj	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.5 Qqr	4.3	4.3	4.5 TUtU	3.4	3.1
Q9. County social services tries to make things simpler for me	4.1	4.1 CDcd	3.5 DEde	4.5 Ee	4.1	4.0 Hh	4.1 Hh	4.4	4.0 Jj	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.3 r	4.1	4.1	4.3 TUtU	3.1 Uu	2.5
Q10. People in my county social services department show flexibility when dealing with me	4.2	4.2 CDcd	3.6 DEde	4.6 Ee	4.3	4.1 Hh	4.2 Hh	4.5	4.1 Jj	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4 TUtU	3.3 Uu	2.8
Q11. People in county social services genuinely try to do the right thing	4.5	4.5 CDcd	4.1 DEde	4.7 Ee	4.4	4.4 Hh	4.4 h	4.6	4.4 Jj	4.6	4.5 n	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6 TUtU	3.7	3.5
Q12. County social services sets clear expectations for my successful participation in this program	4.2	4.2 CDcd	3.6 DEde	4.5 Ee	4.1	4.1 Hh	4.1 Hh	4.5	4.0 Jj	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4 no	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.4 TUtU	3.1	2.9
Q13. Overall, how satisfied are you with your county social services department?	5.9	6.0 CDcd	5.2 DEde	6.4 Ee	5.9	5.8 Hh	5.8 Hh	6.4	5.8 Jj	6.2	5.9	5.9	6.3 Nn	5.6	5.7	6.0	5.9	5.9	6.3 TUtU	4.5 Uu	3.6
COUNTY CASE MANAGER/ SOCIAL WORKER																					
Q1. My case manager understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs	4.3	4.3 CDcd	3.8 DEde	4.6 Ee	4.3	4.2 Hh	4.3 h	4.5	4.2 Jj	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.5 Qqr	4.2	4.3	4.5 TUtU	3.3 u	2.9

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 239
Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ETHNIC										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	ONLY (K)	MINRNTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Q2. My case manager is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary	4.4	4.5 CDcd	4.0 DEde	4.7 Ee	4.5	4.4 Hh	4.4 Hh	4.7	4.4 Jj	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6 Tutu	3.7	3.4
Q3. My case manager treats me with respect	4.7	4.8 Cc	4.4 DEde	4.8	4.7	4.7 Hh	4.6 Hh	4.9	4.6 Jj	4.8	4.7 n	4.6	4.7 n	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.8 Tutu	4.2	4.1
Q4. My case manager understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities	4.5	4.6 CDcd	4.1 DEde	4.8 Ee	4.4	4.5 h	4.5 h	4.7	4.4 Jj	4.7	4.5 Nn	4.4 mn	4.7 Nn	4.0	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6 Tutu	3.9	3.6
Q5. My case manager tries to make things simpler for me	4.4	4.5 CDcd	3.9 DEde	4.6	4.5	4.4 Hh	4.3 Hh	4.6	4.3 Jj	4.5	4.4 Nn	4.3	4.5 Nn	4.1	4.4	4.5 q	4.3	4.4	4.6 Tutu	3.6	3.4
Q6. My case manager shows flexibility when dealing with me	4.4	4.5 CDcd	3.9 DEde	4.7	4.6	4.4 Hh	4.4 Hh	4.7	4.4 Jj	4.6	4.5 Nn	4.3	4.5 Nn	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6 Tutu	3.8	3.4
Q7. My case manager is an advocate for me within the system	4.3	4.4 CDcd	3.7 DEde	4.6	4.4	4.3 Hh	4.3 h	4.5	4.2 Jj	4.5	4.4 Nn	4.2 n	4.5 Nn	3.8	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.5 Tutu	3.5	3.1
Q8. My case manager is genuinely trying to do the right thing	4.6	4.6 CDcd	4.3 DEde	4.8	4.6	4.6 h	4.6	4.7	4.5 Jj	4.7	4.6 LNln	4.4	4.7 Nn	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7 Tutu	4.0	3.8
Q9. Overall, how satisfied are you with your case manager?	6.1	6.2 CDcd	5.4 DEde	6.5	6.2	6.1 h	6.1 h	6.4	6.0 Jj	6.3	6.1 m	6.1	6.5 Nn	5.7	6.0	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.4 Tutu	5.0 u	4.3
FISCAL INTERMEDIARY																					
Q3. My fiscal intermediary understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs	4.4	4.5 Ee	4.5 e	4.4	4.1	4.3 g	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.6 Tutu	3.8	3.5

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 240
Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Q4. My fiscal intermediary is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary	4.4	4.4 e	4.5 Ee	4.4	4.1	4.3 Hgh	4.5 h	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6 TUtU	3.7	3.5
Q5. My fiscal intermediary treats me with respect	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6 Hh	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4 o	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7 TUtU	4.3	4.0
Q6. My fiscal intermediary understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities	4.5	4.5 Ede	4.5 Ede	4.3	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.6 TUtU	4.0	3.8
Q7. My fiscal intermediary tries to make things simpler for me	4.4	4.4 CEce	4.6 Ee	4.3 e	3.9	4.3 Gg	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1 o	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.5 TUtU	3.9	3.4
Q8. My fiscal intermediary shows flexibility when dealing with me	4.4	4.4	4.5 e	4.3	4.2	4.3 Ggh	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4 o	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5 TUtU	3.9 u	3.5
Q9. My fiscal intermediary is an advocate for me within the system	4.1	4.1 Ee	4.2 Ee	4.0	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.2 TUtU	3.5	3.1
Q10. My fiscal intermediary is genuinely trying to do the right thing	4.5	4.5	4.6 e	4.4	4.2	4.4 gh	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6 TUtU	4.1 Uu	3.7
Q11. My fiscal intermediary keeps accurate records of my transactions and accounts	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4 Hgh	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5 Oo	4.5 o	4.5	4.5 o	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6 TUtU	3.9	3.7

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 241

Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Q12. Overall, how satisfied are you with your fiscal intermediary?	6.1	6.1 d	6.3 Ee	6.3 Ee	5.7	6.0 GHgh	6.3	6.5	6.1	6.2	6.1 Oo	6.1 Oo	6.2	5.9 Oo	6.6	6.2	6.1	6.2	6.4 Tutu	5.3 u	4.7
APPROVAL DECISION APPEALS																					
Q2. The appeal process was not intimidating or overwhelming	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.0	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.3	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.5	4.0	1.0	4.5 Qq	2.1 Rr	3.4	3.2 Uu	2.3	1.5
Q3. The individuals involved in the appeal process understood and were well-trained on consumer directed support program	2.8	2.7	2.9	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	2.0	2.8	3.0	2.8	2.4	2.5	3.0	1.0	3.0	2.2 Rr	3.4	3.3 Utu	2.0	1.3
Q4. I was treated with respect throughout the appeal process	3.5	3.5	3.7	1.0	3.0	3.6	3.8 Rh	2.3	3.6	3.0	3.4	3.6	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.9	3.7 t	2.5	3.5
Q5. The individuals involved with the appeal process understand the needs of people with developmental disabilities	2.7	2.2	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.6	2.6	3.0	2.5	3.5	2.5	2.8	2.5	4.0	1.0	3.0	1.8 Rr	3.5	3.2	2.0	1.0
Q6. Overall, how satisfied are you with the appeals process?	3.6	3.6	3.5	2.0	2.0	3.9	3.4	2.7	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.2	3.5	4.0	1.0	4.0	2.7 Rr	4.6	4.4 Utu	2.3 u	1.3
TRAINING/ASSISTANCE OPTIONS																					
Q1. Parent to parent training sessions	3.8	3.8 c	4.0 Dde	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	4.0 Jj	3.6	3.8 LMNlmn	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.7 r	3.8	3.9	3.9 Tt	3.4 u	3.8
Q2. Training on finding and hiring staff	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9 Jj	3.7	3.8 LMlmo	4.1	4.3 n	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.8 r	3.9	3.9 Utu	3.7	3.5

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 242
Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	*****ANY*****					MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
											WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)						
Q3. Training on staff management (e.g. timesheets, performance, etc.)	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5 Hgh	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.5 LMOlmo	4.0 Nm	4.3 Nn	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.4 Tutu	3.3
Q4. Parent mentor program (benefit from experienced parents)	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1 Jj	3.8	3.9 m	4.0	4.2 Nn	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.0 Tt	3.6	3.8
Q5. Math/Financial/Accounting training	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.1 GHgh	3.5	3.6	3.2 Jj	3.4	3.2 LMNlmo	3.8	4.0 Nno	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4 Tt	3.1	3.1
Q6. Assistance finding diagnosis-specific equipment catalogs	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.8 Jj	3.4	3.6 LMOlmo	4.1	4.2 n	3.9	4.1	3.5 Rr	3.7 r	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.7
Q7. Support groups with parents of children that are of a similar age and disability	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8	4.0 Jj	3.6	3.8 LMOlmo	4.2	4.2	4.0 o	4.4	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9 Tt	3.5	3.8
Q8. Support for individuals/parents of different cultures and languages	3.3	3.3 d	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.2 Hh	3.3	3.5	3.3 j	3.2	3.1 LMNOlmo no	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.3 Tutu	2.8	3.0
Q9. Training around diagnosis, treatment tools and methods	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.9 Jj	3.4	3.6 LMOlmo o	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7 Tt	3.4	3.6
OVERALL SATISFACTION																					
Q1. Given all the considerations, how satisfied are you with your consumer directed support program?	6.2	6.2 Dd	6.0 Dd	6.4 e	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.3	6.2	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.4 n	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.3	6.6 Uu	5.0	3.1

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 243
Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL	HENN	RAMSEY	DAKOTA	OTHER	<1	1-2	>2	<19	19+	WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	MILD	MOD	SEVERE	HIGH	SOME- WHAT	NETHR/ NOT
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Q2. How likely would you be to recommend a consumer directed support program in your county to a friend in a similar situation?	6.4	6.5 e	6.4	6.5 Ee	6.2	6.4	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.5	6.4 Mm	6.5 m	6.8 n	6.5	6.2	6.3	6.5	6.5	6.7 Tutu	5.7 Uu	3.9
Q3. Has the consumer directed support program met the expectations that were set for you by your case manager and county social services department?	5.6	5.5 Dcd	5.2 Dd	6.1 Ee	5.5	5.5 Hh	5.5 Hh	6.0	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.7	5.9	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.7	6.0 Tutu	4.1 Uu	2.8
INDEPENDENCE																					
Q1. I can (even if someone helps me because of my disability) get to where I want to go	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1 Jj	4.3	4.2 Mlm	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.3 Utu	4.0	3.8
Q2. I have control over my daily schedule	3.6	3.5 e	3.4 e	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.4 Jj	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.6	3.8	3.9 Rqr	3.7 Rr	3.2	3.6	3.4	3.4
Q3. I have privacy to be alone or with people I choose	3.9	3.9 d	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.7 Jj	4.3	3.9	3.9	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.2 Rr	4.0 Rr	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.8
Q4. Only people who are supposed to know my personal information have access to it	4.3	4.4 Cc	4.0 Dd	4.4 e	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1 Jj	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.1 o	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4 Tutu	3.8	3.9
Q5. I can set desired outcomes (goals) for myself	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.5 h	3.6 Hh	3.2	3.4 Jj	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.7	4.0 QRqr	3.6 Rr	3.2	3.6 Uu	3.4	3.1
Q6. I can decide about how I spend my money	3.4	3.3 Ee	3.2 Ee	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.1 Jj	3.7	3.3 n	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.9 QRqr	3.4 Rr	3.0	3.4 Uu	3.2	2.8

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 244
Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Q7. I can make decisions that will affect my future	3.4	3.3 Ee	3.3 e	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.3 Jj	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8 Rqr	3.5 Rr	3.1	3.5 u	3.3	3.1
Q8. I am satisfied with my current level of independence	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5 Jj	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.8	4.0 Rr	3.8 Rr	3.4	3.8 TUtu	3.4	3.3
PRODUCTIVITY																					
Q1. I have an appropriate amount of responsibility at home or at work	3.9	3.9 e	3.8 e	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.7 Jj	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.7	4.3 QRqr	4.0 Rr	3.6	3.9	3.9	3.7
Q2. I have been improving my skills at home or at work	4.2	4.2 c	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3 l	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.5 QRqr	4.3 Rr	4.0	4.2 Uu	4.3 Uu	4.0
Q3. I receive recognition for the things that I do	4.5	4.5 e	4.6	4.5 e	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6 LNln	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
Q4. I have access to assistive products and technology that supports my productivity	4.0	3.9 c	4.1 e	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0 Uu	3.9 u	3.5
Q5. The system I am currently working under is easy to function within and supports my productivity	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.9 Jj	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.3 Rqr	4.1 r	3.9	4.1 TUtu	3.8 Uu	3.2
Q6. I am satisfied with my current level of productivity	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8 Jj	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.2 QRqr	3.9 Rr	3.7	4.0 Uu	3.8 Uu	3.2
INTEGRATION																					
Q1. Resources that I need are available in my community	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.9 Jj	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8 o	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.1 TUtu	3.6 u	3.2

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 245

Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Q2. The personal support I require is available in my community	4.0	4.0	4.1 d	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.9 Jj	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1 r	3.9	4.1 TUtU	3.5	3.2
Q3. I have opportunities to do things with people my age	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8 Jj	4.1	4.0 ln	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	4.0 r	4.1 Rr	3.8	4.0 Utu	3.8	3.5
Q4. My community acknowledges that I have different needs because of my developmental disability	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.7 Jj	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.9	4.0 TUtU	3.5	3.5
Q5. My rights to equality are acknowledged by my community	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.7 Hh	4.0	3.7 Jj	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.9 TUtU	3.4	3.3
Q6. I have opportunities to develop meaningful relationships with people who do not have developmental disabilities	3.9	3.8	4.0 Dd	3.7 Ee	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8 Jj	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9 TUtU	3.5	3.6
Q7. I feel comfortable going outside of my immediate community	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.8 Hh	3.9 h	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.8	4.1	4.0 q	3.8	3.9	4.0 TUtU	3.5	3.6
Q8. I am satisfied with my current level of integration	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8 h	3.8	4.0	3.7 Jj	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.0 r	3.9	3.7	3.9 TUtU	3.4	3.2
INCLUSION																					
Q1. Community organizations make an effort to include me	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5 Jj	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.7 Rr	3.6 r	3.4	3.6 TUtU	3.3	3.0

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD).

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 246

Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	WHITE ONLY (K)	ETHNIC MINRTY (L)	BLACK (M)	ASIAN (N)	OTHER (O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Q2. People without a disability treat me as an equal	3.5	3.5 d	3.5 d	3.2 Ee	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.3 Jj	3.7	3.4 LNlmn	3.6	3.7	3.8 o	3.3	3.6 Rr	3.5 Rr	3.2	3.5 Tt	3.2	3.2
Q3. People see beyond my disability and try to get to know me	3.5	3.6 Dd	3.7 Dd	3.2 Ee	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.4 Jj	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.6 Ttu	3.2	3.3
Q4. People treat me with respect	4.0	4.0 d	4.0	3.8 e	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9 Jj	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0 Tt	3.6 u	3.9
Q5. I am included in conversations	3.7	3.7	3.8 d	3.5 e	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.5 Jj	4.0	3.7 m	3.8	4.0 n	3.6	3.7	3.9 Rr	3.8 Rr	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.5
Q6. I have friends who do not have developmental disabilities	3.7	3.7 Ee	3.9 Dd	3.5 Ee	4.0	3.7 h	3.7 Hh	4.0	3.6 Jj	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.9 q	3.7	3.7	3.8 Tt	3.3	3.6
Q7. I am satisfied with my level of inclusion in society	3.6	3.6 e	3.6	3.5 e	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.4 Jj	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.7 r	3.7 Rr	3.4	3.7 Tutu	3.3	3.2
SELF-DETERMINATION																					
Q1. I have the freedom necessary to make important decisions and choices based upon my needs	3.5	3.4 Dd	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.3 Jj	3.9	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.9 QRqr	3.6 Rr	3.2	3.6 Tutu	3.3 u	2.8
Q2. I have the authority to control resources and make decisions	3.2	3.2 Ee	3.1 e	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.1 Jj	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.5 Rr	3.3 Rr	3.0	3.3 Uu	3.1	2.7
Q3. I have the support I need to live the kind of life I choose	3.8	3.8 Ee	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.7 Jj	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.8	4.1	4.1 Rr	3.9 Rr	3.7	3.9 Tutu	3.6	3.3

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE) (FGH) (IJ) (KLMNO) (PQR) (STU)

Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.

Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD)

Run details: #1386

5/8/2

Page 247

Continued

Table 120-1
Summary table of means

	COUNTY OF SUPPORT					YEARS OF PARTICIPATION			HOW OLD		ETHNICITY					DEGREE OF DISABILITY			OVERALL SATISFACTION		
											*****ANY*****										
											WHITE ONLY	ETHNIC MINRTY	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER						
	TOTAL (A)	HENN (B)	RAMSEY (C)	DAKOTA (D)	OTHER (E)	<1 (F)	1-2 (G)	>2 (H)	<19 (I)	19+ (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	MILD (P)	MOD (Q)	SEVERE (R)	HIGH (S)	SOME- WHAT (T)	NETHR/ NOT (U)
Q4. I have the opportunity to be responsible and accountable for the decisions I make	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.4 Jj	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.8 Rr	3.6 Rr	3.2	3.6 Tutu	3.2	3.1
Q5. I am satisfied with my current level of self-determination	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.5 Jj	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.9 Rr	3.8 Rr	3.5	3.8 Tutu	3.2	3.1

Significance testing run on all means and percents at the 95% CL (upper case letters) and the 90% CL (lower case letters): (BCDE)(FGH)(IJ)(KLMNO)(PQR)(STU)
Significance for cells in columns with fewer than 35 respondents should be interpreted with caution to ensure validity of the normality assumption.
Produced by MarketResponse International USA for Minnesota Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (GCDD) Run details: #1386 5/8/2 Page 248

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002

Independence

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A159	3.69	1	Level of independence: SAT
A152	4.20	0.389	I can get2where I want to go
A153	3.55	0.559	I control my daily schedule
A154	3.92	0.580	I have privacy to be alone
A155	4.27	0.330	Limited access 2 personal info
A156	3.53	0.564	I set goals for myself
A157	3.37	0.597	I decide how I spend my money
A158	3.40	0.605	Make decisions about my future

Correlation Matrix

	A159	A152	A153	A154	A155	A156	A157	A158
A159	1							
A152	0.388	1						
A153	0.559	0.483	1					
A154	0.580	0.468	0.676	1				
A155	0.330	0.314	0.329	0.380	1			
A156	0.564	0.341	0.637	0.552	0.314	1		
A157	0.597	0.317	0.619	0.539	0.337	0.768	1	
A158	0.604	0.285	0.648	0.516	0.264	0.789	0.846	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002

Independence

Used 603 respondents

Dependent Var = A159 Level of independence: SAT

NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----					
Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A152	0.0121	0.0307	0.0428	8.79	I can get2where I want to go
A154	0.0763	0.084	0.1603	32.93	I have privacy to be alone
A155	0.0041	0.0171	0.0212	4.36	Limited access 2 personal info
A157	0.0213	0.0658	0.0871	17.9	I decide how I spend my money

A158 0.0842 0.0912 0.1754 36.03 Make decisions about my future

0.4868 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS
0.4868 = R-SQUARE

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002
Productivity

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A165	3.92	1	Level of productivity: SAT
A160	3.91	0.560	Have responsibility @home/work
A161	4.22	0.518	I'm improving my skills
A162	4.50	0.394	Recognition for things I do
A163	3.99	0.358	Technology to assist me
A164	4.04	0.669	Work system ez2 function in

Correlation Matrix

	A165	A160	A161	A162	A163	A164
A165	1					
A160	0.56	1				
A161	0.518	0.608	1			
A162	0.394	0.379	0.515	1		
A163	0.358	0.238	0.329	0.307	1	
A164	0.669	0.411	0.449	0.411	0.486	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002
Productivity

Used **597** respondents

Dependent Var = A165 **Level of productivity: SAT**
 NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----

Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A160	0.0785	0.0785	0.1569	28.29	Have responsibility @home/work

A161 0.0154 0.0489 0.0642 11.58 I'm improving my skills
A164 0.2484 0.0851 0.3335 60.12 Work system ez2 function in

0.5547 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS
0.5547 = R-SQUARE

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002
Integration

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A173	3.83	1	Level of integration: SAT
A166	3.97	0.503	Resources are available
A167	4.00	0.531	Personal support is available
A168	3.94	0.580	Do things with people my age
A169	3.89	0.499	Comm. knows my DD and needs
A170	3.81	0.589	Rights 2 equality acknowledged
A171	3.86	0.594	Relationships with non DD
A172	3.90	0.529	Comfortable outside my comm.

Correlation Matrix

	A173	A166	A167	A168	A169	A170	A171	A172
A173	1							
A166	0.503	1						
A167	0.531	0.746	1					
A168	0.580	0.489	0.513	1				
A169	0.499	0.479	0.488	0.531	1			
A170	0.588	0.539	0.563	0.502	0.732	1		
A171	0.594	0.421	0.482	0.569	0.524	0.527	1	
A172	0.529	0.318	0.300	0.362	0.314	0.363	0.453	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002

Integration

Used 610 respondents
Dependent Var = A173 Level of integration: SAT

NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

Var ID	*-----Effects-----*		Net	Percent	Name
	Direct	Indirect			
A167	0.0193	0.0544	0.0737	13.1	Personal support is available
A168	0.0418	0.0768	0.1186	21.1	Do things with people my age
A170	0.0494	0.0814	0.1307	23.25	Rights 2 equality acknowledged
A171	0.0322	0.0743	0.1065	18.94	Relationships with non DD
A172	0.063	0.0697	0.1327	23.6	Comfortable outside my comm.

0.5623 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS
0.5623 = R-SQUARE

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002

Inclusion

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A180	3.60	1	Level of Inclusion: SAT
A174	3.56	0.537	Comm.Orgs try to include me
A175	3.47	0.636	Others(no-DD)treat me as equal
A176	3.54	0.683	People try to get to know me
A177	3.97	0.626	People treat me with respect
A178	3.70	0.657	I'm included in conversations
A179	3.74	0.595	I have non-DD friends

Correlation Matrix

	A180	A174	A175	A176	A177	A178	A179
A180	1						
A174	0.537	1					
A175	0.636	0.522	1				
A176	0.683	0.516	0.762	1			
A177	0.626	0.439	0.660	0.692	1		
A178	0.657	0.426	0.622	0.628	0.650	1	
A179	0.594	0.362	0.482	0.552	0.464	0.502	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002

Inclusion

Used **607** respondents

Dependent Var = A180 **Level of Inclusion: SAT**

NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----					
Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A174	0.0324	0.0643	0.0967	15.5	Comm.Orgs try to include me
A176	0.05	0.1027	0.1527	24.48	People try to get to know me
A177	0.0172	0.0649	0.0821	13.16	People treat me with respect
A178	0.059	0.1006	0.1596	25.59	I'm included in conversations
A179	0.0498	0.0829	0.1327	21.27	I have non-DD friends

0.6238 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS

0.6238 = R-SQUARE

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002

Self-determination

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A185	3.70	1	Level of selfDetermination:SAT
A181	3.52	0.715	Make important choices
A182	3.24	0.652	I control resources
A183	3.85	0.669	Have support I need
A184	3.54	0.694	Responsible & accountable

Correlation Matrix

	A185	A181	A182	A183	A184
A185	1				
A181	0.715	1			
A182	0.652	0.769	1		
A183	0.669	0.666	0.598	1	

A184 0.694 0.734 0.738 0.645 1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod

GCDD 2002

Self-determination

Used **596** respondents

Dependent Var = A185 **Level of selfDetermination:SAT**

NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----					
Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A181	0.0843	0.1233	0.2076	33.9	Make important choices**
A182	0.0083	0.051	0.0592	9.67	I control resources**
A183	0.0699	0.107	0.1769	28.89	Have support I need**
A184	0.0591	0.1096	0.1687	27.54	Responsible & accountable**

0.6125 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS

0.6125 = R-SQUARE

Pair_wise Correlation
Input file:GCDD_ONE.MOD

pairwise_rr
Run on:12-May-02

Correlation of variables with: A149 Overall Satisfaction				
VARIABLE	MEAN	COUNT	STD DEV	CORRELATION
A150 Likelihood to recommend	6.434	631	1.068	.753
A151 Expectations	5.556	624	1.518	.690

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002
Quality of life-Overall satisfaction
**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A149	6.19	1	Overall Satisfaction
A39	4.27	0.344	Life routine -now more typical
A40	4.11	0.329	I feel more empowered
A41	4.36	0.447	Reduced overall stress level
A42	3.92	0.137	I can live where I choose
A43	3.83	0.285	Can make adaptations 2 my home
A44	4.41	0.465	More flexibility 2meet myNeeds
A45	4.37	0.271	I can select who works with me
A46	4.29	0.283	Staff more personal care hours
A47	4.28	0.309	Can retain staff longer
A48	4.33	0.345	Can get higher quality staff
A49	4.05	0.246	Can get more respite care
A50	4.00	0.314	Get skills more quickly
A51	4.42	0.344	Get out into community more
A52	4.11	0.307	More interaction with family
A53	3.75	0.305	Spend time with non DD people
A54	3.75	0.264	Can get equipment
A55	4.22	0.486	Money better allocated
A56	3.75	0.247	Costs have decreased(program)

Correlation Matrix										
	A149	A39	A40	A41	A42	A43	A44	A45	A46	A47
A149	1									
A39	0.344	1								
A40	0.329	0.548	1							
A41	0.446	0.563	0.526	1						
A42	0.137	0.270	0.265	0.234	1					
A43	0.285	0.246	0.278	0.345	0.329	1				
A44	0.465	0.453	0.487	0.564	0.252	0.455	1			
A45	0.271	0.402	0.424	0.388	0.278	0.257	0.449	1		
A46	0.282	0.427	0.403	0.451	0.196	0.214	0.412	0.513	1	
A47	0.309	0.395	0.356	0.453	0.211	0.263	0.431	0.584	0.657	1

A48	0.345	0.415	0.365	0.467	0.215	0.233	0.414	0.584	0.526	0.812
A49	0.246	0.338	0.290	0.389	0.136	0.180	0.284	0.346	0.489	0.435
A50	0.314	0.475	0.455	0.448	0.176	0.237	0.458	0.384	0.422	0.453
A51	0.344	0.437	0.385	0.442	0.188	0.199	0.498	0.367	0.432	0.397
A52	0.307	0.509	0.373	0.471	0.210	0.250	0.408	0.343	0.401	0.387
A53	0.305	0.416	0.411	0.359	0.242	0.248	0.395	0.321	0.332	0.342
A54	0.264	0.287	0.314	0.371	0.259	0.438	0.420	0.398	0.364	0.385
A55	0.486	0.362	0.447	0.445	0.218	0.285	0.524	0.397	0.393	0.414
A56	0.247	0.296	0.371	0.288	0.265	0.205	0.324	0.316	0.302	0.356

	A48	A49	A50	A51	A52	A53	A54	A55	A56
A48	1								
A49	0.436	1							
A50	0.467	0.383	1						
A51	0.439	0.391	0.597	1					
A52	0.452	0.374	0.540	0.592	1				
A53	0.338	0.249	0.500	0.477	0.525	1			
A54	0.348	0.276	0.419	0.359	0.373	0.432	1		
A55	0.398	0.277	0.397	0.458	0.382	0.387	0.410	1	
A56	0.317	0.237	0.297	0.319	0.292	0.297	0.339	0.514	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002
Quality of life-Overall satisfaction
Used 506 respondents
Dependent Var = A149 Overall Satisfaction
NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A41	0.0426	0.0496	0.0922	28.37	Reduced overall stress level
A44	0.0381	0.0526	0.0906	27.89	More flexibility 2meet myNeeds
A55	0.0854	0.0567	0.1421	43.74	Money better allocated

0.3249 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS
0.3249 = R-SQUARE

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002

Quality of life-Likelihood
**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A150	6.44	1	Likelihood to recommend
A39	4.28	0.335	Life routine -now more typical
A40	4.12	0.321	I feel more empowered
A41	4.37	0.506	Reduced overall stress level
A42	3.91	0.130	I can live where I choose
A43	3.84	0.254	Can make adaptations 2 my home
A44	4.42	0.412	More flexibility 2meet myNeeds
A45	4.38	0.306	I can select who works with me
A46	4.30	0.340	Staff more personal care hours
A47	4.29	0.320	Can retain staff longer
A48	4.33	0.345	Can get higher quality staff
A49	4.05	0.265	Can get more respite care
A50	4.01	0.319	Get skills more quickly
A51	4.43	0.369	Get out into community more
A52	4.11	0.302	More interaction with family
A53	3.76	0.266	Spend time with non DD people
A54	3.76	0.295	Can get equipment
A55	4.23	0.423	Money better allocated
A56	3.76	0.215	Costs have decreased(program)

Correlation Matrix										
	A150	A39	A40	A41	A42	A43	A44	A45	A46	A47
A150	1									
A39	0.335	1								
A40	0.321	0.545	1							
A41	0.506	0.558	0.525	1						
A42	0.130	0.273	0.275	0.232	1					
A43	0.254	0.234	0.260	0.345	0.334	1				
A44	0.412	0.443	0.468	0.567	0.261	0.443	1			
A45	0.306	0.397	0.423	0.386	0.277	0.256	0.451	1		
A46	0.340	0.417	0.384	0.452	0.202	0.199	0.394	0.515	1	
A47	0.320	0.385	0.336	0.453	0.217	0.249	0.414	0.587	0.648	1

A48	0.345	0.410	0.363	0.465	0.212	0.232	0.416	0.582	0.528	0.818
A49	0.265	0.336	0.295	0.390	0.131	0.187	0.295	0.346	0.501	0.446
A50	0.319	0.472	0.443	0.446	0.185	0.219	0.440	0.382	0.405	0.437
A51	0.369	0.433	0.367	0.445	0.201	0.179	0.479	0.369	0.414	0.378
A52	0.302	0.511	0.382	0.470	0.207	0.255	0.419	0.341	0.410	0.396
A53	0.266	0.407	0.396	0.359	0.245	0.240	0.384	0.321	0.321	0.331
A54	0.294	0.275	0.296	0.372	0.263	0.430	0.407	0.398	0.352	0.374
A55	0.423	0.357	0.434	0.442	0.228	0.268	0.507	0.395	0.375	0.396
A56	0.215	0.290	0.359	0.279	0.271	0.188	0.302	0.309	0.283	0.339

	A48	A49	A50	A51	A52	A53	A54	A55	A56
A48	1								
A49	0.436	1							
A50	0.466	0.389	1						
A51	0.443	0.405	0.586	1					
A52	0.451	0.371	0.551	0.612	1				
A53	0.338	0.255	0.488	0.465	0.532	1			
A54	0.348	0.283	0.404	0.342	0.379	0.425	1		
A55	0.396	0.281	0.384	0.443	0.391	0.373	0.394	1	
A56	0.309	0.236	0.285	0.306	0.295	0.283	0.324	0.506	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod

GCDD 2002

Quality of life-Likelihood

Used 505 respondents

Dependent Var = A150 Likelihood to recommend

NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

	-----Effects-----				
Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A41	0.1301	0.0523	0.1824	57.95	Reduced overall stress level
A51	0.013	0.029	0.042	13.34	Get out into community more
A55	0.0455	0.0448	0.0903	28.71	Money better allocated

0.3147 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS

0.3147 = R-SQUARE

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002
Quality of life-Expectations
**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A151	5.56	1	Expectations
A39	4.27	0.260	Life routine -now more typical
A40	4.12	0.296	I feel more empowered
A41	4.37	0.426	Reduced overall stress level
A42	3.92	0.081	I can live where I choose
A43	3.84	0.248	Can make adaptations 2 my home
A44	4.42	0.454	More flexibility 2meet myNeeds
A45	4.38	0.213	I can select who works with me
A46	4.30	0.226	Staff more personal care hours
A47	4.29	0.241	Can retain staff longer
A48	4.35	0.234	Can get higher quality staff
A49	4.06	0.210	Can get more respite care
A50	4.01	0.255	Get skills more quickly
A51	4.43	0.306	Get out into community more
A52	4.12	0.247	More interaction with family
A53	3.76	0.301	Spend time with non DD people
A54	3.76	0.271	Can get equipment
A55	4.22	0.405	Money better allocated
A56	3.75	0.146	Costs have decreased(program)

Correlation Matrix										
	A151	A39	A40	A41	A42	A43	A44	A45	A46	A47
A151	1									
A39	0.260	1								
A40	0.296	0.552	1							
A41	0.426	0.554	0.529	1						
A42	0.081	0.266	0.270	0.219	1					
A43	0.248	0.240	0.272	0.342	0.322	1				
A44	0.454	0.448	0.487	0.562	0.249	0.463	1			
A45	0.213	0.393	0.423	0.380	0.272	0.257	0.447	1		
A46	0.226	0.416	0.406	0.435	0.181	0.209	0.407	0.508	1	
A47	0.241	0.392	0.353	0.446	0.194	0.248	0.435	0.588	0.659	1

A48	0.234	0.411	0.366	0.452	0.195	0.216	0.415	0.588	0.514	0.808
A49	0.210	0.329	0.286	0.376	0.121	0.167	0.281	0.342	0.478	0.423
A50	0.255	0.475	0.458	0.437	0.177	0.229	0.453	0.378	0.408	0.450
A51	0.306	0.433	0.391	0.428	0.183	0.190	0.498	0.361	0.413	0.392
A52	0.247	0.502	0.378	0.456	0.198	0.245	0.403	0.333	0.381	0.381
A53	0.301	0.408	0.408	0.348	0.231	0.241	0.393	0.317	0.319	0.331
A54	0.271	0.274	0.312	0.360	0.250	0.439	0.416	0.393	0.351	0.382
A55	0.405	0.358	0.451	0.434	0.209	0.279	0.521	0.389	0.382	0.407
A56	0.146	0.295	0.370	0.283	0.272	0.199	0.322	0.309	0.298	0.358

	A48	A49	A50	A51	A52	A53	A54	A55	A56
A48	1								
A49	0.415	1							
A50	0.455	0.367	1						
A51	0.421	0.373	0.586	1					
A52	0.438	0.359	0.533	0.582	1				
A53	0.319	0.232	0.488	0.464	0.517	1			
A54	0.335	0.263	0.405	0.342	0.358	0.425	1		
A55	0.389	0.265	0.397	0.457	0.373	0.378	0.400	1	
A56	0.318	0.231	0.295	0.320	0.291	0.294	0.334	0.518	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCDD 2002

Quality of life-Expectations

Used 521 respondents

Dependent Var = A151 Expectations

NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----					
Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A41	0.0469	0.0471	0.0939	33.11	Reduced overall stress level
A44	0.0533	0.0525	0.1058	37.3	More flexibility 2meet myNeeds
A55	0.0407	0.0433	0.084	29.59	Money better allocated

0.2837 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS
0.2837 = R-SQUARE

Filename: gcdd_one.mod

GCdd 2002

Case Manager

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A113	6.15	1	CM:Overall satisfaction
A105	4.30	0.810	CM:trained on directed program
A106	4.45	0.884	CM:is responsive to my needs
A107	4.70	0.772	CM:treats me with respect
A108	4.52	0.802	CM:understands our needs
A109	4.40	0.858	CM:makes things simpler 4 me
A110	4.46	0.848	CM:shows flexibility
A111	4.34	0.871	CM:advocate 4 me in system
A112	4.62	0.833	CM:tries to do the right thing

Correlation Matrix

	A113	A105	A106	A107	A108	A109	A110	A111	A112
A113	1								
A105	0.810	1							
A106	0.884	0.810	1						
A107	0.772	0.671	0.766	1					
A108	0.802	0.767	0.778	0.732	1				
A109	0.858	0.782	0.823	0.715	0.776	1			
A110	0.848	0.745	0.826	0.788	0.747	0.812	1		
A111	0.871	0.791	0.840	0.718	0.780	0.819	0.838	1	
A112	0.833	0.712	0.798	0.838	0.785	0.774	0.837	0.819	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod

GCdd 2002

Case Manager

Used 611 respondents

Dependent Var = A113 CM:Overall satisfaction

NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----

Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A105	0.0064	0.0584	0.0648	7.43	CM:trained on directed program
A106	0.0769	0.1682	0.2451	28.1	CM:is responsive to my needs

A108	0.0034	0.0435	0.047	5.39	CM:understands our needs
A109	0.0377	0.129	0.1666	19.11	CM:makes things simpler 4 me
A110	0.0095	0.0732	0.0827	9.48	CM:shows flexibility
A111	0.0342	0.1268	0.161	18.46	CM:advocate 4 me in system
A112	0.0159	0.0891	0.105	12.04	CM:tries to do the right thing

0.8721 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS
0.8721 = R-SQUARE

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCdd 2002

Fiscal Intermediary

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A131	6.08	1	FI:Overall satisfaction
A122	4.39	0.753	FI:trained on directed program
A123	4.38	0.809	FI:is responsive to my needs
A124	4.59	0.678	FI:treats me with respect
A125	4.44	0.691	FI:understands our needs
A126	4.34	0.809	FI:makes things simpler 4 me
A127	4.36	0.783	FI:shows flexibility
A128	4.06	0.753	FI:advocate 4 me in system
A129	4.48	0.740	FI:tries to do the right thing
A130	4.44	0.721	FI:keeps accurate records

Correlation Matrix										
	A131	A122	A123	A124	A125	A126	A127	A128	A129	A130
A131	1									
A122	0.753	1								
A123	0.809	0.782	1							
A124	0.678	0.688	0.749	1						
A125	0.691	0.737	0.746	0.719	1					
A126	0.809	0.750	0.821	0.743	0.761	1				
A127	0.783	0.695	0.796	0.767	0.737	0.845	1			
A128	0.753	0.667	0.737	0.632	0.745	0.769	0.781	1		

A129	0.740	0.746	0.808	0.793	0.770	0.801	0.807	0.773	1	
A130	0.721	0.639	0.661	0.623	0.587	0.626	0.637	0.582	0.679	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod
GCdd 2002
Fiscal Intermediary
Used 490 respondents
Dependent Var = A131 FI:Overall satisfaction
NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----					
Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A122	0.0173	0.0818	0.0991	12.74	FI:trained on directed program
A123	0.0368	0.118	0.1547	19.89	FI:is responsive to my needs
A126	0.0436	0.125	0.1686	21.67	FI:makes things simpler 4 me
A127	0.0102	0.0688	0.079	10.15	FI:shows flexibility
A128	0.0214	0.0879	0.1093	14.05	FI:advocate 4 me in system
A130	0.0539	0.1133	0.1673	21.5	FI:keeps accurate records

0.7779 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS
0.7779 = R-SQUARE

***** Filename: gcdd_one.mod

GCDD 2002

Overall Satisfaction

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A149	6.17	1	Overall Satisfaction
A87	5.78	0.622	Cty:SocServ.Overall Sat.
A113	6.04	0.487	CM:Overall satisfaction
A131	6.10	0.423	FI:Overall satisfaction
A159	3.71	0.193	Level of independence: SAT
A165	3.92	0.254	Level of productivity: SAT
A180	3.58	0.262	Level of Inclusion: SAT
A185	3.67	0.304	Level of selfDetermination:SAT
A173	3.82	0.287	Level of integration: SAT

Correlation Matrix									
	A149	A87	A113	A131	A159	A165	A180	A185	A173
A149	1								
A87	0.622	1							
A113	0.487	0.745	1						
A131	0.422	0.234	0.175	1					
A159	0.193	0.191	0.120	0.018	1				
A165	0.254	0.250	0.221	0.154	0.547	1			
A180	0.262	0.303	0.218	0.140	0.372	0.429	1		
A185	0.304	0.254	0.168	0.163	0.534	0.514	0.485	1	
A173	0.287	0.252	0.216	0.194	0.390	0.471	0.636	0.489	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod

GCDD 2002

Overall Satisfaction

Used 424 respondents

Dependent Var = A149 Overall Satisfaction
NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----					
Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A87	0.2754	0.0509	0.3263	67.64	Cty:SocServ.Overall Sat.
A131	0.0781	0.0400	0.1181	24.48	FI:Overall satisfaction
A185	0.0157	0.0224	0.0380	7.88	Level of selfDetermination:SAT

0.4824 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS

0.4824 = R-SQUARE

Filename: gcdd_one.mod

GCDD 2002

Likelihood to Recommend

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A150	6.43	1	Likelihood to recommend
A87	5.79	0.473	Cty:SocServ.Overall Sat.
A113	6.04	0.357	CM:Overall satisfaction
A131	6.11	0.380	FI:Overall satisfaction

A159 3.73 0.100 Level of independence: SAT
A165 3.94 0.186 Level of productivity: SAT
A180 3.58 0.188 Level of Inclusion: SAT
A185 3.68 0.216 Level of selfDetermination: SAT
A173 3.83 0.226 Level of integration: SAT

Correlation Matrix									
	A150	A87	A113	A131	A159	A165	A180	A185	A173
A150	1								
A87	0.473	1							
A113	0.357	0.745	1						
A131	0.379	0.223	0.181	1					
A159	0.100	0.163	0.100	-0.004	1				
A165	0.186	0.219	0.202	0.132	0.533	1			
A180	0.188	0.282	0.194	0.146	0.368	0.430	1		
A185	0.216	0.227	0.144	0.161	0.528	0.508	0.478	1	
A173	0.226	0.235	0.200	0.193	0.382	0.460	0.635	0.483	1

Filename: godd_one.mod
GCDD 2002

Likelihood to Recommend

Used 422 respondents
Dependent Var = A150 Likelihood to recommend
 NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----					
Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A87	0.1673	0.0263	0.1936	63.9	Cty:SocServ.Overall Sat.
A131	0.0831	0.0263	0.1094	36.1	FI:Overall satisfaction

0.303 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS
0.303 = R-SQUARE

Filename: godd_one.mod
GCDD 2002
Expectations

**** Initial Variables ****

VarID	Mean	Corr W/Dep	Variable Name
A151	5.48	1	Expectations
A87	5.80	0.593	Cty:SocServ.Overall Sat.
A113	6.05	0.413	CM:Overall satisfaction
A131	6.09	0.311	FI:Overall satisfaction
A159	3.72	0.176	Level of independence: SAT
A165	3.93	0.225	Level of productivity: SAT
A180	3.59	0.241	Level of Inclusion: SAT
A185	3.69	0.254	Level of selfDetermination:SAT
A173	3.83	0.245	Level of integration: SAT

Correlation Matrix

	A151	A87	A113	A131	A159	A165	A180	A185	A173
A151	1								
A87	0.593	1							
A113	0.413	0.741	1						
A131	0.311	0.222	0.166	1					
A159	0.176	0.181	0.100	0.019	1				
A165	0.225	0.211	0.188	0.147	0.557	1			
A180	0.241	0.289	0.197	0.146	0.378	0.428	1		
A185	0.254	0.239	0.146	0.169	0.535	0.514	0.474	1	
A173	0.245	0.225	0.194	0.189	0.396	0.461	0.644	0.494	1

Filename: gcdd_one.mod

GCDD 2002

Expectations

Used 417 respondents


Dependent Var = A151 Expectations

NET EFFECTS MULTIPLE REGRESSION

-----Effects-----					
Var ID	Direct	Indirect	Net	Percent	Name
A87	0.2813	0.0331	0.3144	79.83	Cty:SocServ.Overall Sat.
A131	0.0311	0.0237	0.0549	13.93	FI:Overall satisfaction
A185	0.0094	0.0152	0.0246	6.24	Level of selfDetermination:SAT

0.3939 = SUM OF NET EFFECTS

0.3939 = R-SQUARE



Consumer Directed Supports Assessment Survey

MarketResponse Inc.

MarketResponse International

April 2002,

Dear Participant:


Thank you for participating in this important Consumer Directed Supports Assessment Survey. You have received this survey because you participate in the Consumer Directed Community Supports (CDCS) program offered by the Department of Human Services. The purpose of this survey is to understand the impact that consumer directed support programs have had on individuals with developmental disabilities and families and to help improve provided services.

Your opinions are very important and we appreciate your effort. Please respond keeping in mind there are no right or wrong answers, it is your opinions that count. If you are not sure how to respond, please provide your best guess. All of the information you share by participating in this survey will be kept confidential; your participation will not directly impact any government or private services or support you may be receiving.

The content of this survey was created with direct input from people with developmental disabilities and their families. We had the opportunity to meet with people living in the metro and rural areas of Minnesota. We are grateful to them for sharing their personal experiences.

Please complete and return the survey in the postage paid envelope as soon as possible. All surveys should be completed and mailed to us by **April 19, 2002**.

Sincerely,



Tom Pearson
Managing Director

Directions: Please complete the survey from the perspective of the person with a developmental disability. The person who has the developmental disability should be directly involved in completing this survey as much as possible.

A. Who is responding to this survey? **Check all that apply.**

- Person with a developmental disability ☐
- Parent or other family member of the person with a developmental disability ... ☐
- Other primary caregiver of the person with a developmental disability ☐
- Other (please specify) _____ ☐

B. How old are you (the person with a developmental disability)? _____ Years old

C. When were you diagnosed with a developmental disability? At Birth .. ☐ or _____ Years old

D. What is the nature and severity of your developmental disability? **Check all that apply.**

	<u>Mild</u>	<u>Moderate</u>	<u>Severe</u>
Autism	☐	☐	☐
Cerebral Palsy.....	☐	☐	☐
Epilepsy.....	☐	☐	☐
Head Injury	☐	☐	☐
Mental Retardation.....	☐	☐	☐
Other (please specify): _____	☐	☐	☐
Other (please specify): _____	☐	☐	☐

E. In general, how does your developmental disability impact your ability to...

	<u>Not at all</u>	<u>A little</u>	<u>A lot</u>
Learn.	☐	☐	☐
Take care of yourself	☐	☐	☐
Live independently	☐	☐	☐
Be mobile	☐	☐	☐
Be economically self-sufficient	☐	☐	☐
Communicate through language.....	☐	☐	☐

Consumer Directed Supports

If you are uncertain how to answer these questions, please provide your best guess.

1. How long have you been participating in a consumer directed support program?
- Less than 1 year ☐ Between 1 year and two years ☐ More than two years ☐
2. What is the current annual consumer directed supports budget your county has set for you?..... \$_____ per year
3. Do you pay a fee (parental fee) to participate in consumer directed supports? Yes ☐ No ☐
- 3a. If so, what is your annual fee (parental fee) for participating in consumer directed supports? \$_____ per year
4. Prior to participating in a consumer directed support program, have you had any experience with licensed service providers? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please rate how your consumer directed support program has impacted your life in the following aspects.

- In the first column rate your agreement with the statement. Check only one box.
- In the second column rate whether the program has met your expectations in this area. Check only one box.

Parents please answer these questions from the perspective of your child where applicable.

Quality of Life	Agreement				
	Agree		Neither	Disagree	
	Strongly	Somewhat		Somewhat	Strongly
1. My life routine has become more typical because of supports available under this program	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. I feel more empowered	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. This program has reduced my (or my family's) overall stress level -- life is easier.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Environment					
4. I have been able to live where I choose	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. I have been able to make necessary adaptations to my home.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Flexibility					
3. This program has given me more flexibility to meet my specific needs.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

● **Staffing**

Agreement

Agree		Disagree		
Strongly	Somewhat	Neither	Somewhat	Strongly

- | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 7. I am better able to select who works with me. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 8. I have been able to staff more personal care hours. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 9. I have been able to retain staff longer | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 10. I have been able to obtain higher quality staff (more knowledgeable, reliable, competent). | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 11. I have been able to obtain more respite care. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

Individual Development

- | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 12. I have developed skills more quickly because of resources available under the program. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 13. I have been able to get out into the community more. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 14. I have more interaction and improved relationships with my siblings and other family members. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| ● 15. I have been able to spend more time with people my age who do not have a developmental disability. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 16. I have been able to obtain equipment to help me integrate into the community. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

The System

- | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 17. Money is being allocated better within the system. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 18. I believe the overall cost to administer my services and supports has decreased under this program. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

For each of the following sections, please rate your satisfaction with the various groups responsible for delivering your consumer directed support program.

County

1. County of residence _____
2. Which county provides your consumer directed support? _____

Please state how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements about your county's performance related to your consumer directed support program ...

	Agreement				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
3. Information about consumer directed supports is available in a format I can understand.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. I know whom to contact at county social services if I have questions. .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Dealing with county social services is not intimidating or overwhelming.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. County social services people are responsive to my needs and take appropriate action when necessary	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. The County social services people I deal with treat me with respect. . .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. County social services understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
9. County social services tries to make things simpler for me	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
10. People in my county social services department show flexibility when dealing with me.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
11. People in county social services genuinely try to do the right thing. . .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
12. County social services sets clear expectations for my successful participation in this program	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
13. Overall, how satisfied are you with your county social services department?	Very satisfied		Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		Very dissatisfied
	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

County Case Manager / Social Worker

Please state how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements about your case manager's performance related to consumer directed support programs ...

	Agreement				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. My case manager understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. My case manager is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. My case manager treats me with respect.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Case Manager -- continued

	Agreement				
	Agree		Neither	Disagree	
	Strongly	Somewhat		Somewhat	Strongly
4. My case manager understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. My case manager tries to make things simpler for me	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. My case manager shows flexibility when dealing with me.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. My case manager is an advocate for me within the system.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. My case manager is genuinely trying to do the right thing.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
	Very satisfied		Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		Very dissatisfied
9. Overall, how satisfied are you with your case manager?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Fiscal Intermediary

1. Do you use a fiscal intermediary as a part of your consumer directed support program? Yes ☐ No ☐

1b. If so, which organization? _____

If not, skip to next section ➡

2. Which functions does your fiscal intermediary provide for you? (Select all that apply)

Facilitates payments ☐ / reimbursements Employer of ☐ Record Service ☐ Coordinator

Please state how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements about your fiscal intermediary's performance related to consumer directed support programs.

	Agreement				
	Agree		Neither	Disagree	
	Strongly	Somewhat		Somewhat	Strongly
3. My fiscal intermediary understands and is well-trained on consumer directed support programs	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. My fiscal intermediary is responsive to my needs and takes appropriate action when necessary	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. My fiscal intermediary treats me with respect.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. My fiscal intermediary understands the needs of people with developmental disabilities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. My fiscal intermediary tries to make things simpler for me	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. My fiscal intermediary shows flexibility when dealing with me.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
9. My fiscal intermediary is an advocate for me within the system. . .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
10. My fiscal intermediary is genuinely trying to do the right thing. . . .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
11. My fiscal intermediary keeps accurate records of my transactions and accounts	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
	Very Satisfied		Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		Very dissatisfied
12. Overall, how satisfied are you with your fiscal intermediary? . .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Approval Decision Appeals

1. Have you ever filed an appeal related to expenditure decisions made about your consumer directed support program? Yes ☐ No ☐

1b. If so, how many? _____ If not, skip to next section (Training/Assistance Options) ↓

Please state how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements about the appeal process related to your consumer directed support program .

	Agreement				
	Agree		Neither	Disagree	
	Strongly	Somewhat		Somewhat	Strongly
2. The appeal process was not intimidating or overwhelming	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. The individuals involved in the appeal process understood and were well-trained on consumer directed support program	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. I was treated with respect throughout the appeal process	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. The individuals involved with the appeal process understand the needs of people with developmental disabilities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
	Very satisfied		Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		Very dissatisfied
6. Overall, how satisfied are you with the appeals process?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Training / Assistance Options

Please rate how important you believe the following options would be to improving your ability to work within the program:

	Importance				
	Important		Neither	Unimportant	
	Very	Somewhat		Somewhat	Very
1. Parent to parent training sessions.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Training on finding and hiring staff.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Training on staff management (e.g. timesheets, performance, etc.) . .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. Parent mentor program (benefit from experienced parents).	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Math / Financial / Accounting training.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. Assistance finding diagnosis-specific equipment catalogs.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. Support groups with parents of children that are of a similar age and disability.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. Support for individuals /parents of different cultures and languages. . .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
9. Training around diagnosis, treatment tools and methods.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Overall Satisfaction

-
- Figure 1 shows a 3x7 Likert scale diagram. The first row has labels 'Very satisfied', 'Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied', and 'Very dissatisfied'. The second row has labels 'Very likely', 'Neither likely nor unlikely', and 'Very unlikely'. The third row has labels 'Exceeded Expectations', 'Met Expectations', and 'Failed Expectations'. Each label is centered over a group of seven empty square boxes.

Thinking beyond consumer directed supports to your **overall quality of life**, on the following pages please indicate how much you agree or disagree with each statement. Please complete these sections from the **perspective of the person with a developmental disability**. The person who has the developmental disability should be directly involved in completing this survey as much as possible.

Independence

Mobility / Control / Privacy

- [illegible]

Productivity

Responsibility / Skills / Recognition

	Agree			Disagree	
	Strongly	Somewhat	Neither	Somewhat	Strongly
1. I have an appropriate amount of responsibility at home or at work.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. I have been improving my skills at home or at work	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. I receive recognition for the things that I do	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. I have access to assistive products and technology that supports my productivity	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. The system I am currently working under is easy to function within and supports my productivity	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. I am satisfied with my current level of productivity	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Integration

Rights / Availability / Opportunity

	Agree			Disagree	
	Strongly	Somewhat	Neither	Somewhat	Strongly
1. Resources that I need are available in my community.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The personal support I require is available in my community. .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. I have opportunities to do things with people my age.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. My community acknowledges that I have different needs because of my developmental disability	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. My rights to equality are acknowledged by my community. ...	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. I have opportunities to develop meaningful relationships with people who do not have developmental disabilities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. I feel comfortable going outside of my immediate community .	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. I am satisfied with my current level of integration.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Inclusion

Respect / Intimacy / Friendship

	Agree		Neither	Disagree	
	Strongly	Somewhat		Somewhat	Strongly
1. Community organizations make an effort to include me.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. People without a disability treat me as an equal	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. People see beyond my disability and try to get to know me.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. People treat me with respect	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. I am included in conversations	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. I have friends who do not have developmental disabilities.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. I am satisfied with my level of inclusion in society	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Self-determination

Freedom / Authority / Choice

	Agree		Neither	Disagree	
	Strongly	Somewhat		Somewhat	Strongly
1. I have the freedom necessary to make important decisions and choices based upon my needs	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. I have the authority to control resources and make decisions.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. I have the support I need to live the kind of life I choose.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. I have the opportunity to be responsible and accountable for the decisions I make.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. I am satisfied with my current level of self-determination.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

These final questions are for classification purposes only. This information helps us to better understand the needs of people with similar backgrounds and circumstances.

1. Where do you (the person with a developmental disability) primarily live?

- With parents or relatives ☐
- Group home ☐
- Nursing home. ☐
- Own apartment or house, less than 24 hour supervision . . . ☐
- Own apartment or house, with 24 hour supervision ☐
- Other: _____ ☐

(Please specify)

2. How long have you lived in Minnesota? _____ Years

3. Is English your native language? Yes ☐ No ☐

4. Mark the box that includes your total household income. This number should include any government support you receive.

Under \$35,000. ☐
\$36,000-\$50,000 ☐
\$51,000-\$75,000 ☐
\$76,000-\$100,000 ☐
Over \$100,000. ☐

5. Mark the boxes that reflect the race or origin of the person with a developmental disability.

White ☐

Black or African America ☐

American Indian and Alaska Native ☐

Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander

Native Hawaiian ☐

Gaumanian or Chamorro ☐

Samoean ☐

Other Pacific Islander ☐

Asian

Asian Indian ☐

Chinese ☐

Filipino ☐

Hmong ☐

Japanese ☐

Korean ☐

Vietnamese ☐

Other Asian ☐

Hispanic or Latino

Mexican ☐

Puerto Rican ☐

Cuban ☐

Other Hispanic
or Latino ☐

6. Is the person with a developmental disability a graduate of the Partners in Policymaking® Program? Yes ☐ No ☐

7. If someone else is completing this survey questionnaire, are you a graduate of the Partners in Policymaking Program? Yes ☐ No ☐

Thank you for your participation!